International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 09 | Sept - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

The Role of Corporate Social Responsibility in Building Brand Equity Among Indian Companies

Atul Kumar Yadav
Research Scholar
Department of Commerce and Business Administration,
University Of Allahabad

Dr. Abhay Pandey
Assistant Professor
Ramanujan College,
University of Delhi

Dr. Anant Kumar Upadhyay
Assistant Professor
Shyamlal College
University of Delhi

Abstraction

The strategic role of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in enhancing brand equity in the Indian business environment is examined in this study. In India, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has evolved from charitable voluntarism to a legally required practice under the Companies Act of 2013, which requires businesses to incorporate social and environmental initiatives into their main business plans. The study synthesizes findings from academic literature and corporate case studies using descriptive analysis and secondary data to investigate the relationship between CSR initiatives and brand equity, which is defined by awareness, associations, loyalty, and perceived quality. According to research, CSR greatly increases customer trust, fortifies brand associations with moral principles, cultivates loyalty, and enhances a company's reputation in general. Leading Indian companies like the Tata Group, Hindustan Unilever, ITC, and Infosys provide case studies that highlight how socially conscious business practices strengthen long-term competitive advantage and brand differentiation. Concerns about greenwashing and sector-specific differences, however, draw attention to the necessity of genuine communication and more extensive comparative analysis. According to the study's findings, CSR is an essential strategic tool for building long-lasting stakeholder relationships and brand equity in India's cutthroat market, going beyond statutory compliance.

1. Introduction

The changing nature of international business has forced companies to strike a balance between increasing profits and fulfilling their wider social and environmental obligations. As a result, corporate social responsibility (CSR), which is the obligation of businesses to account for their effects on society (European Commission, 2011), has become a crucial strategic tool. A foundational basis for scholarly and managerial understanding, Carroll's (1991) groundbreaking pyramid framework explains corporate social responsibility (CSR) in terms of economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities.

With the passage of the Companies Act, 2013, which required companies that met certain requirements to devote at least 2% of their average net profits over the previous three years to CSR initiatives, CSR in India saw a major uptick in activity (Ministry of Corporate Affairs, 2013).
In addition to standardizing CSR procedures, this law has pushed businesses to match their long-

term goals with their social initiatives.
These days, Indian businesses make major contributions to rural development, healthcare, education, and environmental sustainability (Kansal, Joshi, & Batra, 2014; Arora & Puranik, 2004).
The idea of brand equity has grown in importance alongside corporate social responsibility (CSR) for businesses vying f or customers in increasingly crowded markets.
The value that consumers attach to a brand is known as brand equity, and it includes things like awareness, loyalty, perc eived quality, and associations (Aaker, 1991; Keller, 1993).
It has been demonstrated that strong brand equity increases consumer preference, lowers price sensitivity, and cultivates enduring relationships with customers (Yoo & Donthu, 2001; Erdem & Swait, 1998). Recent research shows that through influencing consumer perceptions and fostering emotional bonds with brands, CSR initiatives have a positive impact on brand equity.

While Martínez and del Bosque (2013) found that CSR is a determinant of trust and loyalty, Luo and Bhattacharya (2006) found that CSR increases customer satisfaction and firm market value. Mishra and Suar (2010) demonstrated that CSR has a positive impact on both financial and non-financial performance in the Indian context, and Fatma et al. (2015) emphasized how it shapes customer advocacy and loyalty. Additionally, socially conscious businesses frequently acquire credibility and a positive reputation, which strengthens their brand equity (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2010).

Indian businesses provide strong illustrations of the connections between CSR and brand equity. The Tata Group's focus on community development has greatly influenced its brand identity and built a solid reputation for honesty and trust (Raman, 2015). In addition to empowering rural women entrepreneurs, Hindustan Unilever Limited's Project Shakti has improved brand penetration in rural India and fostered social development (Rangan, Chase, & Karim, 2015). ITC's e-Choupal program for farmers and Infosys' emphasis on education and digital literacy serve as additional examples of how CSR can be used as a brand-building tactic.

