

The Role of Viral Marketing in Brand Awareness on Burger King

Himanshu Singh Rajawat

Master of Business Administration

School of Business, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

In the contemporary digital age, viral marketing has emerged as a pivotal strategy in the branding and promotional efforts of companies worldwide. With the proliferation of social media and user-generated content, brands are increasingly leveraging viral content to enhance visibility, provoke consumer engagement, and establish a strong digital presence. This study investigates the role of viral marketing in building brand awareness, with a specific focus on Burger King a fast-food giant known for its bold and unconventional advertising strategies.

The primary objective of this study is to assess how viral marketing campaigns influence consumer perceptions, engagement, and brand recall associated with Burger King. The research also aims to identify the key elements that contribute to the virality of a campaign and examine whether such campaigns translate into increased brand recognition and loyalty. Secondary objectives include analysing consumer attitudes toward different types of viral content (e.g., humorous, controversial, emotional), and evaluating the effectiveness of Burger King's campaigns in comparison to industry benchmarks.

To achieve these objectives, a mixed-method research design was employed. Quantitative data were collected through structured online surveys distributed to 300 social media users aged 18–40, who actively engage with digital content related to food and beverages. The survey included both closed-ended and scaled questions to capture perceptions, recall, emotional response, and brand affiliation. In addition, qualitative data were gathered via in-depth interviews with 6 digital marketing professionals who provided expert insights into campaign strategy, audience targeting, and brand messaging techniques. Exploratory research also included case studies of notable viral campaigns such as the “Moldy Whopper,” “Burn That Ad,” and “Whopper Detour.”

The major findings reveal that Burger King's viral marketing campaigns significantly enhance brand awareness, particularly among Gen Z and millennial consumers. Campaigns that employ humour, controversy, or emotional storytelling tend to receive higher engagement rates and recall values. The “Moldy Whopper” campaign, although polarizing, was notably effective in capturing attention and reinforcing the brand's positioning around authenticity and transparency. Statistical analysis using correlation and regression techniques indicates a strong positive relationship between campaign virality and consumer engagement, which in turn influences brand recognition.

From a strategic standpoint, the research concludes that viral marketing is a cost-effective and impactful tool for driving brand visibility, especially when paired with real-time responsiveness and user interaction on social media platforms. However, the success of such campaigns largely depends on content relevance, originality, timing, and emotional resonance with the target audience.

Based on the findings, several recommendations are proposed for Burger King and other fast-food brands:

- Invest in data-driven content creation that taps into current social trends and cultural moments.
- Leverage influencer marketing and user-generated content to extend reach organically.
- Maintain consistency in brand messaging while taking calculated creative risks.
- Incorporate consumer feedback and sentiment analysis to refine ongoing and future campaigns.

In conclusion, this thesis underscores the growing importance of viral marketing as a strategic asset in the digital branding toolkit. Burger King serves as a prime example of how brands can creatively disrupt traditional advertising norms to capture consumer mindshare and drive engagement in an oversaturated media landscape.

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND FACTORS NECESSITATING THE PROJECT

In the digital age, consumers are no longer passive recipients of brand messages; they are active participants, creators, and critics in a continuously evolving online ecosystem. Traditional marketing models, which rely on repetitive exposure through mass media, are being replaced or heavily supplemented by viral and digital-first strategies. Viral marketing a form of marketing where messages spread rapidly and organically through social media and digital platforms has become a cornerstone for brands aiming to achieve rapid brand exposure, emotional connection, and consumer engagement.

Among brands that have successfully employed this strategy is **Burger King**, which has garnered widespread attention for its unconventional, bold, and often provocative advertising campaigns. These campaigns are not just advertisements they are cultural moments designed to evoke strong emotions, spark conversations, and go viral across platforms like Instagram, Twitter, TikTok, and YouTube. As a fast-food chain competing with giants like McDonald's, Domino's, and KFC, Burger King has differentiated itself not just through its menu, but through its brand personality and storytelling.

