

# **The National Education Policy 2020 as a Catalyst for Women's Empowerment in India: An Exhaustive Analysis of Provisions, Progress and Persistent Challenges**

**Dr Satyavah Dwivedi**

Assistant Professor

Teacher Training Department Halim Muslim P.G College Kanpur

Affiliated to CSJM University Kanpur UP

E mail ID: [satyavah.dwivedi@gmail.com](mailto:satyavah.dwivedi@gmail.com)

**Mr. Gurpreet Singh Bhatia**

Research Scholar

RIMT University, Mandi Gobindgarh

**Shivi**

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce and Management

CKD Institute of Management and Technology, Tarn Taran, Punjab.

[shivikapila08@gmail.com](mailto:shivikapila08@gmail.com)

**Gurinderjeet Kaur**

Assistant professor , Department of Commerce and Management

CKD Institute of Management and Technology, Tarn Taran,Punjab.

[gurinderjindu8@gmail.com](mailto:gurinderjindu8@gmail.com)

## **Abstract**

This paper provides an exhaustive analysis of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020's impact on women's empowerment in India. It examines the policy's foundational principles, its specific provisions for gender equity, and the initial progress of its implementation. Drawing upon a review of government documents, academic papers, and ground reports, the study highlights the NEP's departure from previous policies by moving from broad statements to targeted, infrastructure-based interventions. Key findings indicate that while initiatives like the Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) and the strengthening of Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBVs) have shown promise in increasing access and retention, persistent socio-cultural, economic, and implementation challenges continue to impede the full realization of the policy's goals. The research identifies a critical disconnect between rising female literacy rates and fluctuating labor force participation, suggesting that educational gains do not automatically translate into economic empowerment without complementary societal and economic reforms. The paper concludes with a set of policy recommendations to bridge the gap between policy intent and meaningful impact, ensuring that education serves as a true lever for comprehensive women's empowerment in India.

**Keywords:** NEP 2020, Women's Empowerment, Gender Equality, Gender Inclusion Fund, Vocational Training, Educational Policy, India, Social Equity.

## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Context

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, approved on July 29, 2020, represents a significant and comprehensive reform of India's education system after a 34-year period.<sup>1</sup> The policy's overarching vision is to transform India into an equitable and vibrant knowledge society, thereby establishing it as a global knowledge superpower.<sup>2</sup> The policy recognizes that providing universal access to quality education is the key to achieving this vision, serving as a fundamental tool for economic growth, social justice, equality, and scientific advancement.<sup>4</sup> Within this framework, the empowerment of women through education is identified not merely as a matter of social equity but as a strategic imperative for unlocking India's full potential. The NEP 2020 aims to address historical and systemic disparities that have long hindered the educational and professional advancement of girls and women.

### 1.2 Problem Statement and Research Questions

The central research question guiding this analysis is: To what extent does the National Education Policy 2020 serve as a catalyst for women's empowerment in India, and what are the primary opportunities and challenges in its implementation? To provide a detailed and nuanced answer, this paper will address several sub-questions:

- How does the NEP 2020's approach to gender equity differ from and build upon previous education policies?
- What are the specific, funded mechanisms designed to achieve gender parity, and what resources have been allocated to them?
- What is the initial, documented impact of these provisions on key indicators such as female literacy, enrollment rates, and labor force participation?
- What are the most significant socio-cultural, economic, and logistical barriers that impede the policy's full implementation and its intended impact on women's empowerment?

### 1.3 Scope and Significance of the Study

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the NEP 2020's provisions for gender equity and women's empowerment. The scope of the study encompasses the policy's theoretical underpinnings, its specific provisions from early childhood to higher education and vocational training, and an examination of its initial effects. The analysis is based on a review of official government documents, press releases from the Ministry of Education<sup>6</sup>, and scholarly research papers.<sup>8</sup> By synthesizing these diverse sources, this paper seeks to offer a comprehensive, evidence-based assessment of the policy's potential and its limitations. The findings are significant for policymakers, researchers, and educational practitioners who are vested in the successful implementation of the policy and the advancement of gender equality in India.