In light of this, the current study uses descriptive analysis to examine how CSR helps Indian businesses develop their brand equity. In order to demonstrate how CSR can serve as a strategic tool for building long-lasting brand value in the Indian business environment, the study will synthesize insights from literature and corporate case studies.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Evolution of CSR and Brand Equity

Over the years, the idea of CSR has undergone substantial change. Carroll (1991) put forth the much-quoted "Pyramid of CSR," which encompassed philanthropic, legal, ethical, and economic obligations. Business conglomerates like Tata and Birla have historically implemented corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India through philanthropy and community service (Arora & Puranik, 2004). However, by requiring qualifying companies to invest at least 2% of their net profits in CSR initiatives, the Companies Act of 2013 institutionalized CSR (Ministry of Corporate Affairs, 2013).

Over the years, the idea of CSR has undergone substantial change. Carroll (1991) put forth the much-quoted "Pyramid of CSR," which encompassed philanthropic, legal, ethical, and economic obligations. Business conglomerates like Tata and Birla have historically implemented corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India through philanthropy and community service (Arora & Puranik, 2004). However, by requiring qualifying companies to invest at least 2% of their net profits in CSR initiatives, the Companies Act of 2013 institutionalized CSR (Ministry of Corporate Affairs, 2013).

2.2 CSR and Consumer Perceptions

CSR is essential in influencing how consumers view brands. Customers are more likely to support businesses that practice social responsibility, according to Bhattacharya and Sen (2004). CSR has a positive impact on customer satisfaction and firm market value, as demonstrated empirically by Luo and Bhattacharya (2006). According to Martínez and del Bosque (2013), CSR in the hospitality industry influences customer-company identification, trust, and loyalty.

Singh and Agarwal (2013) highlighted the growing significance of sustainability reporting in enhancing consumer trust, while Mishra and Suar (2010) discovered that CSR has a positive impact on both financial and non-financial performance in the Indian context. According to research by Fatma, Rahman, and Khan (2015), CSR improves brand equity and company reputation by boosting customer confidence in Indian banking companies.

2.3. CSR as a Driver of Brand Equity

Several aspects of brand equity are strengthened by CSR initiatives:

Brand Awareness: CSR initiatives raise awareness and encourage goodwill (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2010).

Brand Associations: According to Brown and Dacin (1997), socially conscious conduct fosters associations with sustainability, ethics, and trust.

Brand Loyalty: According to Perez and Rodríguez del Bosque (2015), consumers are more devoted to brands they believe to be socially conscious.

Perceived Quality: According to Erdem and Swait (1998), CSR initiatives improve the general perception of the quality of goods and services.

This connection is exemplified by Indian corporations. The Tata Group's reputation as India's most trusted brand has been strengthened by its emphasis on community development (Raman, 2015). In addition to empowering women, Hindustan Unilever's Project Shakti boosted brand recognition in rural India (Rangan, Chase, & Karim, 2015). Infosys's CSR in education and digital literacy has reinforced its identity as a socially conscious IT leader.

2.4. CSR Communication and Reporting

Leveraging CSR for brand equity requires effective CSR communication. In order to maximize stakeholder returns, Du, Bhattacharya, and Sen (2010) emphasized the importance of effective CSR communication. Businesses that use sustainability reports to openly reveal their CSR practices increase their reputational capital (Fombrun, 2005).

Kansal, Joshi, and Batra (2014) examined corporate disclosures in India and discovered that companies with extensive CSR reporting practices have a reputational advantage and higher stakeholder trust. CSR reporting has emerged as a crucial component of corporate governance in India, according to Singh and Agarwal (2013).

2. 5. Critical Perspectives and Research Gaps

Although the majority of research highlights the beneficial relationship between CSR and brand equity, some academics warn against "greenwashing" or flimsy CSR tactics. CSR can backfire and harm a company's reputation if it is not sincere or is not communicated well (Parguel, Benoît-Moreau, & Larceneux, 2011). Furthermore, a large number of studies conducted in India are still sector-specific (banking, IT, FMCG) and do not compare different industries.

Since many studies only examine consumer perceptions without incorporating financial and brand performance metrics, there is also a measurement gap regarding the direct impact of CSR on brand equity (Kumar & Pansari, 2016). Therefore, comprehensive frameworks that integrate firm-based and consumer-based measures of brand equity in connection to CSR are required.

Objective of the study

1: To Examine the Concept of CSR and Brand Equity in the Indian Context.

