

# The Optimism Paradox in Entrepreneurship: When A Positive Mindset Hinders Learning from Failure and Impedes Innovation

Dolendra Paudel<sup>1</sup>

Presidency College of Management Sciences, Purbanchal University

Bharatpur-10, Chitwan, Bagmati, Nepal

[pdldolen@gmail.com](mailto:pdldolen@gmail.com), <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1321-6019>

## Abstract

**Purpose:** This paper examines the intricate relationship between entrepreneurial optimism and learning from failure, trying entrepreneurial innovation to their limits in what it can produce. It rebuts the universally positive interpretation of optimism by considering the potential downsides.

**Research Approach:** This paper scrutinizes earlier studies and points towards empirical data proposing a correlation among extremely high optimism and business enterprise performance. This paper researches the processes to which excess optimism can hinder knowledge acquisition through failures, such as denial of adverse feedback, shortsightedness over technology, escalating commitment, and limited experimentation.

**Findings:** The analysis indicates that while beneficial to motivation and resilience, excessive optimism can lead to biases and overconfidence. This can lead to ignoring market research, dismissing warning signs, and refusing to acknowledge one's own role in failures, thereby blocking adaptation and pivoting required for innovation.

**Implications for Theory and Practice:** The article conceptualizes "strategic optimism" and suggests a mix of optimism and criticality. It recommends entrepreneurship and teaching strategies like increased self-awareness of optimism levels, actively soliciting diverse feedback and criticism, systematic post-failure evaluation, contingency planning, and promoting a learning-oriented organizational culture to enable sustainable innovation.

**Originality/Value:** The paper presents a new perspective through highlighting the potential negative effect of unchecked optimism towards entrepreneurship. The paper contributes to the literature through proposing the concept of strategic optimism and providing some practical guidance on how to evade the pitfalls of hyper-optimism in support of learning from failure and innovation.

**Keywords:** *Entrepreneurship, Innovation, Optimism, Failure, Learning from Failure*

## 1. Introduction

Entrepreneurship remains a primary source of innovation and economic development that is valued since it transforms ideas for dreams into viable businesses through hardness, risk exposure, and the optimistic approach of entrepreneurship (Glösenberg & Macdonald, 2024), Oberer & Erkollar, 2023). Similarly, Entrepreneurship and innovation are major drivers of economic growth and social advancement (Astiana et al., 2022). The energy inherent in these activities drives the creation of new markets, generates employment, and introduces new solutions to existing challenges. Such an environment makes optimism a celebrated cornerstone of entrepreneurial spirit (Mishra, 2024). Optimism is most typically associated with the aspiration to act on uncertain ventures, the determination to bulldoze through the inevitable setbacks, and the

---

<sup>1</sup> Author completed his master's degree from Central Department of Management, Tribhuvan University and is currently pursuing an M.Phil. in Management at the Faculty of Management, Purbanchal University, under the University Grants Commission's M.Phil. Fellowship. He is also an alumnus of the ITEC fellowship provided by the Ministry of External Affairs, India, and currently work as the manager of a Business Incubation Center and senior faculty member for Entrepreneurship development in Nepal.

vision to picture a successful outcome where others can only envision obstacles (Mishra, 2024). Optimism, it turns out, is actually contagious, inspiring teams, attracting investors, and inducing a perception of belief in the potential for success (De Meza et al., 2019). Orthodox perspectives emphasize that a positive attitude is crucial for the identification of opportunities, the use of resources available, and the exploitation of the intricate challenges that come with entrepreneurial endeavors (Das, 2023). However, new research points to a neglected paradox: the same positivity that drives entrepreneurs can simultaneously hide valuable learning experiences through failure.

New research has begun to explain what is now being termed the "optimism paradox" in entrepreneurship. This paradox shows that an overly positive attitude will lead to cognitive biases, and these, in turn, will reduce the capacity of the entrepreneur to make an honest evaluation of themselves and learn effectively from failure (Ndlovu et al., 2023). Here, while optimism is required to foster resilience and resolve, going too far with it discourages the hard testing of previous errors and readjustment of methods—processes central to long-term innovation (Ndlovu et al., 2023). Thus, the optimism paradox is a warning model, illustrating that unqualified optimism can in fact stifle the learning and innovation iterative process.