## Chapter 2: The Historical Trajectory of Gender Provisions in Indian Education Policy

### 2.1 A Historical Overview

The journey of women's education in India has been a long and complex one, marked by periods of progress and regression. In ancient India, women were often seen as equals to men, with the right to education and participation in religious rituals.<sup>12</sup> However, this status declined significantly during the medieval period, where women's roles were increasingly confined to the domestic sphere and practices like child marriage became more common.<sup>12</sup> The British era brought a new awareness and some limited opportunities for girls' education, spearheaded by reformers like Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar and Jyotirao Phule.<sup>13</sup> After independence, India's Constitution committed to gender equality through articles like Article 14 and Article 15, which prohibit discrimination.<sup>12</sup> Yet, despite these constitutional guarantees, persistent gender disparities in education have remained a significant challenge.

### 2.2 The National Policy on Education (NPE) 1986/92

The National Policy on Education (NPE) of 1986, later modified in 1992, was a landmark policy that formally recognized education as a vital tool for women's empowerment.<sup>14</sup> The policy aimed to use education as an "agent of basic change in the status of woman" and pledged to "neutralize the accumulated distortions of the past" by providing a "well-conceived edge in favour of women".<sup>14</sup> It promoted "Education for Women's Equality," encouraging educational institutions to take up programs for women's advancement.<sup>15</sup> The NPE 1986 also emphasized the removal of women's illiteracy and laid special focus on their participation in vocational and technical education, aiming to eliminate sex stereotyping.<sup>14</sup>

### 2.3 The "Unfinished Agenda" and the Shift in Approach

The NEP 2020 explicitly states that it will "appropriately dealt with" the "unfinished agenda" of the NPE 1986.<sup>4</sup> This marks a crucial point of departure. While the NPE 1986 made broad, aspirational proclamations about the role of education in liberating women and promoting equality<sup>14</sup>, the NEP 2020 goes further by detailing specific, funded, and targeted mechanisms for achieving these goals. The shift is from stating

*that* education should be used as a tool for empowerment to detailing *how* this will be done through pragmatic, on-the-ground interventions.

For instance, the NPE 1986 called for special support services and time targets to address illiteracy.<sup>14</sup> The NEP 2020, in contrast, directly funds and outlines the provision of items such as sanitary pad vending machines and transportation facilities.<sup>6</sup> This demonstrates a deeper, contextual understanding of the non-academic reasons for high dropout rates among girls, such as the onset of menstruation and safety concerns.<sup>10</sup> By addressing these specific logistical and infrastructural barriers, the NEP 2020 transitions from an abstract policy to a more concrete, problem-solving framework. The policy's explicit focus on Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs), which include girls and transgender individuals, also reflects a more nuanced approach to intersectionality, acknowledging that gender-based challenges are compounded by factors like caste, class, and geography.<sup>7</sup> This move towards tailored, infrastructure-based interventions is a key evolutionary step from the more universalist and less granular approach of its predecessors.

The following table provides a clear comparison of the two policies' approaches to gender provisions.

Feature	National Policy on Education (NPE) 1986/92	National Education Policy (NEP) 2020
<b>Core Philosophy</b>	Aspirational; sees education as an "agent of basic change" <sup>14</sup>	Pragmatic and targeted; built on pillars of Access, Equity, Quality, Affordability, and Accountability <sup>19</sup>
<b>Key Mechanism</b>	General policy statements; encouraged institutions to take action <sup>15</sup>	Specific, funded initiatives like the Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) <sup>6</sup>
<b>Interventions</b>	Focus on removing illiteracy and obstacles to retention; promotion of vocational education <sup>14</sup>	Funding for uniforms, sanitary pads, self-defense training, and hostels; explicit focus on STEM and non-traditional vocations <sup>6</sup>
<b>Scope of Target</b>	Focus on women's equality in general; mentioned reserved communities <sup>14</sup>	Explicit focus on girls and transgender students, recognizing them as Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs) <sup>7</sup>
<b>Curriculum Approach</b>	Promotion of women's studies and gender sensitivity in curriculum and textbooks <sup>15</sup>	Integration of human values, gender equality, and critical thinking from an early age; multidisciplinary approach <sup>18</sup>

## Chapter 3: Foundational Principles and Theoretical Underpinnings of NEP 2020

### 3.1 The Five Pillars of NEP 2020

The National Education Policy 2020 is designed around five core pillars: Access, Equity, Quality, Affordability, and Accountability.<sup>19</sup> These principles are not merely abstract ideals but are intended to serve as the foundation for a more inclusive, equitable, and dynamic learning environment.<sup>19</sup> A detailed examination of these pillars reveals their specific and direct relevance to the policy's gender-focused objectives.