2: To Analyze the Relationship Between CSR Initiatives and Brand Equity.

3. Research Methodology

The descriptive research design used in this study is ideal for examining the connection between brand equity and corporate social responsibility (CSR) in the Indian context. Descriptive research is frequently used to methodically describe facts, situations, or relationships because it concentrates on "what exists" rather than changing variables (Kothari, 2004). Descriptive research offers a thorough framework to synthesize existing knowledge and extract significant insights, which is essential for this paper's goal of examining CSR practices of Indian companies and analyzing how such initiatives impact brand equity.

Since secondary data sources provide comprehensive, reliable, and varied insights into CSR and brand equity, they are the main source of information used in this study. In the Indian context, where a multitude of CSR-related information is accessible through corporate disclosures, governmental regulations, and scholarly studies, secondary research is especially beneficial. According to Johnston (2017), secondary data research is an effective and dependable method for exploratory and descriptive studies because it allows researchers to reanalyze and reinterpret previously collected data for novel purposes.

4. Findings

Concept of CSR and Brand Equity in the Indian Context

Over the past 20 years, corporate social responsibility, or CSR, has changed significantly in India. Before corporate social responsibility (CSR) gained international attention, it was primarily seen in India as the philanthropic and charitable contributions of corporations like Tata, Birla, and Bajaj, who founded hospitals, schools, and community welfare organizations (Arora & Puranik, 2004). However, CSR has developed into a more organized and strategic activity as a result of growing globalization, stakeholder pressure, and regulatory changes (Kansal, Joshi, & Batra, 2014).

The Companies Act of 2013, which required CSR spending for companies that met certain financial thresholds, was the most significant change. Companies must invest at least 2% of their average net profits over the preceding three years in CSR initiatives, as mandated by Section 135 of the Act (Ministry of Corporate Affairs, 2013). In addition to standardizing CSR, this legislative mandate made India the first nation in the world to impose mandatory CSR contributions (Mishra & Suar, 2010; Singh & Agarwal, 2013).

Education, health care, women's empowerment, skill development, environmental sustainability, and rural development are the main focuses of CSR initiatives in India (Raman, 2015; Ghosh, 2014). For example, ITC has made significant contributions through its "e-Choupal" initiative, which empowers farmers through technology-driven market access, and the Infosys Foundation works extensively in education and rural health.

In parallel, the idea of brand equity has become equally significant in Indian business operations. According to Aaker (1991), brand equity is the collection of brand assets and liabilities associated with a brand that either increase or decrease the value that a good or service offers. Through the Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE) model, which focuses on consumer perceptions of brand awareness, associations, loyalty, and perceived quality, Keller (1993) extended this.

Because Indian consumers are more value-driven and favor brands that uphold ethical standards and social responsibility, brand equity is essential in this country (Mishra & Suar, 2010). By integrating their corporate values with their social commitments, companies like the Tata Group and Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL) have strengthened their brands and gained the trust and loyalty of their customers (Rangan, Chase, & Karim, 2015).

As a result, the Indian situation shows that corporate social responsibility (CSR) has evolved from a voluntary charitable endeavor to a crucial business necessity, and that its combination with brand equity gives businesses a competitive edge as well as reputational capital.

Relationship Between CSR Initiatives and Brand Equity

Numerous studies have examined the connection between CSR and brand equity, and the majority of the results point to a strong and positive relationship. Brand awareness, associations, trust, and loyalty are all aspects of brand equity that are directly strengthened by CSR initiatives that are well-designed and communicated (Luo & Bhattacharya, 2006; Martínez & del Bosque, 2013).

From a theoretical perspective, CSR activities enhance brand equity by:

1. Establishing Trust: According to Du, Bhattacharya, and Sen (2010), consumers are more inclined to trust brands that practice social responsibility.

2. Strengthening Associations: CSR programs help consumers associate brands with values like ethical behavior, sustainability, and community development (Fatma, Rahman, & Khan, 2015).

3. Improving Loyalty: Research indicates that consumers are more devoted to companies they believe to be socially conscious (Bhattacharya & Sen, 2004).