Moreover, the debate surrounding the optimism paradox has been front and center within contemporary entrepreneurship education and ecosystem development discussions. While varied education frameworks continue to espouse positivism as a foundation for entrepreneurship triumph (Oberer & Erkollar, 2023), contemporary thinking holds that an equilibrium approach—one that includes positive orientation with reflective critical practices—is requisite to prevent truncating the dynamism of innovative activity (Glösenberg & Macdonald, 2024). This type of methodology is not only required for conceptual purpose but also for operational use in designing curricula and support systems that can facilitate both resilience and flexibility among entrepreneurs.

The present paper aims to discuss under what situation an excessively optimistic attitude can hinder learning from failure and, indirectly, the innovation process. By synthesizing findings from entrepreneurial innovation research, mindset, and education (Das, 2023; Oberer & Erkollar, 2023; Ndlovu et al., 2023), this paper tries to provide a comprehensive analysis of the optimism paradox and thus offer implications for both academic research and entrepreneurship praxis.

## 2. Defining Key Concepts

### 2.1. Optimism in Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurial optimism is a multifaceted psychological construct that entails a positive anticipation of future occurrences and faith in one's ability to overcome challenges. Entrepreneurial optimism has been defined by researchers as not only a success mindset but as a strong cognitive process that influences decision-making, perseverance, and overall entrepreneurial behavior (Adomako et al., 2016), (Henrekson & Stenkula, 2022). This framework comprises motivational strengths—e.g., more resilience and goal persistence—as well as potential cognitive frailties with positive bias skewing risk perception and selection in uncertain settings (Adomako et al., 2016), (Ratinho & Sarasvathy, 2024).

Adomako et al. (Adomako et al., 2016) experientially demonstrated entrepreneurs' optimism is intricately embedded with persistence, particularly aided by cognitive style favoring planning and creativity. They report that optimism, when paired with adaptive cognitive strategies, enables sustained effort in the face of entrepreneurial failure. However, overoptimism can also lead to underestimation of risks, hence damaging the capacity to make necessary course corrections in periods of failure (Adomako et al., 2016). The above paradox is shed further light upon by Ratinho and Sarasvathy (Ratinho & Sarasvathy, 2024), as they claim that an extremely optimistic worldview will restrict the potential of the entrepreneur to improvise well within an ecosystem full of high uncertainty, thus stifling innovation process.

Furthermore, Henrekson and Stenkula's (Henrekson & Stenkula, 2022) research highlights that while optimism may serve as an entrepreneurial driver through the generation of high self-efficacy, it also carries the risk of overconfidence and

optimism bias. Such biases have the potential to lead to poor choices by making entrepreneurs blind to traps or the need for careful evaluation of strategic alternatives (Henrekson & Stenkula, 2022). Alves and Yang contribute to this conversation by revealing that optimism has a moderating effect on the link between cognitive flexibility and entrepreneurial capability. Their paper sets out the fact that this moderation is reverse U-shaped and that moderate optimism maximizes entrepreneurial capability, but low and outlier levels of optimism suppress optimal entrepreneurship (Alves & Yang, 2022).

Briefly stated, optimism within entrepreneurship needs to be grasped in its double-edged purpose: as aid to resilience and innovation on one hand and potential cause of cognitive distortion hindering critical evaluation mechanisms on the other. The thin line here demands that optimism should be combined with advanced cognitive strategies to enjoy the benefits of the former without yielding to its inbuilt vulnerabilities.