### 3.2 A Gendered Analysis of the Principles

- **Access:** The policy's commitment to access recognizes education as a fundamental right for all, not a privilege.<sup>19</sup> For women and girls, this means actively removing barriers such as geography, disability, and economic status.<sup>4</sup> The policy aims to achieve this by ensuring a high-quality education system is available to all students "irrespective of their place of residence".<sup>4</sup> This is further reinforced by the goal to establish at least one large, multidisciplinary higher education institution in or near every district by 2030, which will significantly reduce travel-related barriers for female students, particularly in rural areas.<sup>2</sup>
- **Equity:** Equity is at the very core of the policy's gender framework.<sup>19</sup> It goes beyond providing equal opportunities to ensure that marginalized and disadvantaged groups, including girls and transgender students, receive the specific support they need to succeed.<sup>7</sup> The policy's focus on Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs) is a direct acknowledgement that a universal approach is insufficient. This explicitly recognizes that a girl from a rural, low-income household, belonging to a Scheduled Caste, faces compounded barriers—due to her gender, socioeconomic status, and geographical location—that are not faced by all women equally.<sup>13</sup> The policy's tailored interventions and special provisions are therefore a practical application of an intersectional approach to gender equality, moving

beyond a simplistic male-female binary to address the complex layers of disadvantage.

- **Quality:** The NEP 2020 aims to shift the focus from rote learning to a holistic approach that nurtures critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills.<sup>1</sup> This is crucial for women's empowerment as it prepares them to enter and excel in non-traditional fields and leadership roles.<sup>9</sup> A curriculum that promotes gender sensitivity and human values from an early age is designed to change mindsets and challenge deeply ingrained stereotypes.<sup>18</sup>
- **Affordability:** Financial constraints are a major impediment to women's education, as families often prioritize the education of male children.<sup>10</sup> The NEP 2020 addresses this by advocating for scholarships, regulated fee structures, and financial aid programs to make education more accessible for all students.<sup>19</sup>
- **Accountability:** The policy promotes accountability by encouraging students to take responsibility for their own learning and by instituting checks and balances against the commercialization of education.<sup>19</sup> This is intended to empower students to make informed choices about their academic and professional paths.

## Chapter 4: Specific Provisions and Strategic Interventions of NEP 2020 for Women's Education

### 4.1 The Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF): A Targeted Intervention

The Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) is a cornerstone of the NEP 2020's strategy to enhance educational equity for girls and transgender students.<sup>6</sup> The fund is designed to build the nation's capacity to provide equitable and quality education by allocating dedicated resources for Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs) under the existing Samagra Shiksha 2.0 scheme.<sup>6</sup> The specific, itemized nature of the fund's provisions is a significant departure from previous policy approaches. The GIF provides concrete, tangible solutions to long-standing barriers to girls' education.

For example, the fund allocates resources for free uniforms and textbooks up to Class 8, a stipend for girls with special needs, and self-defense training for girls.<sup>6</sup> A particularly notable provision is the allocation of funds for sanitary pad vending machines and incinerators in schools.<sup>6</sup> This provision is a direct and practical response to a key reason for high dropout rates among adolescent girls, who often leave school due to the onset of menstruation and a lack of hygienic facilities.<sup>17</sup> By addressing this specific, non-academic barrier, the policy demonstrates a profound understanding of the lived experiences of female students and a commitment to providing micro-level solutions.

The following table provides a breakdown of the financial allocations for key GIF provisions for the fiscal year 2021-22, as provided in a written reply to the Rajya Sabha.

Provision	Allocated Amount (in lakh Rs.)
<b>Free Textbooks (up to Class VIII)</b>	286,055.88 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Uniforms to all girls, SC, ST children and BPL children (up to Class VIII)</b>	491,952.50 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas</b>	244,186.46 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Awasiya Vidyalayas and Hostels</b>	36,025.27 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Rani Laxmi Bai Atmaraksha Prashikshan (Self-defense training to Girls)</b>	11,657.76 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Incinerator &amp; Sanitary Pad Vending Machines</b>	5,606.07 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Stipend for Children With Special Needs (CWSN) Girls</b>	12,257.66 <sup>6</sup>

The table provides clear, auditable evidence of the government's financial commitment, moving the conversation from abstract policy to real-world resource allocation.