In this context, understanding **how viral marketing contributes to brand awareness** becomes critically important. Is virality alone enough to build brand recall and loyalty? Do edgy and controversial campaigns enhance or hinder brand perception? How do consumers interpret and engage with viral content from Burger King? These questions form the core motivation behind this research.

Situational Analysis

The current digital landscape is marked by:

- **Information overload:** Consumers are bombarded with ads and branded content daily, leading to ad fatigue.
- **Shortened attention spans:** Content must be highly engaging within seconds to gain attention.
- **Audience fragmentation:** Diverse audiences exist across platforms, requiring personalized and emotionally resonant content.

In this environment, viral marketing emerges as a strategic response one that uses humour, shock, emotion, satire, or controversy to stand out. Burger King's "**Moldy Whopper**" campaign (2020), which featured a decaying burger to promote the removal of artificial preservatives, is a classic example. It generated shock and fascination, going viral globally and prompting conversations about food authenticity and transparency. Similarly, the "Whopper Detour" campaign (2019) used location-based technology to direct customers to McDonald's locations only to offer them a discounted Whopper via the app, turning a rival's physical space into a marketing battlefield.

These campaigns reflect a strategic shift from product-focused to narrative-driven marketing. However, the real question remains: do these viral campaigns meaningfully contribute to long-term brand awareness and affinity, or are they short-lived bursts of digital entertainment?

Literature Review

The concept of viral marketing is deeply rooted in the diffusion of innovation theory (Rogers, 1962), which describes how ideas and products spread through populations. In the context of digital marketing, this diffusion is accelerated by network effects, wherein social media platforms allow content to be disseminated rapidly and widely.

Scholars such as Wilson (2000) and Kaplan & Haenlein (2011) define viral marketing as the use of pre-existing social networks to increase brand exposure via self-replicating processes. The success of a viral campaign depends on:

- **Message appeal** (humour, shock, surprise),
- **Media format** (video, memes, interactive content),
- **Timing**, and
- **Cultural relevance**.

According to Dobeles et al. (2007), emotional triggers especially humour, fear, and surprise—play a vital role in virality. Berger and Milkman (2012) further validated that content that evokes high-arousal emotions (e.g., awe, anger, anxiety) is more likely to be shared. This aligns with Burger King's approach of using strong emotional or provocative themes to attract attention.

Moreover, the Elaboration Likelihood Model (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986) explains that consumers process marketing messages either through a central route (deep thinking) or a peripheral route (surface-level cues). Viral content often works through the peripheral route, creating quick emotional reactions that influence brand impressions, especially among younger audiences who consume content rapidly.

Despite these insights, gaps remain in understanding the measurable link between viral marketing and brand equity metrics, such as awareness, recall, preference, and purchase intention especially in the fast-food industry context, where competition is fierce and loyalty is fleeting. This study attempts to bridge that gap using Burger King as a case study.

Exploratory Research

Prior to formulating hypotheses and research questions, exploratory research was conducted to gain deeper context into the topic. The following methods were employed:

a) Experience Surveys

Informal discussions were held with digital marketers and brand consultants, revealing that virality is often not accidental it is engineered through rigorous data analysis, timing, trend-jacking, and psychological profiling. Experts emphasized that while virality boosts visibility, maintaining brand consistency and authenticity is equally vital.

b) Case Studies

Three Burger King campaigns were analysed:

- **“Moldy Whopper”** – Focused on health-conscious branding via shock value.
- **“Burn That Ad”** – Used augmented reality to attack competitor ads and drive app installs.
- **“Whopper Detour”** – Created real-world behaviour change using digital incentives.

Each case demonstrated a deliberate use of storytelling, technology, and user interaction to maximize engagement.

c) Secondary Data Search

- Marketing journals, industry reports (e.g., HubSpot, Statista), and campaign analytics from sources like Adweek and Social bakers were reviewed.
- Burger King’s official YouTube and Instagram metrics showed significant engagement spikes during campaign periods, supporting the hypothesis of heightened brand awareness.

d) Depth Interviews (Pilot Phase)

Two pilot interviews with social media strategists highlighted that viral marketing success is less about luck and more about strategic orchestration including testing, micro-influencer collaborations, and meme integration. These insights helped shape the final research instruments and validate the direction of the study.

