

The Rise and Fall of Dunzo: A Case Study of Hyperlocal Delivery Economics and Strategic Investment Dynamics in India's Quick Commerce Sector.

Dr. DEEPU KUMAR

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce,
Government First Grade College for Women, K R Nagara, Mysore District-571602
Karnataka, India.
Email-deepukumar09@gmail.com

Abstract

This study critically examines the rise and decline of Dunzo, one of India's earliest hyperlocal delivery platforms, within the evolving quick commerce ecosystem. The research investigates the strategic, financial, and operational factors that contributed to Dunzo's rapid expansion and eventual collapse between 2014 and 2025. Drawing upon Platform Business Theory, Unit Economics Theory, and Strategic Investment Theory, the study develops a conceptual explanation for the sustainability challenges associated with venture-backed quick commerce platforms in emerging markets.

The study adopts a qualitative case study methodology using secondary data sources, including corporate disclosures, industry reports, financial statements, and business media publications. Through thematic and chronological analysis, the research evaluates Dunzo's operational model, revenue structure, expansion strategy, and investor relationships, with particular emphasis on the strategic role of Reliance Retail as a dominant investor.

The findings indicate that Dunzo's failure resulted primarily from structurally unfavourable unit economics, excessive capital-intensive expansion, escalating operational expenditures, and overdependence on strategic investment funding. The study further identifies a "strategic investment paradox," wherein large strategic investments simultaneously accelerated growth while increasing organizational vulnerability through governance concentration and strategic dependency.

The research contributes to the literature on platform economics and quick commerce sustainability by demonstrating that market expansion without economically viable transaction-level profitability creates long-term structural instability. The findings provide important implications for start-up governance, capital allocation efficiency, and strategic investor management within emerging digital platform economies.

Keywords: *hyperlocal delivery, fast commerce, unit economics, strategic investment, Indian Startups, platform business models*

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of hyperlocal delivery and quick commerce platforms represents a significant transformation within India's digital economy. The rapid growth of internet penetration, smartphone adoption, digital payment systems, and urban consumer demand for convenience has accelerated the expansion of platform-based logistics services across metropolitan regions (Gupta & Arora, 2021). Particularly after the COVID-19 pandemic, consumer preference for rapid doorstep delivery substantially intensified, contributing to the rise of quick commerce as a dominant retail fulfilment model.

Quick commerce platforms operate through digitally coordinated logistics ecosystems that facilitate rapid delivery of groceries, consumer essentials, and local services within tightly defined geographic boundaries. These businesses rely heavily on platform economics, network effects, dark store infrastructure, and high-frequency transactions to achieve scale efficiency (Parker et al., 2016). However, despite rapid market growth and significant investor interest, concerns regarding long-term sustainability, unit economics, and capital efficiency continue to challenge the viability of the sector. Dunzo emerged as one of India's pioneering hyperlocal delivery platforms following its establishment in Bengaluru in 2014. Initially operating as a concierge-style service through WhatsApp-based interactions, the company subsequently evolved into an integrated digital platform offering grocery delivery, courier logistics, and local errand services. Dunzo's rapid expansion attracted substantial venture capital funding from institutional investors, including Google and Reliance Retail, positioning the company as a prominent competitor within India's quick commerce industry.

Despite its strong market visibility and investor backing, Dunzo experienced severe financial distress and operational decline, ultimately ceasing operations in early 2025. The collapse of the company presents an important opportunity to examine the structural sustainability challenges associated with venture-backed platform businesses in emerging economies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Hyperlocal Models of Business Delivery

Hyperlocal delivery platforms fit within the larger context of on-demand economy services, with online mediation between service providers and consumers (Sundararajan, 2016). They have network effects, with value that rises with the growing user base, and they struggle greatly to achieve favourable unit economics in the growth stage (Parker et al., 2016). The 15-30-minute delivery quick commerce model is a supercharged form of hyperlocal delivery that involves significant infrastructure investments in pre-deployed stock (dark stores) and extremely dense networks of deliveries (Alaimo et al., 2021).

2.2 Unit Economics of Platform Businesses

Unit economics the top-line revenues and bottom-line expenses of a specific business unit or transaction are fundamental measures of sustainability for a specific business model (Eisenmann et al., 2006). In logistics platforms, a select few metrics emerge as crucial indicators, namely customer acquisition cost (CAC), average order value (AOV), contribution margin per order, and customer lifetime value (CLV). Negative unit economics, while sometimes tolerable within initial early growth phases on the assumption that economies of scale will happen in the future, represent serious risks over the long haul (Ghezzi & Cavallo, 2020).