#### 4.2 Strengthening Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBVs)

The NEP 2020 recognizes the critical role of Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBVs) as residential schools for girls from disadvantaged groups, including Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and Below Poverty Line (BPL) families.<sup>7</sup> The policy provides for the strengthening and expansion of these schools, which are sanctioned in Educationally Backward Blocks.<sup>5</sup> As of June 30, 2023, a total of 5639 KGBVs had been sanctioned, with an enrollment of 6.88 lakh girls.<sup>7</sup> The policy also focuses on upgrading these schools to offer education up to Class 12, thereby reducing the dropout rate after secondary education.<sup>7</sup> The effectiveness of KGBVs is highlighted in qualitative reports, such as the story of a girl from a disadvantaged background who became an engineering graduate and a software developer, demonstrating the transformative potential of a safe and supportive residential learning environment.<sup>25</sup>

#### 4.3 Vocational Training and Skill Development

A key objective of the NEP 2020 is to integrate vocational education into the mainstream curriculum, starting from Class 6.<sup>21</sup> The policy aims to dismantle the rigid separation between vocational and academic streams and to provide students with hands-on experience in various skills such as carpentry, electric work, and gardening.<sup>21</sup> This provision is particularly significant for women's empowerment as it can provide them with occupational choices and expand their work opportunities.<sup>26</sup>

However, the effectiveness of this provision hinges on its ability to overcome deeply ingrained gender norms. The policy, while promoting vocational education, has been criticized for not having an explicit "gender lens" in its framework, which risks reinforcing traditional gender roles instead of promoting women's participation in non-traditional fields.<sup>26</sup> For the policy's vision to be fully realized, it must be complemented by social engineering and awareness campaigns that encourage girls to pursue a broader range of skills. The introduction of pilot schemes like 'NAVYA,' which trains adolescent girls in non-traditional skills like drone assembling, is a positive step towards addressing this challenge.<sup>26</sup>

#### 4.4 Promoting Women in STEM and Research

The NEP 2020 also aims to address the underrepresentation of women in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) and research roles.<sup>20</sup> The policy recognizes that while women have a strong presence at the postgraduate level, their representation in research careers is significantly lower.<sup>20</sup> To address this, the policy leverages and promotes schemes like the Pragati Scholarship and Women Scientists Schemes.

- **Pragati Scholarship Scheme:** This scholarship provides financial assistance of up to ₹50,000 per annum to a maximum of two girl children per family who are pursuing technical degrees and diplomas at AICTE-approved institutions.<sup>27</sup> This scheme directly tackles the economic barriers that often prevent girls from pursuing higher education in these fields.
- **Women Scientists Schemes:** Managed by the Department of Science and Technology, these schemes provide research grants and fellowships to well-qualified female scientists who have had a career break due to various circumstances.<sup>27</sup> These schemes are a targeted effort to reintegrate women into the scientific and technological workforce, acknowledging the unique challenges they face in maintaining a continuous career path.



## Chapter 5: Initial Impact and Evidence of Progress

### 5.1 Quantitative Trends

An analysis of quantitative data since the NEP 2020's implementation reveals some promising, yet complex, trends. According to a study covering the period from 2015 to 2023, the female literacy rate in India has shown a positive trajectory, increasing from 65.8% in 2020 to 70.3% in 2023.<sup>9</sup> This has contributed to a notable narrowing of the gender gap in literacy, which decreased from 20% in 2015 to 14.4% in 2023.<sup>9</sup> These figures suggest that the policy's focus on access and equity has begun to yield results.

However, the picture is more complex when examining the female labor force participation rate (LFPR). The same study indicates that the LFPR for women experienced a general decline between 2015 and 2022, reaching a low of 19.23% in 2022, before increasing to 28.26% in 2023.<sup>9</sup> This trend is accompanied by a significant gender gap in labor participation, which was highest between 2020 and 2022.<sup>9</sup>

The coexistence of rising female literacy rates and fluctuating labor force participation presents a significant paradox. The data suggests that increased access to education, while an important step, does not automatically translate into economic empowerment.<sup>9</sup> This indicates that educational policy, in isolation, is not sufficient to overcome the deep-rooted societal and economic barriers that prevent women from entering and remaining in the workforce. The causality is not linear; while the policy may be successfully increasing access to and attainment of education, its impact on economic outcomes is mitigated by external factors such as job availability, societal norms that favor domestic roles, and safety concerns related to employment.<sup>10</sup> This nuance is critical for avoiding a simplistic conclusion about the policy's success or failure.