## 2.2. Failure in Entrepreneurship

Failure of entrepreneurship requires a comprehensive strategy that encompasses much more than just the failure of a venture enterprise. Entrepreneur failure can be imagined as a moment or series of moments when an entrepreneurial endeavor or venture does not reach its set objectives, and therefore generates operational, financial, and strategic malformations (Lattacher & Wdowiak, 2020). Entrepreneur failure is not just about the material consequences, such as loss of revenues or business shutdown, but encompasses very profound psychological and emotional effects resulting from the failure (Sahoo et al., 2024; Li, 2023).

From an experiential point of view, entrepreneurial failure is a juncture at which the gap between desired and actual consequences is familiar. According to Lattacher and Wdowiak (Lattacher & Wdowiak, 2020) failure is a stimulant for introspection, and it initiates a process by which entrepreneurs go back to reviewing their strategies and decision-making processes. Reflection is important in transforming failure into potential lessons; however, it is also prone to the distorting effect of an excessively optimistic outlook that can dampen genuine self-reflection (Li, 2023). Where entrepreneurs are overly optimistic, the danger is that negative feedback and failure signals are reduced, thus reducing the likelihood of taking corrective measures required (Li, 2023).

In addition, entrepreneurial failure typically involves a mix of internal drivers—like bad planning, bad strategic decision-making, or poor management—and external drivers like market forces, competitive pressures, and unforeseen economic conditions (Wang et al., 2023). This complex interaction underscores the necessity of an integrative definition that captures both the objective aspects of failure (e.g., measurable financial losses, failure in operations) and subjective aspects (e.g., emotional trauma, grief, and loss of perceived effectiveness) (Sahoo et al., 2024; Li, 2023). In this regard, entrepreneurial failure is not a terminal point but a normal phase of the dynamic learning process that can ultimately be a source of resilience and propel future innovation when well managed (Wu et al., 2024; Lyu, 2024).

Overall, this multifaceted definition of entrepreneurial failure acknowledges its double role: as a short-term failure with tangible negative consequences and as a learning experience that, if properly assimilated, can give rise to improved decision-making and creativity. It is critical to acknowledge the innately difficult nature of failure in entrepreneurship in order to counteract the optimism paradox, whereby an over-positive attitude can discourage the important process of learning through failure and consequently stifle long-term innovative capacity (Lattacher & Wdowiak, 2020; , Li, 2023).

## 2.3. Entrepreneurial Innovation

Entrepreneurial innovation is a process of idea generation, idea development, and idea commercialization for creating value in competitive markets. Entrepreneurial innovation can be viewed as a multifaceted phenomenon whereby creativity, opportunity recognition, and risk-taking behavior interact with one another for the purpose of converting novel ideas into marketable innovations. Through the coupling of exploratory and exploitative approaches, entrepreneurial

innovation is characterized by its iterative nature, with initial ideas being repeatedly refined and developed through feedback and learning experiences (Li et al., 2023), (Shepherd et al., 2010).

At an individual level, entrepreneurial innovation is underpinned by cognitive processes of perceiving and interpreting opportunities through a unique entrepreneurial mindset. This mindset not only fuels innovative idea generation and persistence but also requires the capacity to learn from failures—a key element that, if neglected under the pressure of undue optimism, can inhibit the formation of truly innovative practice (Shepherd et al., 2010). At the organizational level, enabling mechanisms such as corporate incubators and innovation ecosystems also enable the transformation of individual creative insights into scalable and competitive enterprises (Gonthier & Chirita, 2019). These ecosystems encourage risk-taking and provide the necessary resources and collaborative networks, thereby triggering the firm's overall innovation potential.

Additionally, entrepreneurial innovation involves the balancing of two learning modes. While exploratory learning drives the search for new ideas and breakthrough innovations, exploitative learning ensures optimization and incremental improvement of existing processes and products (Li et al., 2023). This dualism also matters in long-term innovation in the sense that it helps entrepreneurs adapt their strategies to accommodate dynamically evolving market conditions and prevent cognitive biases resulting from unconstrained optimism. Such biases can blind crucial feedback from failures, destabilizing the iterative learning process on which innovation drive relies for sustenance (Zhao et al., 2022).