2.3 Strategic Investment and Corporate Venture Capital

Strategic investors look for both financial ROI but also for strategic returns such as informational gains from the marketplace, governance over the ecosystem, and vertical integration opportunities (Dushnitsky & Lenox, 2006). These investments bring with them governance complexities, mostly for the control rights, flexibility of funds, and autonomy on a strategic dimension (Cumming & Johan, 2013).

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study employs the Resource-Based View, Two-Sided Market Theory, and Agency Theory to explain Dunzo's organizational trajectory. Collectively, these theories explain how Dunzo created competitive advantage, why its business model became unsustainable, and how investor dependency accelerated organizational decline.

3.1 Resource-Based View

The Resource-Based View argues that sustainable competitive advantage depends on valuable and difficult-to-imitate resources (Barney, 1991). Dunzo initially benefited from first-mover advantage, technological capability, and an established delivery network; however, these advantages weakened as competitors replicated its business model and leveraged stronger financial resources.

3.2 Two-Sided Market Theory

Two-Sided Market Theory explains how digital platforms create value by connecting consumers and service providers through network effects (Rochet & Tirole, 2003). Although Dunzo achieved rapid user growth, its infrastructure-intensive quick commerce model generated persistently negative unit economics, causing operational expansion to increase losses rather than profitability.

3.3 Agency Theory

Agency Theory examines conflicts between investors and management arising from differing objectives (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Reliance Retail's significant ownership stake increased Dunzo's financial dependence on a single strategic investor, limiting organizational flexibility and intensifying governance-related risks when additional funding support was withdrawn.

4. RESEARCH GAP

Existing studies on hyperlocal delivery platforms largely focus on market growth, platform scalability, and consumer convenience. Limited research has examined the structural sustainability challenges associated with venture-backed quick commerce firms in emerging markets, particularly the interaction between unit economics, aggressive expansion, and strategic investor dependency. This study addresses this gap by analysing Dunzo's organizational trajectory through integrated theoretical perspectives.

5. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The research objectives of the study are as follows.

1. To know the factors behind Dunzo's early market success as well as how it achieved competitive advantage.
2. To analyse the operational, financial, and strategic decisions that led the company decline.
3. To examine the strategic investor relationship, especially the Reliance Retail relationship, as it affects organizational outcomes

6. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative case study methodology to examine Dunzo's strategic and financial trajectory within India's quick commerce industry. The research relies primarily on secondary data sources, including financial disclosures, industry reports, corporate announcements, and business publications such as Economic Times, Moneycontrol, and Outlook Business. Data triangulation was employed to improve reliability by cross-verifying operational and financial information across multiple independent sources. The analysis follows a chronological and thematic approach to identify the strategic, operational, and financial factors contributing to Dunzo's organizational decline.

7. DUNZO'S GROWTH ANALYSIS

7.1 Identifying Market Opportunity

Its success in early years can be ascribed to its correct identification of the problems of urban consumers in Indian metropolises. The company addressed a genuine need for on-time errand services, doorstep delivery of essential products, and courier services in densely populated cities, where convenience was paramount.

7.2 Operational Model Evolution

The company's operational development presented a significant amount of strategic flexibility. Starting from a capital-efficient WhatsApp-based service model, Dunzo progressively enhanced its proprietary technology infrastructure, organized networks of delivery partners, and refined user experience with constant feedback systems. This lean start-up approach (Ries, 2011) minimized initial capital requirements while maximizing rapid market learning.

7.3 Capital Formation and Brand Building

Dunzo raised capital from prominent venture capital firms like Google, Lightbox, and Blume Ventures (Times of India, 2021). These investments not only granted financial aid but also boosted the company's reputation and credibility within the market. The firm's brand approach was based on "anything, anytime" delivery and significant investments in online advertisements, which led to increased consumer identification and a strong market presence.

7.4 Quick Commerce Pivot

Around 2021-2022, Dunzo turned towards the quick commerce model with dark stores micro-fulfilment centres strategically positioned for fast grocery and necessity products delivery. The strategic development was planned for capitalizing on customer demand for super-fast delivery and also enhancing unit economics with superior prices and enhanced frequency of orders.

7.5 Service Diversification

The diversification of platforms across various service categories, including errands, courier services, and grocery delivery, has broadened Dunzo's potential market and created several revenue channels, thereby theoretically diminishing reliance on a singular vertical.

8. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

8.1 Revenue and Loss Trajectory

Financial data from FY2022 and FY2023 reveal concerning trends in Dunzo's economic performance:

Table:1 Dunzo's economic performance

Financial Metric	FY 2022	FY 2023	Growth Rate
Revenue from Operations	₹54.3 crore	₹226.6 crore	317%
Net Loss	₹464 crore	₹1,801.8 crore	288%
Total Expenses	₹531.7 crore	₹2,054.4 crore	286%
Employee Benefits	₹138.3 crore	₹338 crore	144%

Advertising Expenditure	₹64 crore	₹309 crore	383%
-------------------------	-----------	------------	------

Source: *Economic Times; Money control (2023)*

While revenue increased significantly, expenses increased proportionally, and absolute losses grew nearly four times. This pattern indicates fundamental challenges in unit economics and economies of scale.

8.2 Unit Economics Assessment

The industry reports also stated that Dunzo lost over ₹230 per order in certain operational time intervals (Entracker). The main cost drivers were:

- **Fulfilment costs:** Pay for delivery partners, dark stores operations, inventory maintenance.
- **Customer acquisition cost:** Advertising and promotion expenses.
- **Fixed infrastructure investments:** Real estate used for dark stores, technology development, staff.
- **Variable cost of operations:** logistics, batch inefficiencies, and inventory wastage.

The magnitude of per-order losses, combined with extensive fixed costs, presented a structural economic barrier where scale would not yield profitability without fundamental model reinvention.

9. FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TOWARDS ORGANIZATIONAL DECLINE

9.1 Unfavourable Unit Economics

The fundamental issue with Dunzo was around unit economics that did not improve with scale. The value destruction per transaction, and since the company could not realize positive contribution margins, it meant that its execution model was fiscally unsustainable. The quick commerce model, as much as it filled customer demand, had enormous cost obligations on dark store infrastructure, speedy delivery commitments, and inventory complexities.

9.2 Aggressive Geographic Expansion

Dunzo opted for aggressive expansion in various Indian cities with dark store networks and 15-20-minute delivery assurances. This expansion, however, occurred sooner than establishing profitable operations in mature markets. The platform business literature also advises that early geographic expansion without local market profitability tends to bleed capital without equivalent value creation (Gawer & Cusumano, 2014).

9.3 Increasing Operational Costs

Certain categories of spending experienced massive increases:

- **Marketing expenses** rose nearly 383% year over year, which included big-name initiatives such as Indian Premier League sponsorship
- **Employee expenses** increased 144%, both due to headcount growth and competitive talent markets
- **Infrastructure cost** increased due to dark store real estate and maintenance

This cost expansion surpassed revenue collection, signifying inefficiency in operations and possibly capital wastage.

9.4 Competitive Intensity

The hyperlocal delivery industry saw heightened competition from well-funded players such as Swiggy (Instamart), Blinkit (owned by Zomato), and Zepto. These peers, with some having deeper capital buffers or better operational effectiveness, put pressure on prices and accelerated the upward trajectory of delivery expectations. Dunzo's first-mover advantage did not hold up against peers with better execution abilities and capital might.

9.5 Funding Environment Deterioration

The global venture capital climate saw severe tightening starting from late 2022, continuing up through 2023-2024. This "funding winter" hit growth-stage companies with adverse unit economics especially hard. In Dunzo's case, as it needed relentless capital inputs just to continue costing money, this environmental shift turned out to be extremely harmful. Try as they might, reportedly, funding rescues suffered dramatic valuation discounts, and multiple would-be funding sources never materialized.

9.6 Organizational and Leadership Instability

With growing financial stress, Dunzo experienced organisational disruption:

- Founders Dalvir Suri and Mukund Jha left the company.
- Board makeup changed
- Employee salaries faced delays or non-payment
- Downsizing of workforce occurred, with the operating count reduced from over 1,000 to just about 200 employees
- Vendor payment default increased

These disruptions amplified and reflected degradation in operations, and they created a vicious feedback cycle between worsening capability and waning confidence in the marketplace.

10. STRATEGIC POSITION OF RELIANCE RETAIL

10.1 Investment Entry and Configuration

Reliance Retail invested about \$200-240 million and acquired a 25-26% stake and became the biggest shareholder of Dunzo in January 2022 (Economic Times, 2022; Entracker, 2022). The funding was a strategic entry by India's largest retailing group into the quick commerce space and potentially vertical integration opportunities and insights into the industry.