The following table visualizes these trends.

Year	Female Literacy Rate (%) <sup>9</sup>	Male Literacy Rate (%) <sup>9</sup>	Female Labor Force Participation Rate (%) <sup>9</sup>	Male Labor Force Participation Rate (%) <sup>9</sup>
2015	61	81	29	83
2016	63	81	28	82
2017	59.3	78.9	28.5	82
2018	59.3	78.9	28.7	81.7
2020	65.8	82.4	24.6	81.6
2021	65.8	82.4	22.3	79.6
2022	69.1	83.45	19.23	70.09
2023	70.3	84.7	28.26	76.14

## Chapter 6: Critical Analysis of Challenges and Implementation Gaps

### 6.1 Deep-Rooted Socio-Cultural and Economic Barriers

Despite its progressive design, the NEP 2020 faces significant challenges in its implementation due to entrenched societal norms. Traditional patriarchal structures and gender prejudices continue to be major obstacles.<sup>10</sup> For many communities, cultural traditions still favor domestic responsibilities for women over education, and an "old mindset" persists where women are thought to be meant for household work.<sup>10</sup> These beliefs can result in lower enrollment rates and increased dropout rates, particularly at the secondary and tertiary levels, due to societal pressures and early marriage.<sup>10</sup>

The policy's vision for a flexible, multidisciplinary curriculum that includes vocational training is a direct response to these norms, aiming to provide girls with practical skills and a pathway to a career.<sup>21</sup> However, as the research indicates, policy alone cannot single-handedly change cultural norms; it requires complementary, grassroots-level interventions and community engagement.<sup>9</sup> Without a conscious effort to challenge stereotypes, there is a risk that the policy's vocational provisions will simply reinforce traditional gender roles rather than open up new, non-traditional opportunities for women.<sup>26</sup>

### 6.2 Logistical and Infrastructural Hurdles

The NEP 2020's success is also dependent on overcoming significant logistical and infrastructural challenges, especially in rural and underserved areas.<sup>10</sup>

- **The Digital Divide:** The policy's promotion of digital education and technology integration<sup>23</sup> is a powerful opportunity to overcome geographical and social barriers. However, the digital divide remains a serious issue in rural regions where many women lack access to reliable internet connectivity and digital devices.<sup>10</sup> This lack of access limits their participation in online learning and widens the existing gender gap in educational attainment.
- **Lack of Gender-Sensitive Infrastructure:** While the Gender Inclusion Fund provides for sanitary facilities, many educational institutions still lack adequate gender-sensitive infrastructure, such as separate restrooms and female-friendly dorms.<sup>10</sup> Such deficiencies discourage female attendance and retention.
- **Teacher Bias and Training Gaps:** Research highlights that teacher biases and a lack of gender sensitivity can impede a female student's educational success.<sup>10</sup> Despite the policy's emphasis on teacher training, a large-scale, sustained effort is needed to reorient educators toward inclusive pedagogical approaches and to address their own subconscious biases, particularly in subjects like science and technology.

### 6.3 Absence of Specific Targets and Accountability

A key limitation of the NEP 2020 is the absence of a robust accountability and monitoring framework with specific, measurable targets.<sup>9</sup> While the policy outlines ambitious goals, it does not provide clear benchmarks for success, such as a specific percentage increase in female enrollment in STEM fields or a reduction in the female dropout rate by a certain year. This lack of quantitative targets makes it difficult for researchers and policymakers to accurately measure the policy's progress and to hold various stakeholders accountable for its implementation.<sup>8</sup> Without such a framework, the policy's well-intentioned measures may not be effectively monitored or fine-tuned, potentially preventing them from achieving their full potential.



## Chapter 7: Recommendations and A Forward-Looking Outlook

### 7.1 Strengthening Implementation and Monitoring

To bridge the gap between policy intent and meaningful impact, a more strategic approach to implementation is necessary. First, the Ministry of Education should develop and publish a robust, time-bound, and transparent accountability framework with specific, measurable targets for gender equity across all educational levels and domains, including enrollment in vocational and STEM fields. Second, there should be a prioritization of funding and infrastructure development within the identified Special Education Zones (SEZs) and other educationally disadvantaged regions, ensuring that resources are allocated where the need is greatest.<sup>3</sup> Finally, public-private partnerships should be actively encouraged to support initiatives that address the digital divide and provide skills training in non-traditional occupations for women, with clear targets for female participation.