4. Enhancing Reputation: CSR adds to long-term brand value by acting as reputational capital (Fombrun, 2005).

This relationship is also supported by empirical research conducted in India. According to Mishra and Suar (2010), corporate social responsibility (CSR) has a positive impact on Indian companies' financial performance and reputation. Fatma et al. (2015) showed that, especially in the banking industry, CSR builds brand equity through customer satisfaction and trust. In a similar vein, Singh and Agarwal (2013) noted that CSR disclosures and sustainability reporting improve consumers' opinions of a brand's credibility and transparency.

Corporate case studies further validate this linkage. Tata Group's sustained CSR focus in education and healthcare has reinforced its image as India's most trusted brand (Raman, 2015). Hindustan Unilever's Project Shakti not only empowered rural women but also enhanced the company's brand penetration and loyalty among rural consumers (Rangan, Chase, & Karim, 2015). Infosys's CSR in digital literacy has contributed to its image as a socially conscious IT leader.

Therefore, CSR initiatives in India play a dual role: fulfilling statutory obligations and simultaneously serving as strategic investments in brand equity. Companies that align CSR with their brand identity are more successful in establishing strong, differentiated, and loyal brands in the competitive marketplace.

5. Conclusion

With the specific goals of comprehending the concepts of CSR and brand equity in the Indian context and analyzing the relationship between CSR initiatives and brand equity, the current study aimed to investigate the role of CSR in fostering brand equity among Indian companies.

According to the analysis, corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India has evolved from philanthropic voluntarism to a strategic, legally required practice under the Companies Act of 2013. CSR is being seen by Indian corporations more and more as a means of fostering long-term stakeholder engagement, reputation, and trust in addition to being a compliance exercise.

All four of the main components of brand equity—awareness, associations, loyalty, and perceived quality—are found to be positively impacted by CSR initiatives. Socially conscious business practices build consumer trust, emotional bonds, and long-term competitive advantages, as shown by case studies of Tata Group, Hindustan Unilever, Infosys, and ITC.

Important issues are also brought up by the study. CSR initiatives that are not genuine or that are not properly communicated may be perceived as greenwashing, which can damage brand equity and erode consumer trust. Additionally, the effects of CSR on brand equity appear to be sector-specific, with greater effects in consumer-facing industries like FMCG, banking, and IT, as opposed to B2B sectors. In conclusion, CSR is more than just a compliance-driven activity; it is a strategic tool for brand building in India. By integrating corporate social responsibility (CSR) into their primary business plans and publicly showcasing their initiatives, companies can boost social value and brand equity.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1991). *Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name*. Free Press.

Arora, B., & Puranik, R. (2004). A review of corporate social responsibility in India. *Development, 47*(3), 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.development.1100063

Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2004). Doing better at doing good: When, why, and how consumers respond to corporate social initiatives. *California Management Review, 47*(1), 9–24. https://doi.org/10.2307/41166284

Brown, T. J., & Dacin, P. A. (1997). The company and the product: Corporate associations and consumer product responses. *Journal of Marketing, 61*(1), 68–84. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299706100106

Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons, 34*(4), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G

Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 12*(1), 8–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00276.x

Fatma, M., Rahman, Z., & Khan, I. (2015). Building company reputation and brand equity through CSR: The mediating role of trust. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 33*(6), 840–856. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-11-2014-0166

Fombrun, C. (2005). Building corporate reputation through CSR initiatives: Evolving standards. *Corporate Reputation Review, 8*(1), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.crr.1540235

Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing, 57*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101

Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of Marketing, 70*(4), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.1

Martínez, P., & del Bosque, I. R. (2013). CSR and customer loyalty: The roles of trust, customer identification with the company and satisfaction. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 35*, 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.05.009

Mishra, S., & Suar, D. (2010). Does corporate social responsibility influence firm performance of Indian companies? *Journal of Business Ethics, 95*(4), 571–601. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0441-1

Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2013). *The Companies Act, 2013*. Government of India. https://www.mca.gov.in

Raman, M. (2015). Corporate social responsibility in India: A case study of Tata Group. *International Journal of Business and Management, 10*(5), 210–216. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v10n5p210

Rangan, K., Chase, L., & Karim, S. (2015). The truth about CSR. *Harvard Business Review, 93*(1/2), 40–49. https://hbr.org/2015/01/the-truth-about-csr

Singh, R., & Agarwal, S. (2013). CSR and sustainability reporting in India: An overview. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance, 6*(1), 17–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686220130102