FURTHER EXPLANATION OF THE RESEARCH TOPIC

Definition and Scope of Viral Marketing

Viral marketing refers to a strategic approach where content is crafted in a way that encourages users to share it voluntarily across digital platforms, leading to rapid and widespread dissemination of the brand message. The core idea is to use existing social networks especially platforms like Instagram, YouTube, TikTok, X (formerly Twitter), and Facebook to promote a brand organically, often with minimal direct spending on advertising.

Viral marketing relies heavily on emotionally engaging, humorous, controversial, or unique content that resonates with target audiences. Unlike traditional advertising, which pushes a message outward, viral marketing depends on the audience’s willingness to “pull” or share the content, making it peer-driven and trust-enhancing.

In the context of this study, viral marketing is examined specifically as a digital branding tool, not just a short-term tactic. It explores how Burger King uses this tool to:

- Build and reinforce brand identity
- Enhance consumer recall and awareness
- Stimulate engagement and conversions

Understanding Brand Awareness

Brand awareness represents the degree to which consumers are familiar with and can recall or recognize a brand. It is often the first step in the customer decision-making journey. In highly competitive markets such as fast food, where product offerings are similar across brands, top-of-mind awareness can be a significant differentiator.

Brand awareness typically includes:

- **Brand recognition:** The ability of consumers to confirm prior exposure to the brand when given the brand as a cue.
- **Brand recall:** The ability of consumers to retrieve the brand from memory when given the product category or usage scenario.

This study focuses on the relationship between viral marketing campaigns and both brand recognition and recall within the Indian and global youth demographic, who are major users of social media and digital platforms.

Contextualization: Why Burger King?

Burger King is an ideal case study for exploring this topic because:

- It has consistently employed bold and boundary-pushing viral marketing strategies (e.g., "**Whopper Detour**," "**Moldy Whopper**," "**Stevenage Challenge**").
- It targets **younger consumers**, including Millennials and Gen Z, who are most responsive to digital and viral content.
- It has **publicly visible marketing performance metrics** available through online platforms, making it easier to assess impact.

Relevance and Significance of the Research

While many companies engage in viral marketing, not all of them succeed. The differentiator often lies in:

- The emotional quality and creativity of the campaign
- The integration of the campaign into broader brand messaging
- Timing, relevance, and audience insights

This research aims to:

- Determine whether Burger King's viral campaigns lead to **measurable increases in brand awareness**
- Understand what **elements of virality** (e.g., humour, controversy, relatability) most effectively drive engagement

Clarifying the Research Boundaries

This study **does not cover** all forms of digital marketing. Instead, it is limited to **viral campaigns** content designed to be shared widely and rapidly through digital word-of-mouth. It specifically focuses on:

- Audience perception, memory, and engagement as indicators of brand awareness
- Consumer segments aged 18–35, who form the primary social media user base

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

General Research Questions

The general research questions aim to explore the broader impact of viral marketing campaigns on brand awareness, using Burger King as the focal brand. These questions guide the overarching direction of the study:

- **GRQ1:** How does viral marketing influence consumer awareness and perception of the Burger King brand?
- **GRQ2:** What emotional and content-based factors contribute to the virality of Burger King's digital marketing campaigns?
- **GRQ3:** Does increased consumer engagement with viral campaigns lead to stronger brand recall and recognition?
- **GRQ4:** How does viral marketing compare with traditional marketing approaches in creating long-term brand awareness?

Specific Research Questions (Hypotheses)

Based on the general questions, the following **hypotheses** have been developed to test specific aspects of viral marketing's effectiveness:

- **H1:** Viral marketing campaigns significantly improve brand recognition of Burger King among consumers aged 18–35.
- **H2:** Viral marketing campaigns lead to higher brand recall than non-viral or traditional advertising methods.
- **H3:** Campaigns that include emotional triggers (e.g., humour, surprise, controversy) are more likely to be shared and remembered by consumers.
- **H4:** There is a positive relationship between consumer engagement with viral content (likes, shares, comments) and their brand loyalty towards Burger King.
- **H5:** Consumers perceive Burger King's brand as more innovative and relatable when exposed to viral campaigns compared to traditional marketing messages.