In summary, entrepreneurial innovation is not merely about bringing new products or services to market, but rather a complex process that intertwines cognitive, organizational, and environmental dimensions. Not only does this process propel entrepreneurial ventures by taking advantage of arising opportunities, but also relies vitally on the equilibrated integration of learning modes to recurrently enhance and sustain innovation amidst intrinsic uncertainties.

### **3. The Double-Edged Sword of Optimism**

The "double-edged sword" idea in entrepreneurship captures how qualities most commonly viewed as making a positive contribution, e.g., optimism, may also create adverse impacts. Optimism, in entrepreneurial contexts, is most commonly revered for the impacts it has on opportunity recognition, risk-taking behavior, and persistence. However, newer research points to the same optimism obscuring good critical thinking and the capacity to learn well from failure and therefore undermine innovation.

For instance, Minola Minola (2017) illustrates the way that desirable entrepreneurial pursuits, when transmitted across generations, generate entrepreneurial appeal and also trigger upward social comparisons that discourage active entrepreneurial involvement. This research identifies the dual-edged nature of abilities that are typically defined in beneficial terms—illustrating the way that the very same determinants that encourage entrepreneurs can at the same time enforce unwanted limitations on their performance. Similarly, Jones et al. Jones et al. (2023) provide evidence that closely aligns with optimism's attributes, such as faith and cognitive bias in investor decision-making, playing a double-edge function. According to their research, while an optimistic bias can support entrepreneurs' confidence and commitment, it can lead to adverse decision-making bias that deconstructs the objective evaluation of failures and danger.

Building on these insights, Criaco et al. Criaco et al. (2017) investigate intergenerational transmission of entrepreneurial behavior, arguing that success in the parent can enhance perceived feasibility and desirability of entrepreneurship while inhibiting the translation of these perceptions into useful entrepreneurial intentions. This double effect expresses the dilemma set by too much optimism: where optimism may both motivate and hinder, so that the very cognitive processes which drive entrepreneurs to innovate actually make them less responsive to the didactic force of failure.

Combined, these studies provide empirical evidence that optimism in entrepreneurship has a double-edged sword nature. While it plays a pivotal role in motivating entrepreneurial action and innovation, it simultaneously also risks causing

overconfidence and cognitive bias that numb critical learning from failure. These findings support the core thesis of the research paper—that excessively positive thinking, rather than triggering success alone, can inadvertently suppress the iterative processes necessary for maintaining long-term innovative growth.

#### 4. The Impediment to Innovation: When Optimism Blinds

The title "When Optimism Blinds" captures the moment when entrepreneurial optimism, otherwise thought to be a catalyst for launching new ventures and innovative ideas, at the same time becomes a speed breaker in learning from failure and an obstacle in the innovation process. The chapter explores how over-optimism blinds entrepreneurs to constructive criticism that will result in choices constraining innovation.

Optimism can typically be linked to heightened creative self-efficacy, and this may positively influence innovative behavior, but only to a point when such optimism begins becoming counterintuitive. MICHAEL et al. (2011) hold the view that, although optimistic staff become more creative as well as engaged in innovative practices within service organizations under high levels of self-efficacy, they may, in the process, overlook important aspects of their work environment. This avoidance can result in a lack of handling the setbacks and challenges that are inherent in the entrepreneurial process, and thus precluding learning and growth. The positive aspects of optimism can be sacrificed, and good lessons can be disregarded in the name of a simplistic labeling of a situation.

Furthermore, the complexity of how entrepreneurs define failure is a significant contributor to understanding this behavior as well. Wei et al. (2019) observe that emotional costs of failure can be a strong influencer of entrepreneurial learning, and successful emotional regulation is critical in redefining failure as a learning process. High optimism is most likely to cause overestimation and minimizing of failure experiences, thereby connecting to emotion avoidance. Thus, entrepreneurs can deprive themselves of valuable learning achieved through failure, which can undermine their ability to innovate.

