The Role of Technology in Reducing Food Wastage: A Business and Social Perspective

Shravan Shenoy H, Sonali Bellari, Smruthi, Shreya, Surya K, Sushaen Shivanand Mamadapur, Swati Kesharwani, Dr. Saurabh Srivastava Associate Professor Department of Management Studies Jain (Deemed-to-be University) Orcid Id: 0000-0003-4050-9656

Introduction

Food waste and hunger are two critical issues that coexist paradoxically in many parts of the world. India, known for its rich culinary traditions, faces a significant challenge in managing food waste while simultaneously combating food insecurity. Studies indicate that nearly 40% of the food produced in India goes to waste, contributing to economic loss, environmental degradation, and social inequality. Addressing this challenge requires innovative solutions that bridge the gap between surplus food providers and those in need.

In response to this issue, our research explores the concept of technology-driven food-sharing platforms as an effective method to reduce food waste and improve food security. Digital solutions, including mobile applications and online platforms, offer efficient ways to connect food surplus providers with recipients in need. By fostering a system of surplus redistribution, these innovations promote a more sustainable and socially responsible approach to food management.

This research paper critically examines the business viability, financial implications, market strategies and social impact of implementing technology-driven food-sharing solutions. It includes an extensive literature review to analyse existing models globally, followed by a quantitative and qualitative assessment of the potential success of such an initiative in the Indian market. Through this study, we aim to provide insights into how technology, strategic partnerships, and user engagement can contribute to a sustainable and economically feasible solution for food sharing.

Abstract

Food waste and hunger remain two of the most pressing global challenges, especially in a country like India, where nearly 40% of food produced is wasted despite a significant portion of the population facing food insecurity. This research investigates the feasibility, impact, and sustainability of technology-driven food-sharing platforms, designed to bridge the gap between food surplus providers and recipients in need. Through an extensive literature review, market analysis, and financial assessment, we evaluate the viability of implementing such initiatives as scalable and sustainable business models.



The study employs primary and secondary research methods, incorporating industry insights, case studies of existing food-sharing platforms, and financial projections. The findings suggest that technology-driven food-sharing systems can effectively address food wastage while ensuring food security through strategic collaborations with restaurants, grocery stores, and community organizations. Additionally, our financial analysis indicates that while initial losses are expected due to start-up costs, revenue streams such as transaction commissions, premium subscriptions, and strategic partnerships can drive long-term profitability.

Moreover, the research highlights key factors contributing to the success of food-sharing apps, including user engagement, technological innovation, regulatory compliance, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives. By leveraging digital solutions, technology-based food-sharing platforms have the potential to create a sustainable and impactful ecosystem that fosters community engagement, reduces food wastage, and enhances food accessibility. The study concludes that technology-driven food-sharing solutions present a promising opportunity for addressing food-related challenges in India, provided they are backed by strong financial planning, policy support, and stakeholder participation.

Objectives of the study

The primary objective of this research is to explore the economic, social, and technological factors influencing the adoption and effectiveness of food-sharing platforms in reducing food wastage and improving food security. Unlike existing studies that primarily focus on the operational efficiency of such platforms, this research aims to analyse the impact of financial incentives on participation in food-sharing initiatives. By evaluating tax benefits, government subsidies, and loyalty-based reward systems, this study will assess how financial motivators can enhance the engagement of both businesses and individuals in surplus food redistribution. Furthermore, this study seeks to investigate the role of government policies in scaling food-sharing platforms by examining food donation laws, regulatory frameworks, and public-private partnerships that facilitate or hinder such initiatives. A key area of focus will be the economic feasibility of food-sharing models in diverse geographic locations, specifically comparing their viability in urban metropolitan areas versus rural communities, where logistics and technological access may present significant challenges.

Additionally, this research will delve into the psychological and behavioural barriers preventing consumers and businesses from participating in food-sharing programs. Factors such as social stigma, trust issues regarding food quality, and a lack of awareness about redistribution initiatives will be examined to provide insights into overcoming these obstacles. The study will also explore the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in demand-supply forecasting, analysing how predictive analytics can optimize food surplus management and minimize food wastage through better matching of supply with demand. Another crucial aspect of this research is to

investigate the corporate engagement in food-sharing as part of corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, assessing how businesses incorporate food-sharing into their sustainability strategies and the impact this has on brand perception, consumer loyalty, and overall corporate image.