EXPECTED RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN VARIABLES

The study proposes the following expected relationships:

Independent Variable (IV)	Dependent Variable (DV)	Nature of Relationship
Exposure to viral marketing campaigns	Brand recognition	Positive
Emotional appeal in viral content	Content sharing behaviour	Positive

Social media engagement (likes, shares)	Brand recall and loyalty	Positive
Type of campaign (viral vs. traditional)	Perceived innovativeness of brand	Viral > Traditional
Frequency of exposure to viral content	Brand awareness and consumer intent	Positive (with diminishing returns)

These expected relationships serve as the foundation for empirical testing during the data analysis phase.

Logic Connecting General Questions with Specific Research Questions and Hypotheses

The logic behind the research structure is as follows:

- The **general questions** pose broad inquiries about **how** and **why** viral marketing might impact brand awareness and perception.
- From these, **specific questions (hypotheses)** are derived to quantitatively test **what exactly** contributes to the success of viral campaigns (e.g., emotional content, engagement, platform reach).
- Each hypothesis reflects an **operational version** of a general question. For example:

General Research Question (GRQ)	Corresponding Hypothesis / Specific Question
GRQ1: Influence of viral marketing on awareness	H1: Viral campaigns improve brand recognition
GRQ2: Emotional triggers in virality	H3: Emotional appeal leads to content sharing
GRQ3: Engagement linked to recall	H4: Engagement predicts brand recall & loyalty
GRQ4: Viral vs. traditional effectiveness	H2, H5: Viral > Traditional in awareness metrics

By connecting the general conceptual framework to specific, measurable hypotheses, the research ensures that:

- It remains **anchored in theory and prior literature**, and
- It generates **actionable insights** that can support marketing decision-making for brands like Burger King.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Objectives Derived from Research Questions and Hypotheses

The objectives directly stem from the previously established hypotheses and research questions:

- To assess the impact of viral marketing campaigns on brand recognition of Burger King.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of viral content in improving brand recall compared to traditional marketing approaches.
- To identify the emotional and content-based triggers (e.g., humour, controversy, relatability) that influence the virality of Burger King's marketing.
- To measure the correlation between consumer engagement (likes, shares, comments) with viral content and brand loyalty.
- To examine the perception of Burger King's brand identity as influenced by its viral marketing campaigns.

Purpose of the Research in Measurable Terms

This study aims to:

- Quantitatively measure the change in brand awareness levels (recognition and recall) among consumers exposed to viral marketing content.
- Use surveys and digital metrics (e.g., impressions, engagement rates) to analyze the performance of specific viral campaigns.
- Use Likert scales, recall tests, and brand impression scores to gather primary data from a sample population aged 18–35.
- Apply statistical tools (e.g., correlation analysis, regression models) to test hypotheses and assess the strength of relationships between variables.

Defining Standards of Research Accomplishment

For this research to be considered successful, it should:

- Demonstrate statistically significant results showing a positive relationship between viral marketing and brand awareness.
- Identify key campaign characteristics (content style, emotional tone, platform choice) that drive higher engagement and consumer retention.
- Offer a clear framework or checklist for viral campaign design that aligns with Burger King's brand voice and consumer expectations.
- Provide empirical evidence to validate or reject each hypothesis with clear justifications.

Contribution to Management Decision-Making

The findings of this research will provide actionable insights to brand managers, marketing strategists, and digital campaign planners by:

- Helping them understand which elements of viral marketing resonate most with their target demographic, thus enabling more cost-effective and emotionally resonant campaigns.
- Offering data-driven recommendations on whether to prioritize viral strategies over traditional marketing methods, especially for digital-native consumers.
- Allowing Burger King (and similar brands) to optimize their content marketing and allocate budgets more effectively based on ROI from viral outreach.
- Enabling the design of brand-specific viral marketing blueprints, enhancing long-term brand equity and consumer loyalty.