### 7.2 Addressing Socio-Cultural Barriers

Education policy alone cannot dismantle centuries-old cultural traditions. Therefore, the NEP 2020 must be complemented by sustained, multi-pronged efforts at the community level. This includes large-scale awareness campaigns to challenge deep-rooted gender biases and stereotypes.<sup>10</sup> Community engagement programs, perhaps leveraging Anganwadi workers as a trusted point of contact, can be used to counsel families on the long-term benefits of girls' education.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, the curriculum should actively integrate gender studies and human rights education from an early age to foster critical thinking and help students develop a healthy outlook towards each other.<sup>16</sup>

### 7.3 The Need for Complementary Policies

The analysis in this paper demonstrates a critical disconnect between the policy's success in increasing female educational attainment and the stagnant or declining female labor force participation rate. This implies that educational gains do not automatically translate into economic empowerment without a supportive ecosystem.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, a holistic approach requires complementary policies aimed at creating safe work environments, addressing the gender wage gap, and providing flexible work options that acknowledge women's caregiving responsibilities.<sup>26</sup> For example, the government could incentivize companies to hire female apprentices in non-traditional sectors and to create inclusive, secure workplaces.<sup>26</sup> This multi-sectoral approach is essential to ensure that the educational opportunities created by the NEP 2020 serve as a true lever for comprehensive empowerment.

## Chapter 8: Conclusion

The National Education Policy 2020 represents a significant and pragmatic evolution in India's approach to women's empowerment through education. Its strength lies in moving beyond the aspirational goals of its predecessors to implement concrete, funded, and targeted interventions. The establishment of the Gender Inclusion Fund and the strengthening of residential schools are evidence of a strategic shift towards addressing the specific logistical and infrastructural barriers that have long impeded girls' education. Initial data on female literacy rates suggests that these efforts are beginning to bear fruit.

However, the journey towards true gender equality is far from over. The NEP 2020's success is contingent on its ability to overcome persistent socio-cultural and economic barriers, including patriarchal norms, the digital divide, and teacher biases. Furthermore, a critical implementation gap exists in the absence of a robust monitoring framework with specific, measurable targets. The most significant finding is the disconnect between rising female literacy and fluctuating labor force participation, which indicates that educational attainment alone is not sufficient to achieve holistic empowerment. The policy must be supported by a sustained, multi-sectoral approach that addresses the non-educational challenges women face. In a complex and rapidly changing socio-economic landscape, the NEP 2020 serves as a powerful strategic lever, but its full potential can only be realized through a concerted, multifaceted effort to bridge the gap between policy and meaningful impact.