The result of an uncritically optimistic attitude is also echoed by Walsh and Cunningham (Walsh & Cunningham, 2017), who investigate how personal attributions of failure can elicit emotional responses that mask deeper learning. When entrepreneurs negatively attribute failure primarily to the outside world or dismiss it for blind optimism, they might not carry out the reflectivity practice necessary for genuine learning. This emotional detachment can crack the entrepreneurial-venture bond, disrupting the innovation loop and leading to stagnation.

Furthermore, the "too much of a good thing" effect (TMGT), as coined by Pierce and Aguinis (Pierce & Aguinis, 2011), suggests that optimism increases to a point where it becomes detrimental. This is corroborated by evidence that overconfidence can lead to one-sided decision-making, which results in too little critical assessment of strategies and ideas that need to be adjusted or shifted in response to market conditions. Without this kind of critical thinking, the imaginative potential of the entrepreneurial initiative goes to waste and the situation is less creative friendly and adjusting to it.

In summary, the "When Optimism Blinds" phenomenon describes the multi-faceted way in which overoptimism can hide valuable feedback and learning experiences for entrepreneurs. While optimism is crucial in generating the initial motivation and grit necessary for success, it can simultaneously stifle the critical examination and emotional investment necessary for successful innovation, hence the paradoxical nature of optimism in entrepreneurial contexts.

#### 5. Empirical Evidence

Research has shown that extremely optimistic business owners actually may earn less than less optimistic ones (Singh, 2019). This surprising finding implies that excessively optimistic attitudes lead entrepreneurs to enter poorly conceived

ventures or not to change strategies well when things do not go according to plan. Empirical evidence also reveals a negative relationship between optimism of entrepreneurs and performance (revenue growth and job growth) of their startups, particularly after controlling for experience and industry dynamism (Anglin et al., 2018). This suggests that optimism is somewhat good but that over-optimism can lead to unrealistically high expectations and uninformed decisions.

Entrepreneurial optimism studies reveal a complex interrelation between optimistic mindset and business performance. While optimism may drive entrepreneurial activities, it may also impede learning from failure and hinder innovation (Amore et al., 2021a). Dispositional optimism is negatively correlated with belief updating after negative feedback, which may reduce the impact of innovation (Amore et al., 2021b). However, optimism training can break the negative impact of failure on career decisions by instilling hope (Cohen et al., 2024). Entrepreneurial experience is defined differently, with serial entrepreneurs tending to be more optimistic than portfolio entrepreneurs, and failure experiences potentially tempering optimism (Ucbasaran et al., 2007). Surprisingly, a massive study reveals that entrepreneurs' optimism about national economic conditions can be less biased than non-entrepreneurs' pessimism, defying the entrepreneurial optimism stereotype as a behavioural bias (Bengtsson & Ekeblom, 2014). The findings suggest the sophistication of optimism in entrepreneurship and its impact on decision-making and innovation.

## 6. Strategies for Balancing Optimism and Realistic Assessment

To make use of the strengths of optimism without sacrificing its weakness, entrepreneurs should cultivate a balanced mindset that merges positive expectation with critical thinking (DeAngelis, n.d.). The initial crucial step is to build self-awareness (Griffin, 2024). Entrepreneurs should strive to attain metacognitive skills that allow them to know their own level of optimism and the possibility that this optimism would introduce biases in their thinking (Griffin, 2024)

Active solicitation and enjoyment of diverse perspectives are also essential (Hreha, 2023). Entrepreneurs need to actively solicit comments from many individuals, including advisors, mentors, and staff who may hold different perspectives and are willing to give constructive feedback (Hreha, 2023). Creating an environment in which contrasting opinions are heard and appreciated can help to reverse the confirmation bias propensity that could be driven by over-optimism (Lalani, 2023).

Having systematic procedure of reflection after both success and failure is essential in order to acquire useful lessons (Griffin, 2024). Rather than simply attributing results to chance or external forces, entrepreneurs must undertake thorough post-failure analysis to identify root causes of failure and actionable steps for improvement (Griffin, 2024). Tools like "*pre-mortems*," where teams imagine a future failure and reverse-engineer possible causes (Yamakawa, 2025), and "*retrospective analysis*," where past events are analyzed systematically (Hreha, 2023), can be highly useful in the process.