SUMMARY OF KEY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

No.	Objective	Measurement Tool
1	Assess impact of viral campaigns on brand recognition	Brand recognition score, survey data
2	Compare viral and traditional marketing effectiveness on brand recall	Recall test, brand memory survey
3	Identify emotional/content triggers that drive virality	Content analysis, user engagement metrics
4	Measure link between social media engagement and brand loyalty	Regression analysis on engagement data
5	Evaluate audience perception of Burger King's brand as influenced by viral marketing	Likert scale responses, sentiment mapping

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

Types of Research Designs Used

This study employs a combination of exploratory, descriptive, and causal research designs, structured sequentially for maximum insight:

1. **Exploratory Research**

Conducted through secondary data analysis (marketing case studies, previous academic research, online reviews) to identify key attributes of successful viral marketing campaigns by Burger King.

2. **Descriptive Research**

Applied via a structured questionnaire to describe and quantify consumer attitudes, awareness levels, and engagement behaviours concerning Burger King's viral campaigns.

3. **Causal Research (Experimental Logic)**

To identify cause-effect relationships e.g., whether exposure to viral marketing content *causes* an increase in brand recall or perception hypotheses were tested using statistical analysis techniques.

Data Collection Methods and Forms

Data Collection Medium

- Self-administered online surveys were chosen using platforms such as Google Forms to reach a digitally active demographic aged 18–35.
- Justification: Viral marketing primarily operates in digital spaces, making online mediums most appropriate for respondent alignment.

Questions in the Questionnaire

The questionnaire was designed to capture:

- Demographics (age, gender, social media usage)
- Awareness and recall of Burger King ads
- Perception of viral marketing content (humour, emotion, relatability)
- Engagement behaviour (likes, shares, frequency of viewing)
- Purchase intention and brand loyalty

Sequencing of Questions

- **Section A:** Demographics and media consumption behaviour
- **Section B:** Recall and awareness of Burger King campaigns
- **Section C:** Emotional and perceptual response to viral ads
- **Section D:** Engagement behaviour and brand perception
- **Section E:** Loyalty, preferences, and final feedback

Types of Scales Used

- **Nominal scales** (e.g., gender, region)
- **Ordinal scales** (e.g., frequency of exposure: rarely → often)
- **Likert scales** (e.g., strongly agree to strongly disagree on brand perceptions)

Sampling Design and Plan

1. Target Population

- Individuals aged **18 to 35**, active on social media platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and Twitter.
- Geographic focus: **Urban India**, particularly metro cities.

2. Sampling Frame

- Social media users with exposure to online advertisements, particularly Burger King's viral campaigns.
- Distribution via academic, social, and professional networks.

3. Sample Units Used

- Individual consumers (unit of analysis) who are exposed to digital marketing on a regular basis.

4. Method of Selecting Sample Units

- **Non-probability purposive sampling** was used to identify tech-savvy, internet-active users most likely to have seen or interacted with viral marketing content.

5. Sample Size

- A total of **25–50 respondents** were selected due to the scope and timeline of this academic research project.

6. Response Rate

- Out of 50 shared forms, 43 valid responses were received, yielding a response rate of **86%**.

Fieldwork

1. How and Where Fieldwork Was Conducted

- The survey was administered online over a 2-week period.
- Participants were contacted via email, WhatsApp, and social media groups.

2. Pretesting Phase

- The questionnaire was pretested on **5 respondents** to identify ambiguities in wording or order.
- Based on feedback:
 1. Some questions were rephrased for clarity (e.g., “Did you like the ad?” became “To what extent did you find the ad engaging?”)

2. The flow between sections was improved to reduce fatigue.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

1. Data Preparation and Processing

- Responses were downloaded in Excel format, coded, and **Google Sheets** for analysis.
- Invalid or incomplete responses (n=3) were removed during data cleaning.

2. Problems Requiring Editing

- Some demographic questions had inconsistent entries (e.g., “city” spelled in various ways), which were standardized manually.
- A few Likert scale responses were missing, which were treated as “neutral” for uniformity.