### Works cited

1. A Critical Analysis of NEP 2020: Impact on School and Higher Education, Issues, and Challenges in Implementation of NEP In India - IOSR Journal, <https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/Vol.30-Issue6/Ser-4/G3006044854.pdf>
2. SALIENT FEATURES OF NEP 2020: HIGHER EDUCATION 1. THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF THE POLICY: • recognizing, identifying, and f, <https://phfi.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/salient-features-of-NEP-2020.pdf>
3. equity and inclusion in indian education: constitutional principles and nep 2020 approaches - BSSS Publication, <https://bssspublications.com/Home/IssueDetailPage?IsNo=530>
4. National Education Policy 2020 - Ministry of Education, [https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/NEP\\_Final\\_English\\_0.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf)
5. 2 YEARS OF NEP 2020 - Ministry of Education, [https://dsel.education.gov.in/sites/default/files/2022-11/Nep\\_2020.pdf](https://dsel.education.gov.in/sites/default/files/2022-11/Nep_2020.pdf)
6. Steps taken by the Government for Gender Inclusion Fund - PIB, <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1776914>
7. National Education Policy, 2020 provides for setting up a Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) especially for girls and transgender students to provide them equitable quality education - PIB, <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1944287>
8. THE NATIONAL EDUCATIONAL POLICY 2020 AND WOMEN RIGHTS IN INDIA, <https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2309279.pdf>
9. Empowering Women Through Education: An Analysis Of ... - IJCRT, <https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2409498.pdf>
10. (PDF) The Challenges and Opportunities of Women Education in the ..., [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385293315\\_The\\_Challenges\\_and\\_Opportunities\\_of\\_Women\\_Education\\_in\\_the\\_Context\\_of\\_NEP\\_2020](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385293315_The_Challenges_and_Opportunities_of_Women_Education_in_the_Context_of_NEP_2020)
11. Empowering Women: A Critical Examination of Women's Rights in India visa-a-vis NEP 2020 - Social Research Foundation, <http://www.socialresearchfoundation.com/new/publish-journal.php?editID=10519>
12. Gender Equality in India: A Historical Perspective and Modern Realities - Sleepy Classes, <https://sleepyclasses.com/gender-equality-in-india-a-historical-perspective-and-modern-realities/>
13. Women's Education in India: History, Importance, Policies, <https://www.21kschool.com/in/blog/womens-education-in-india/>
14. NPE (1986), Part IV: Education for Equality, <https://www.educationforallinindia.com/page53.html>
15. National Perspective Plan for Women's Education and National Policy on Education - Ignited Minds Journals, <https://ignited.in/index.php/jasrae/article/download/6923/13649/34098?inline=1>
16. Women's Equality and Empowerment through Curriculum - NCERT, <https://ncert.nic.in/dgs/pdf/Women'sEqualityPrimary.pdf>
17. An Analysis of Gender Women Education in the Light of National Education Policy: 2020, <https://educarepublication.com/articles/an-analysis-of-gender-women-education-in-the-light-of-national-education-policy-2020/>
18. Role of NEP 2020 in Promoting Gender inclusiveness - Paschim Guwahati Mahavidyalaya, <https://www.pgmdharapur.ac.in/journal/upload/journal/1741429236.pdf>

19. What Are the Five Fundamental Principles of NEP 2020? - Extramarks,  
<https://www.extramarks.com/blogs/schools/fundamental-principles-of-nep-2020/>
20. NEP 2020 promotes women in STEM which dismantles traditional role-based preconceptions: Smriti Irani - EducationTimes.com, <https://www.educationtimes.com/article/campus-beat-college-life/99738474/q-a-nep-2020-promotes-women-in-stem-which-dismantles-traditional-role-based-preconceptions>
21. NEP 2020 – An enabler for Vocational Education and Gender Empowerment at impressionable years - Lend A Hand India, <https://bloglendahandindia.wordpress.com/2020/12/08/nep-2020-an-enabler-for-vocational-education-and-gender-empowerment-at-impressionable-years/>
22. National Education Policy 2020 and Gender Inclusiveness,  
<https://jier.org/index.php/journal/article/download/527/472/818>
23. NEP 2020: A Catalyst for Women Empowerment - TIJER,  
<https://tijer.org/tijer/papers/TIJER2410052.pdf>
24. Implementation of NEP, 2020 - Ministry of Education,  
[https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/PIB2003660.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/PIB2003660.pdf)
25. success stories of kgbv alumni, <https://repository.education.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/kasturipulu-andhra-pradesh.pdf>
26. Rethinking Vocational Skilling for Women under NEP 2020 - Observer Research Foundation,  
<https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/rethinking-vocational-skilling-for-women-under-nep-2020>
27. NEP2020's contribution towards women's progressive growth in society - ResearchGate,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379693058\\_NEP2020's\\_contribution\\_towards\\_women's\\_progressive\\_growth\\_in\\_society](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379693058_NEP2020's_contribution_towards_women's_progressive_growth_in_society)
28. Pragati Scholarship Scheme For Girl Students (Technical Diploma) - myScheme,  
<https://www.myscheme.gov.in/schemes/psgs-dip>
29. Pragati Scholarship Scheme For Girl Students (Technical Degree) - UMANG,  
[https://web.umang.gov.in/landing/scheme/detail/pragati-scholarship-scheme-for-girl-students-technical-degree\\_psgs-deg.html](https://web.umang.gov.in/landing/scheme/detail/pragati-scholarship-scheme-for-girl-students-technical-degree_psgs-deg.html)
30. Women Scientist Scheme-B - myScheme, <https://www.myscheme.gov.in/schemes/wos-b>
31. Women Scientist Scheme-C - myScheme, <https://www.myscheme.gov.in/schemes/wos-c>
32. A study on issues and challenges of NEP (National Education Policy) 2020 in primary education,  
<https://newresearchjournal.com/assets/archives/2024/vol9issue4/9057.pdf>