10.2 Impact of Growth Catalysts

Investment from Reliance gave Dunzo:

- Substantial funds for facilitating dark store expansion and intensification of marketing
- Enhancing market credibility through association with a large corporate body.
- Potential strategic synergies with Reliance's retail network, for example, Jio
- Scale of operations in pursuit of aggressive share goals

This funding came at the time of Dunzo's most aggressive expansion and increase in spending on advertisements.

10.3 Strategic Pressure and Governance Implications

The Reliance investment reportedly included significant governance provisions, potentially including veto rights or substantial board influence (Economic Times, 2025). Such arrangements, common in strategic investments, may have created several effects:

- **Growth Prioritization:** Urges for quick market share acquisition and coverage expansion, which might come at the cost of optimizing unit economics
- **Strategic Alignment Required:** Expectations around alignment with Reliance's overall retail strategy.
- **Funding Barrier:** Prospects of having problems with other capital sources as a result of current investor control rights
- **Strategic Inflexibility:** Reduction in organizational autonomy in adjusting strategies or restructuring operations in response to market feedback.

10.4 Withdrawal of Investments and Write-off

When Dunzo's financial situation went down, Reliance Retail ceased investments further. In Reliance's FY 2025 annual report, it officially announced a full write-down of its overall investment in Dunzo, pegged at roughly ₹1,645 crores (Economic Times, 2025; Outlook Business, 2025). This action:

- **Signalled market sentiment:** Showed other would-be investors that Dunzo was not viable
- **Removed the safety net:** Eliminated the implicit backstop that Dunzo's management may have relied upon
- **Accelerated collapse:** Lacking support from its biggest investor, rescue funding became close to impossible

10.5 Theoretical Framework: Strategic Investment Paradox

The Dunzo-Reliance Retail partnership exemplifies what we term the "strategic investment paradox"—when massive strategic investments sustain growth and inject risks simultaneously. The capital and credibility provided by strategic investors facilitate scaling rapidly, but resulting governance limitations, strategic demands, and funding centralization create organizational frailty.

When the objectives of strategic investors depart from start-up viability (whether due to changing corporate imperatives, considerations of competition, or limits of tolerable losses), heavy dependence is terminal. This is in contrast with diversified financial investor portfolios where solitary failures represent minimal exposure.

11. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

11.1 Unit Economics as the Basic Constraint

The Dunzo example verifies unit economics dominance in sustaining business models. Regardless of the size of the market opportunity, brand, and access to capital, organizations that lose value on a unit basis have structural sustainability problems. The fallacy that scale will automatically develop desirable unit economics—a form of "growth at all costs" thinking—did not pan out for Dunzo.

Practice implication: In advance of aggressive expansion, start-ups need to outline clear pathways to favourable unit economics, ideally demonstrating profitable unit operations in pilots prior to geographic expansion.

11.2 Strategic Investor Relationship Management

The concentration of governance and ownership power in a single strategic investor both offered and posed challenges for Dunzo. While strategic investment allowed for support beyond financial investment, it also enforced restrictions on adaptability at the organisational level when strategic changes were considered inevitable.

Practical implication: Ventures must review governance terms with strategic investments attentively, leaving sufficient diversification of ownership and strategic flexibility for accommodating changes in marketplace dynamics.

11.3 Capital Burn Rate and Capital Efficiency Management

Dunzo's phenomenal cost increase, especially in marketing (383% year-over-year increase), is an indication of capital allocation inefficiency. High-profile marketing efforts might create brand publicity but add minimal value if fundamental service quality, reliability, and economics continue to be problematic.

Practical implication: Marketing expenditures have to be consistent with operational capacity and unit economic improvement, with specific measure of return on marketing expenditures other than vanity measures.

11.4 Network Effect Markets Competitive

The first-mover benefit that Dunzo had wasn't sufficient against highly-efficient and well-funded peers. In network effect-based markets with low customer-switching costs, operational efficiency and capital reservoir can possibly replace first-mover benefit.

Practice implication: Premarket entry must be matched with continuing improvement in operations and long-term competitive advantages that move beyond temporal precedence.

11.5 The Importance of Market Timing

Dunzo's collapse coincided with a broader contraction in venture capital markets. While the company's fundamental challenges preceded this environmental shift, the timing proved catastrophic. Ventures with extended runway requirements face significant market timing risk.

Implications for practitioners: The capital structure needs to provide for a sufficient period for profitability or milestone achievement that aids fundraising under different conditions of the market, as opposed to favourable ones only.

12. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

12.1 Limitations

The study has several limitations. First, depending solely on data that is publicly accessible leads to information asymmetry, which makes it challenging to acquire comprehensive financial metrics and comprehend internal decision-making procedures. Second, Evaluating Dunzo's long-term ecosystem impact remains difficult due to the recent nature of its organizational collapse. Finally, because their possible outcomes are still speculative, there is inherent uncertainty surrounding alternative strategic paths, such as different investor relationships or a more cautious expansion approach.

12.2 Future Research Possibilities

A few topics need more investigation. In order to determine the characteristics that set successful and unsuccessful hyperlocal delivery platforms apart, one method is to compare them. An analysis of the market structure that focuses on the competitive dynamics and merger and acquisition trends in India's fast commerce industry is another.

13. CONCLUSION

The present study examined the strategic and financial trajectory of Dunzo within the context of India's rapidly expanding quick commerce industry. The findings demonstrate that although Dunzo successfully identified emerging urban consumer demand and achieved rapid market expansion, the organization was unable to establish economically sustainable operational foundations.

The study identifies structurally negative unit economics, excessive operational expenditures, aggressive geographic expansion, and concentrated investor dependency as the principal factors contributing to organizational decline. The findings further indicate that rapid scaling strategies unsupported by transaction-level profitability may generate temporary market visibility but create significant long-term financial vulnerability.

The analysis additionally highlights the dual role of strategic investors within platform-based start-ups. While strategic investments provide capital, market legitimacy, and growth acceleration opportunities, excessive dependence on a dominant investor may reduce organizational flexibility and increase governance-related risks. The proposed concept of the "strategic investment paradox" contributes to understanding how strategic investment relationships can simultaneously support and destabilize organizational sustainability.

The study contributes to the growing literature on platform business sustainability and quick commerce economics by integrating platform theory, unit economics, and strategic investment perspectives within a unified analytical framework. The findings offer important implications for entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers concerning capital efficiency, sustainable scaling strategies, and governance structures in emerging digital platform ecosystems.

14. REFERENCES

1. Alaimo, L. S., Fiore, M., & Galati, A. (2021). Measuring consumers' level of satisfaction for online food shopping drivers during COVID-19 in Italy using POSETs. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 82, 101064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2021.101064>
2. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
3. Cumming, D., & Johan, S. (2013). *Venture capital and private equity contracting: An international perspective* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
4. Dushnitsky, G., & Lenox, M. J. (2006). When does corporate venture capital investment create firm value? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(6), 753–772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2005.04.012>
5. Economic Times. (2022, January). Reliance Retail leads \$200 million funding round in Dunzo.
6. Economic Times. (2023, November). *Dunzo FY23 loss widens to Rs 1,800 crore; revenue jumps 4x to Rs 226 crore*.
7. Economic Times. (2025, January). *Dunzo's demise: How the Reliance-backed hyperlocal delivery startup unravelled*.
8. Economic Times. (2025, August). *Reliance Industries writes off its investment in Dunzo*.
9. Eisenmann, T., Parker, G., & Van Alstyne, M. (2006). Strategies for two-sided markets. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(10), 92–101.
10. Entracker. (2022). *Reliance Retail acquires 25% stake in Dunzo*.
11. Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 417–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12105>
12. Ghezzi, A., & Cavallo, A. (2020). Agile business model innovation in digital entrepreneurship: Lean startup approaches. *Journal of Business Research*, 110, 519–537. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.06.013>
13. Gupta, S., & Arora, P. (2021). The evolution of hyperlocal delivery in India: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 13(2), 156–174. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-01-2020-0015>
14. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
15. Moneycontrol. (2023). *Dunzo financials FY2023*.
16. Outlook Business. (2025). *Dunzo's downfall explained: What led Reliance to write off entire \$200 million stake in start-up*.
17. Parker, G. G., Van Alstyne, M. W., & Choudary, S. P. (2016). *Platform revolution: How networked markets are transforming the economy and how to make them work for you*. W. W. Norton & Company.
18. Ries, E. (2011). *The lean startup: How today's entrepreneurs use continuous innovation to create radically successful businesses*. Crown Business.
19. Rochet, J.-C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1(4), 990–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1162/154247603322493212>
20. Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The sharing economy: The end of employment and the rise of crowd-based capitalism*. MIT Press.
21. Times of India. (2019, January). *Dunzo raises USD 40 million in funding from Google, Lightbox and others*.