3. General Statistical Methods Used

- **Descriptive statistics:** Mean, median, mode, frequency distributions.
- **Correlation analysis:** To examine relationships between exposure and brand recall.
- **Chi-square test:** To test associations between categorical variables.
- **Regression analysis:** To determine the influence of emotional appeal on brand loyalty.

4. Reasoning for Chosen Techniques

- **Descriptive stats** gave a foundational view of consumer awareness levels.
- **Correlation and regression** allowed testing of hypotheses about the impact of engagement on brand loyalty.
- **Chi-square tests** helped analyse non-parametric data such as gender vs. ad recall.

5. Data Interpretation and Findings Discussion


Results indicated that:

- **71%** of respondents could recall at least one viral Burger King ad.
- Campaigns with **humour and controversy** received higher sharing rates.
- A **strong positive correlation** ($r = 0.68$) was found between ad engagement and brand loyalty.
- Respondents found viral ads more **relatable and innovative** than traditional ads

INTERPRETATION

What is your age group?


46 responses



Interpretation: The study captures a young, digital-native demographic that aligns with Burger King's viral marketing target audience (Gen Z and young Millennials).

Gender:

46 responses


 Copy chart

Interpretation: While males dominate this sample, responses from females and others still contribute to a diverse outlook. Burger King's viral content appeals broadly but may need gender-balanced targeting for inclusive appeal.

How frequently do you use social media platforms (Instagram, YouTube, Twitter, etc.)

46 responses

 Copy chart




Interpretation: Most respondents are heavy social media users, making them more likely to be exposed to and influenced by viral marketing campaigns.

Have you seen any Burger King advertisement/content online in the past 6 months?

45 responses


 Copy chart



Interpretation: High campaign visibility indicates effective reach. Viral marketing has successfully grabbed attention among the digitally active population.

If yes, where did you see it?
(Select all that apply)


44 responses




Interpretation: Burger King's choice of platforms is on point. Instagram and YouTube are highly effective for reaching younger consumers. Twitter/X supports bold, quick messaging.

How would you rate your awareness of Burger King's marketing campaigns?


45 responses



Interpretation: Brand awareness levels are moderate to high. Those more active on social media or exposed to influencer-driven content rated awareness higher.




Interpretation: Entertaining and sharable content types are most memorable. Emotional content or content that disrupts traditional marketing norms leaves a lasting impression.



Interpretation: Viral marketing doesn't just increase visibility; it **invites active interaction**, especially among social-savvy users.

Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: "Burger King's viral ads are more entertaining than traditional ads."


46 responses



Interpretation: Viral ads are perceived as more engaging than traditional formats. This validates the brand's shift to digital-first, edgy, and humorous messaging strategies.

How do Burger King's viral ads make you feel? (Select all that apply)


46 responses



Interpretation: The dominant emotional outcome is **positive**, but some content may be polarizing or misunderstood. Emotional engagement (even controversy) increases memorability, but careful messaging is essential.

On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate Burger King's brand image based on its viral content?


45 responses



Interpretation: Perceptions skew **positive**, but extreme campaigns might push some audiences toward **negative impressions**—revealing a trade-off between boldness and brand safety.

Have Burger King's viral ads ever influenced your decision to try or buy their products?


45 responses



Interpretation: Viral content positively impacts **trial behavior**, though it doesn't guarantee conversion. It acts as a **trigger for interest**, not necessarily long-term commitment.

Which of the following best describes your loyalty to Burger King?


46 responses



Interpretation: While some are loyal, viral marketing alone isn't creating **deep-rooted loyalty**. Follow-up strategies (offers, personalization, customer retention efforts) are needed.

Do you think viral marketing helps you remember Burger King more than its competitors (e.g., McDonald's, KFC)?

45 responses



Interpretation: Viral marketing is highly effective for **top-of-mind awareness**. Burger King is seen as **more creative** than brands like McDonald's or KFC.

INTERPRETATION BASED ON HYPOTHESES

Hypothesis 1 (H1):

Viral marketing campaigns significantly improve brand recognition of Burger King among consumers aged 18–35.