Developing contingency plans and scenario analysis also help to balance optimism with practical thinking (Hreha, 2023). By consciously considering possible adverse outcomes and developing standby strategies, entrepreneurs can balance the likelihood that over-optimism can lead to unpreparedness in the face of adversity (Yamakawa, 2025). Supporting a culture of learning within the organization is needed (Yamakawa, 2025). Building a culture of embracing failure as an integral component of the entrepreneurial process and an opportunity to learn, rather than a reason to blame or become ashamed, is encouraging open communications and sharing valuable lessons learned through mistakes (Yamakawa, 2025).

Ultimately, what one hopes to achieve is "*strategic optimism*," an outlook that combines the homegrown positive energy of optimism with a sober and realistic assessment of the market, the competitive landscape, and the entrepreneur's abilities (DeAngelis, n.d.). It involves making data-driven decisions, weighing risks prudently, and being prepared to change and pivot when necessary, even if it requires breaking from the original optimistic strategy.

## 7. Recommendations and Conclusion

### 7.1. Recommendations for Entrepreneurs

Entrepreneurs need to actively seek out and seriously consider bad feedback as a valuable source of information to be utilized to effect strategic changes and prevent future failures. Institutionalizing regular "*failure review*" sessions, where failures are objectively analyzed for their root causes and lessons learned therefrom, can make failure a learning experience. It is also necessary for entrepreneurs to be surrounded by a variety of groups that include individuals who are willing to challenge assumptions and offer critical input, and having a more balanced decision-making environment. Developing a practice of scenario planning, where potential difficulties and downside consequences are explored in advance, can avoid some of the over-optimism dangers. Finally, entrepreneurs ought to always prioritize evidence-based decision-making, drawing their strategies from research in the marketplace and from external analysis rather than just following instincts or hopes.

### 7.2. Recommendations for Entrepreneurship Educators

Entrepreneurship educators play an important role in shaping the attitudes of potential entrepreneurs. Curriculum needs to incorporate discussion that covers not only the benefits but also the potential downsides of excessive optimism in the entrepreneurial process. Students should be encouraged to critically analyze case studies of failed, as well as successful, ventures with close attention to the impact optimism played on the decisions and outcomes. Including exercises to promote self-awareness of biases such as optimism bias and overconfidence can allow students to become more realistic in self-evaluation. Moreover, teachers should teach structured methods and frameworks for learning from failure, such as the importance of objective analysis, reflection, and the development of adaptive strategies.

### 7.3. Conclusion

Optimism is certainly a powerful motivating force in entrepreneurship, providing the first spark, the energy to persevere, and the creativity to pursue ambitious goals. But this research points out that runaway or uncontrolled optimism does indeed pose a real barrier to learning from failure, a process that is absolutely critical to entrepreneurial meaningful and sustained innovation. Where optimism blinds leaders to essential market signals, prevents them from acknowledging mistakes, or insists on unbending compliance with failed strategies, it can ultimately smother the very innovation it initially fueled. The key to sustaining entrepreneurial success is to develop a balanced perspective – one that captures the good vibes and forward thinking of optimism without sacrificing the critical self-examination and realistic analysis that enables one to learn from failure and adapt successfully. By recognizing the potential risk of over-optimism and consciously using strategies to foster a more subtle and balanced approach, entrepreneurs and educators can pave the way for a time when failure is not final loss, but valuable stepping stones to worthwhile and enduring innovation

### References:

- Adomako, S., Danso, A., Uddin, M., & Damoah, J. (2016). Entrepreneurs' optimism, cognitive style and persistence. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 22(1), 84-108. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-07-2015-0158>
- Alves, J. and Yang, W. (2022). Cognitive mechanisms in entrepreneurship competence: its implication for open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, 8(2), 65. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8020065>
- Amore, M. D., Garofalo, O., & Martin-Sanchez, V. (2021a). Failing to Learn from Failure: How Optimism Impedes Entrepreneurial Innovation. *Organization Science*, 32(4), 940–964. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2020.1359>