Furthermore, this study aims to develop sustainability metrics to evaluate the environmental and social impact of food-sharing platforms, focusing on key performance indicators such as carbon footprint reduction, community well-being, and food accessibility. Unlike existing research that emphasizes general digital food redistribution models, this study will specifically analyse technological innovations in perishable food redistribution, exploring how emerging technologies like IoT-based tracking, temperature-controlled logistics, and AI-driven monitoring systems can ensure the safe and efficient redistribution of perishable food items. Another unique focus of this research is the effectiveness of gamification strategies in improving user engagement on food-sharing platforms, analysing whether reward systems, social challenges, and leader boards can motivate consistent participation among users. Lastly, this research will conduct a comparative study of traditional food banks and digital food-sharing platforms, evaluating which method is more effective in minimizing food waste and ensuring surplus food reaches those in need efficiently. By addressing these objectives, this study will provide a comprehensive understanding of the economic, psychological, technological, and policy-driven factors that influence food-sharing platforms and offer strategic recommendations for enhancing their effectiveness and long-term sustainability.

Literature Review

Food wastage is a major global challenge that has economic, environmental, and social consequences. Studies by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2013) highlight that approximately 1.3 billion tons of foods are wasted annually, leading to significant losses in resources such as water, energy, and labour. In India alone, food waste accounts for nearly \$14 billion in economic losses each year while millions suffer from malnutrition and hunger.

According to Parfitt, Barthel, and Macnaughton (2010), food waste occurs at various stages, including agricultural production, processing, distribution, and consumption. In developing countries, a significant portion of food waste occurs at post-harvest and processing stages due to poor storage infrastructure and inefficient supply chain management. On the other hand, in developed nations, food wastage is more prevalent at the consumer level, often due to over-purchasing and strict sell-by dates.

Technological Solutions for Food Waste Reduction

Emerging technologies such as mobile applications, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and block chain have facilitated food-sharing initiatives worldwide. Existing models like Too Good to Go, Olio, and ShareTheMeal have successfully leveraged digital platforms to minimize waste and promote sustainable consumption. These platforms serve as intermediaries connecting surplus food providers with consumers, charities, and organizations in need.

AI-based predictive analytics, as explored by Papargyropoulou et al. (2014), have proven effective in helping businesses forecast food demand accurately, thereby reducing surplus generation. Furthermore, block chain-based traceability solutions improve transparency in food distribution, ensuring that food reaches intended beneficiaries without diversion or fraud.

Business Viability of Digital Food-Sharing Platforms

Several studies indicate that food-sharing platforms can achieve financial sustainability through diverse revenue models, including premium subscriptions, advertising, partnerships, and government funding. These initiatives also align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 2 (Zerhungerer) and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production waste) (United Nations, 2019).

Research by Mourad (2016) suggests that technology-based solutions have a high adoption rate among urban populations, particularly among millennials and Gen Z consumers, who prioritize sustainability and ethical consumption. However, challenges such as user adoption, digital literacy barriers, and regulatory compliance remain key obstacles to widespread implementation in developing economies.

Data Analysis & Findings

In this section, we delve into the financial projections and statistical analyses pertinent to the implementation of a technology-driven food-sharing platform in India. This includes detailed Profit and Loss (P&L) statements, Balance Sheets, Break-Even Analysis (BEP), and Regression and Correlation Analyses based on available data.

Financial Projections

Profit and Loss Statement

The P&L statement provides an overview of the anticipated revenues, costs, and expenses over a specific period, typically one year. Below is a projected P&L statement for the proposed food-sharing platform:



Income Statement	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
Revenue				
- Transaction Fees	₹5,000,000	₹7,500,000	₹10,000,000	
- Subscription Fees	₹2,000,000	₹3,000,000	₹4,000,000	
- Advertising Revenue	₹1,000,000	₹1,500,000	₹2,000,000	
Total Revenue	₹8,000,000	₹12,000,000	₹16,000,000	
Cost of Goods Sold (COGS)				
- Platform Maintenance	₹1,500,000	₹1,800,000	₹2,000,000	
- Server Costs	₹500,000	₹600,000	₹700,000	
Total COGS	₹2,000,000	₹2,400,000	₹2,700,000	
Gross Profit	₹6,000,000	₹9,600,000	₹13,300,000	
Operating Expenses				
- Marketing and Sales	₹2,000,000	₹2,500,000	₹3,000,000	
- Administrative Expenses	₹1,000,000	₹1,200,000	₹1,500,000	
- Research and Development	₹500,000	₹600,000	₹700,000	
Total Operating Expenses	₹3,500,000	₹4,300,000	₹5,200,000	
Operating Income	₹2,500,000	₹5,300,000	₹8,100,000	
Other Expenses				
- Interest Expense	₹200,000	₹150,000	₹100,000	
Net Income Before Tax	₹2,300,000	₹5,150,000	₹8,000,000	
- Income Tax (30%)	₹690,000	₹1,545,000	₹2,400,000	
Net Income	₹1,610,000	₹3,605,000	₹5,600,000	

Note: Figures are based on market assumptions.

Balance Sheet

The Balance Sheet provides a snapshot of the company's financial position at a specific point in time. Below is a projected Balance Sheet at the end of Year 1 and Year 2:

Balance SheetYear 1		Year 1	Balance Sheet for 2 nd year			
As	sets				Particulars	Amount (₹)
- C	Current Assets Assets:					
-	Cash	and	Cash	₹2,000,000	Current assets-	



Equivalents			
- Accounts Receivable	₹500,000	Cash and cash equivalents	₹ 15,00,000.00
- Prepaid Expenses ₹200,00		Accounts receivable	₹ 5,25,000.00
- Non-Current Assets		Prepaid expenses (Insurance)	₹ 7,50,000.00
- Property, Plant, and	₹3,000,000	Other Current Assets	₹ 95,00,000.00
Equipment			
- Intangible Assets	₹2,000,000	Fixed Assets-	
(Software)			
Total Assets	₹7,700,000	Land & Building	₹ 35,75,000.00
Liabilities		Total Assets	₹
			1,58,50,000.00
- Current Liabilities		Liabilities:	
- Accounts Payable	₹1,000,000	Long term debt	₹ 15,00,000.00
- Short-Term Debt	₹500,000	Bank Loan	₹ 91,00,000.00
Non-Current Liabilities		Current Liabilities-	
Long-Term Debt	₹2,000,000	Reserves & Surplus	₹ 9,25,000.00
Total Liabilities	₹3,500,000	Accounts payable	₹ 13,25,000.00
Equity		Accrued liabilities	₹ 15,00,000.00
Common Stock	₹2,000,000	Bills payable	₹ 15,00,000.00
Retained Earnings	₹2,200,000	Total Liabilities	₹
			1,58,50,000.00
Total Equity	₹4,200,000		I
Total Liabilities and	₹7,700,000		
Equity			

Note: Figures are illustrative and based on market assumptions.

Break-Even Analysis (BEP)

The Break-Even Analysis determines the point at which total revenue equals total costs, resulting in neither profit nor loss. It is calculated using the formula:

Break-Even Point (in units) =Fixed Costs Selling Price per Unit-Variable Cost per Unit *(Break-Even Point (in units)} = (Fixed Costs) (Selling Price per Unit) - (Variable Cost per Unit)



For the proposed platform:

- Fixed Costs: ₹3,500,000 (Total Operating Expenses)
- Selling Price per Unit: Average transaction fee per user (assumed ₹500)
- Variable Cost per Unit: Average variable cost per user (assumed ₹100)

BEP= (₹3,500,000) (₹500-₹100) =8,750 transactions

This implies that the platform needs to facilitate 8,750 transactions to cover all fixed and variable costs.

The break-even analysis determines the point at which total revenue equals total costs, indicating neither profit nor loss. Based on the Profit & Loss (P&L) Statement, the business achieves profitability in Year 1, with net income growing from ₹1,610,000 in Year 1 to ₹3,605,000 in Year 2 and ₹5,600,000 in Year 3. This indicates that operational break-even is achieved early, ensuring financial sustainability.

However, despite profitability, the Balance Sheet (Year 2) reflects high liabilities, with total debt (bank loan + long-term debt) amounting to $\gtrless1$, 06, 00,000. Although there are no operating losses beyond Year 1, debt servicing remains a key financial challenge. Considering annual profit growth, it is estimated that the business will take approximately 3–4 years to clear its liabilities and achieve debt-free operations.

Regression and Correlation Analysis

To understand the factors influencing food waste reduction through technology-driven platforms, we conducted a regression and correlation analysis using available data.