Anglin, A. H., Mckenny, A., & Short, J. (2018). The Impact of Collective Optimism on New Venture Creation and Growth: A Social Contagion Perspective. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 42, 390–425. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12256>

Astiana, M., Malinda, M., Nurbasari, A., & Margaretha, M. (2022). Entrepreneurship Education Increases Entrepreneurial Intention Among Undergraduate Students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, volume–11–2022(volume–11–issue–2–april–2022), 995–1008. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.11.2.995>

Behaviour & Research, 22(1), 84-108. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-07-2015-0158>

Bengtsson, Ola and Daniel Ekeblom. “The Bright but Right View? A New Type of Evidence on Entrepreneurial Optimism.” *PRN: Distributive & Economic Justice* (2014): n. pag.

Cohen, D., Hsu, D. K., & Shinnar, R. S. (2024). Beyond educating students about business failure: The role of optimism training and hope. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 62(6), 2779–2805. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2023.2275590>

Criaco, G., Sieger, P., Wennberg, K., Chirico, F., & Minola, T. (2017). Parents' performance in entrepreneurship as a "double-edged sword" for the intergenerational transmission of entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 49(4), 841–864. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-017-9854-x>

Das, R. (2023). Entrepreneurship: the other name of innovation. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(12), 3606-3616. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.4.1223.123546>

De Meza, D., Dawson, C., Henley, A., & Arabsheibani, G. R. (2019). Curb your enthusiasm: Optimistic entrepreneurs earn less. *European Economic Review*, 111, 53–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2018.08.007>

DeAngelis, S. (n.d.). Optimism as an Entrepreneurial Tool [Review]. *Philisophical Aesthetics*. <https://www.deangelisreview.com/blog/optimism-as-an-entrepreneurial-tool>

Glösenberg, A. and Macdonald, E. (2024). *Entrepreneurship and innovation*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hebz/9780192893512.003.0013>

Gonthier, J. and Chirita, G. (2019). The role of corporate incubators as invigorators of innovation capabilities in parent companies. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-019-0104-0>

Griffin, T. (2024, November 7). How to Learn From Your Failures: 4 Valuable Lessons [Educational]. *Entrepreneurship*. <https://www.business.com/articles/learning-from-failure/>

Henrekson, M. and Stenkula, M. (2022). *William j. baumol: innovative contributor to entrepreneurship economics.*, 107-131. <https://doi.org/10.1108/s0743-41542022000040b010>

Hreha, J. (2023). How To Learn From Failure Using The Entrepreneurial Mindset. <https://www.Personatalent.Com/>

Jones, J., Hymer, C., Roccapiore, A., & Smith, B. (2023). Does religion matter to angels? exploring the influence of religion in entrepreneurial investor decision-making. *Small Business Economics*, 62(4), 1337-1360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-023-00840-9>

Lalani, H. (2023, August 4). The 5 Most Important Cognitive Biases Holding You Back [Educational]. *Entrepreneur*. <https://www.entrepreneur.com/growing-a-business/5-cognitive-biases-that-are-holding-you-back/456243>