Data Collection

This research utilized a combination of primary and secondary data collection methods to ensure a comprehensive analysis of food-sharing platforms and their financial sustainability.

Primary Data Collection

Primary data was gathered through a Google Forms survey distributed to key stakeholders, including restaurant owners, grocery store managers, NGOs, and individual consumers involved in food-sharing initiatives. The survey aimed to collect insights on:

- Consumer willingness to participate in food-sharing platforms.
- Expected transaction volumes and pricing acceptability.
- Challenges in surplus food redistribution, such as logistical constraints and regulatory concerns.

A total of 100 responses were received, providing quantitative data to support the financial projections and break-even analysis. The responses helped in estimating user adoption rates, revenue streams, and operational expenses, which were crucial in determining the business viability of the proposed platform.

This research utilized a combination of primary and secondary data collection methods to ensure a comprehensive analysis of food-sharing platforms and their financial sustainability.

.Secondary Data Collection

In addition to primary data, secondary data sources were used to supplement findings. These included:

- Case studies of existing food-sharing platforms such as Too Good to Go, Olio, and ShareTheMeal.
- Industry reports on food waste management, sustainability, and digital solutions.
- Financial documents from similar initiatives to benchmark costs, revenue models, and profitability expectations.

Correlation Analysis

The correlation matrix below illustrates the relationship between variables:

Variable	Food Waste	Technology Adoption	User	Marketing
	Reduction	Rate	Engagement	Spend
Food Waste Reduction	1.00	0.85	0.78	0.65
Technology Adoption Rate	0.85	1.00	0.80	0.70
User Engagement	0.78	0.80	1.00	0.60
Marketing Spend	0.65	0.70	0.60	1.00

*Note: Correlation coefficients range from -1 to 1, where

Predictive Power of the Model

Technology Adoption Rate	User	Engagement	Marketing	Spend	Predicted	Food	Waste
(%)	(hours/week)		(₹)		Reduction (%	()	
70	38		15,000		64.22		
75	40		16,000		67.98		
80	42		17,000		71.73		

Using future data points, the model predicts food waste reduction:

1. Impact of Technology Adoption on Food Waste Reduction

The regression analysis highlights a strong positive relationship between technology adoption and food waste reduction. As the technology adoption rate increases from 70% to 80%, the predicted food waste reduction improves from 64.22% to 71.73%. This increase suggests that greater accessibility and acceptance of food-sharing platforms significantly impact overall waste reduction.

One of the key reasons behind this strong correlation is that as more users adopt the platform, food redistribution becomes faster and more efficient, thereby reducing spoilage and waste. Additionally, technology adoption facilitates real-time tracking of food supply and demand, ensuring that food reaches consumers before it becomes waste. Based on the research findings, the proposed food-sharing platform can help reduce food wastage by efficiently redistributing surplus food from restaurants, grocery stores, and households. Considering adoption rates and operational efficiency, the estimated value of food saved annually is 15 lakh to 30 lakh.

This estimate is based on:

- Small-scale adoption in the first year, saving food worth around ₹15 lakh.
- Gradual increase in partnerships with businesses and NGOs, raising the savings to ₹20–₹25 lakh.
- Potentially saving food Expansion of user base and operational improvements worth ₹30 lakh per year.

2. Role of User Engagement in Strengthening Results

User engagement, measured in hours per week, also plays a crucial role in determining food waste reduction. The model predicts that higher user engagement leads to better platform efficiency, as engaged users actively participate in food-sharing activities.For instance, an increase in user engagement from 38 to 42 hours per week contributes to a noticeable improvement in food waste reduction. This trend is due to several factors:

- More frequent usage of the platform, ensuring food reaches recipients quickly.
- Increased participation in food donation programs, reducing overall waste.
- Higher retention rates, which lead to a more sustainable food-sharing ecosystem.

3. Effectiveness of Marketing Spend

While marketing spend influences food waste reduction, its impact is less pronounced compared to technology adoption and user engagement. The model indicates that as marketing spend increases from ₹15,000 to ₹17,000; food waste reduction improves only marginally from 64.22% to 71.73%. This suggests that while advertising and promotions help attract new users, organic growth through engagement and adoption has a more direct impact on waste reduction.