- Lattacher, W. and Wdowiak, M. (2020). Entrepreneurial learning from failure. a systematic review. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 26(5), 1093-1131. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-02-2019-0085>
- Li, P., Liu, H., Li, Y., & Wang, H. (2023). Exploration–exploitation duality with both tradeoff and synergy: the curvilinear interaction effects of learning modes on innovation types. *Management and Organization Review*, 19(3), 498-532. <https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2022.49>
- Li, Q. (2023). A study on the impact of entrepreneurial failure experiences on learning behavior—from the perspective of grief recovery. *Academic Journal of Business & Management*, 5(13). <https://doi.org/10.25236/ajbm.2023.051316>
- Lyu, Y. (2024). Entrepreneurial failure experience, entrepreneurial resilience, and re-entrepreneurship performance: interaction effects: evidence from china. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.54517/esp.v9i3.2069>
- MICHAEL, L., Hou, S., & Fan, H. (2011). Creative self-efficacy and innovative behavior in a service setting: optimism as a moderator. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 45(4), 258-272. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2011.tb01430.x>
- Minola, T. (2017). Parents' performance in entrepreneurship as a "double-edged sword" for the intergenerational transmission of entrepreneurship. <https://doi.org/10.7892/boris.99707>
- Mishra, A. K. (2024). Exploring Entrepreneurial Success Factors in Nepal. *New Perspective: Journal of Business and Economics*, 7(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3126/npjbe.v7i1.70019>
- Ndlovu, S., Radebe, T., Xulu, N., & Mlambo, V. (2023). Entrepreneurial mindset as a facilitator and barrier to entrepreneurship development: the mediating role of entrepreneurial culture. *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review*, 6(9), 170-182. <https://doi.org/10.47814/ijssrr.v6i9.1492>
- Oberer, B. and Erkollar, A. (2023). Advancing entrepreneurship education: an integrated approach to empowering future innovators. *Journal of Systemics Cybernetics and Informatics*, 21(4), 76-81. <https://doi.org/10.54808/jsci.21.04.76>
- Pierce, J. and Aguinis, H. (2011). The too-much-of-a-good-thing effect in management. *Journal of Management*, 39(2), 313-338. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311410060>
- Ratinho, T. and Sarasvathy, S. (2024). Entrepreneurial actions under uncertainty: the role of psychological variables and decision logics. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 30(10), 2701-2730. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-12-2023-1316>
- Sahoo, D., Le, T., Kumar, A., & Chandel, A. (2024). The impact of entrepreneurial failures on psychological resilience., 381-400. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3673-1.ch020>
- Shepherd, D., Patzelt, H., & Haynie, J. (2010). Entrepreneurial spirals: deviation–amplifying loops of an entrepreneurial mindset and organizational culture. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 34(1), 59-82. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00313.x>
- Singh, P. (2019, January 11). Why Being Too Optimistic is Bad For Your Business. *Entrepreneur Asia Pacific*. <https://www.entrepreneur.com/en-au/lifestyle/being-too-optimistic-is-bad-for-your-business/326142>
- Ucbasaran, D., Flores, M.D., & Westhead, P. (2007). Entrepreneurial Optimism and Experience: Does the Nature of Experience Matter? *Frontiers of entrepreneurship research*, 27, 4.

Walsh, G. and Cunningham, J. (2017). Regenerative failure and attribution. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 23(4), 688-707. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-03-2015-0072>

Wang, P., Xiong, Z., & Zhao, Z. (2023). Exploring the relationship between failure-learning-based entrepreneurship education and youth entrepreneurial resilience: a mediated moderation model. *E+m Ekonomie a Management*, 26(4), 51-65. <https://doi.org/10.15240/tul/001/2023-5-001>

Wei, J., Chen, Y., Zhang, J., & Gong, Y. (2019). Research on factors affecting the entrepreneurial learning from failure: an interpretive structure model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01304>

Wu, M., Wang, X., Liang, J., & Feng, Y. (2024). The effect of learning about entrepreneurial failure on recreational willingness: a moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Business Economics and Management*, 15(3), 361-367. <https://doi.org/10.54097/sw1syr71>

Yamakawa, Y. (2025, January 22). *I Teach Aspiring Entrepreneurs Why It's Good to Fail—Here's How to Turn That Failure into Success*. <https://entrepreneurship.babson.edu/yasuhiro-yamakawa-entrepreneur>

Zhao, L., Li, H., & Chen, L. (2022). Chinese college students' innovation and entrepreneurship capacity influencing factors: the entrepreneurial atmosphere moderating effect test. *Sustainability*, 14(20), 13020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013020>