To optimize resource allocation, businesses should focus more on improving technology and increasing user participation rather than just expanding marketing efforts. The data suggests that word-of-mouth recommendations, user incentives, and referral programs may be more effective in sustaining long-term user engagement.

4. Model Validation & Practical Implications

The model's high R² value (close to 1.00) suggests that it is a strong predictor of food waste reduction. However, some practical factors must be considered:

- Scalability: The model assumes a linear relationship between food waste reduction and technology adoption. However, in reality, after a certain point, diminishing returns may occur.
- Regional Differences: Adoption rates and engagement levels might differ based on location, availability of food-sharing services, and cultural acceptance.
- Consumer Behaviour: The model does not account for external factors such as food donation policies, regulatory frameworks, and seasonal demand variations, which could affect results.

Conclusion & Recommendations

The findings highlight that technology-driven food-sharing platforms can play a pivotal role in reducing food wastage and improving food security. By leveraging AI, block chain, and mobile technology, these platforms can efficiently connect food surplus providers with individuals and organizations in need, ensuring that edible food is not discarded unnecessarily. Furthermore, the financial viability of these initiatives is supported by transaction-based revenue models, premium memberships, and strategic partnerships. However, for widespread

adoption, challenges such as regulatory compliance, food safety concerns, and digital accessibility must be addressed through well-defined policies and government support.

Additionally, partnerships with restaurants, grocery chains, and NGOs can enhance the impact of food-sharing platforms, making them more sustainable and efficient. Awareness campaigns and incentive programs can also encourage greater user participation and long-term engagement. Governments should consider tax incentives for businesses that donate surplus food and implement policies that encourage surplus food redistribution. Furthermore, integrating AI-based food tracking mechanisms can enhance efficiency in logistics and food distribution networks.

To further increase efficiency, companies can employ machine learning algorithms that predict food demand and supply trends, reducing unnecessary food production. Block chain technology can be leveraged to ensure transparency and accountability in food redistribution. Companies should also explore mobile-based applications with gamification strategies to enhance user engagement, providing points or rewards for users who actively participate in food-sharing initiatives.

With adequate policy support, stakeholder participation, and financial backing, technology-driven solutions can revolutionize the food-sharing ecosystem, making surplus food accessible while significantly reducing waste. In conclusion, integrating technology in food redistribution presents an effective and scalable solution to address food insecurity and environmental sustainability. A collaborative effort involving businesses, policymakers, and the general public is crucial in ensuring the long-term success and widespread adoption of these initiatives. By taking proactive measures and embracing technological advancements, societies can take significant strides toward eradicating food wastage and ensuring that surplus food reaches those who need it the most.

References

- 1. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2013). Food wastage footprint: Impacts on natural resources. FAO.
- 2. Mourad, M. (2016). Recycling, recovering and preventing food waste: Competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *126*, 461-477.
- Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 365(1554), 3065-3081.

- 4. Papargyropoulou, E., Lozano, R., Steinberger, J. K., Wright, N., & Ujang, Z. B. (2014). The food waste hierarchy as a framework for the management of food surplus and food waste. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *76*, 106-115.
- 5. United Nations. (2019). the Sustainable Development Goals Report. United Nations.
- 6. Buzby, J. C., Wells, H. F., & Hyman, J. (2014). *The estimated amount, value, and calories of postharvest food losses at the retail and consumer levels in the United States*. USDA Economic Research Service.
- 7. FAO. (2013). *Food wastage footprint: Impacts on natural resources*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 8. Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., & van Otterdijk, R. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes, and prevention.* FAO.
- 9. Papargyropoulou, E., Lozano, R., Steinberger, J. K., Wright, N., & Ujang, Z. B. (2014). *The food waste hierarchy as a framework for the management of food surplus and food waste*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 76, 106-115.
- 10. Mourad, M. (2016). Recycling, recovering and preventing food waste: Competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France. Journal of Cleaner Production, 126, 461-477.
- 11. United Nations. (2019). The Sustainable Development Goals Report. United Nations.
- Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: Quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081.
- 13. WRAP. (2018). *Strategies for reducing food waste: A systematic approach*. Waste and Resources Action Programme.
- 14. Gunders, D. (2012). Wasted: How America is losing up to 40 percent of its food from farm to fork to *landfill*. Natural Resources Defense Council.
- 15. World Bank. (2020). Addressing food waste and food loss for global food security and sustainability. World Bank Report.