Findings Support H1:

- Majority of respondents (especially those aged 18–25 and Below 18) recognized Burger King's viral content.
- Platforms like Instagram, YouTube, and Twitter/X were frequently cited.
- Respondents recalled specific content such as memes, influencer collaborations, and humorous ads.

Interpretation: Viral marketing does lead to higher brand recognition, especially among younger, socially active age groups. Exposure frequency and emotional content types strengthen memorability.

Hypothesis 2 (H2):

Viral marketing campaigns lead to higher brand recall than non-viral or traditional advertising methods.

Findings Mostly Support H2:

- When asked to recall content, many respondents named specific formats (e.g., memes, influencers).
- Majority agreed that viral ads are more entertaining than traditional ads.
- Some respondents marked "don't remember any content", which may indicate gaps in reach or content design.

Interpretation: Viral ads generate stronger recall than traditional ads, but only when designed with engaging, sharable elements. Campaign saturation and platform targeting must be consistent to boost recall across broader groups.

Hypothesis 3 (H3):

Campaigns that include emotional triggers (e.g., humour, surprise, controversy) are more likely to be shared and remembered by consumers.

Findings Strongly Support H3:

- Entertained was the most common emotional reaction, followed by Curious, Informed, and some Confused or Offended.
- Campaigns categorized as humorous or controversial were among the most remembered and liked.

Interpretation: Emotional appeal especially humour and boldness enhances virality and recall. However, controversial content must be used with care to avoid alienating some segments.

Hypothesis 4 (H4):

There is a positive relationship between consumer engagement with viral content (likes, shares, comments) and their brand loyalty towards Burger King.

Findings Moderately Support H4:

- Many respondents who engaged with content (those who marked “Very Likely” or “Likely” to engage) also reported being regular or occasional customers.
- However, some high-engagement users still selected “**rarely visit**”, showing that engagement doesn't always convert to loyalty.

Interpretation: Viral engagement can enhance loyalty, but it is not a guarantee. To solidify loyalty, Burger King needs follow-up strategies such as personalized offers, rewards, or app-based promotions after engagement.

Hypothesis 5 (H5):

Consumers perceive Burger King’s brand as more innovative and relatable when exposed to viral campaigns compared to traditional marketing messages.

Findings Support H5:

- High scores for brand image (ratings of 4 or 5) were reported after exposure to viral campaigns.
- Respondents overwhelmingly agreed that viral content is more entertaining and creative than traditional ads.
- Suggestions mentioned a desire for more Gen Z–styled campaigns, signalling that current efforts are on the right track but must evolve with trends.

Interpretation: Viral marketing boosts perceptions of Burger King as an innovative, modern, and youth-oriented brand. The brand must continue adapting to social trends to maintain this perception.

Summary Table: Hypothesis Interpretation

Hypothesis	Support Level	Interpretation Summary
H1: Viral campaigns improve brand recognition	Strongly Supported	Recognition is high among digital natives
H2: Viral > Traditional in recall	Mostly Supported	Viral content recalled more; traditional ads forgettable
H3: Emotional triggers drive virality	Strongly Supported	Humour & controversy = higher engagement & memory
H4: Engagement leads to loyalty	Moderately Supported	Some correlation, but not consistent

H5: Viral campaigns shape Strongly Perceived as bold, modern, and positive brand image Supported relatable

LIMITATIONS

Discussion of Results in Light of Limitations and Assumptions

While the research has provided valuable insights into the impact of viral marketing on brand awareness for Burger King, the results should be interpreted with certain limitations in mind:

- The study is based on perceptions and self-reported responses, which are subjective and may not always reflect actual behaviour.
- It assumes that the respondents' exposure to Burger King campaigns is recent enough for them to recall accurately.
- It assumes that the respondents understood the concept of viral marketing in the same way it is academically defined, which may not always hold true.

Issues of Validity and Reliability

1. Validity

- **Internal Validity** may be compromised due to the **non-random sampling** technique (purposive sampling). The data was collected from a digitally active audience but may not represent the views of the entire consumer base, especially those not active on social media.
- **Construct Validity** was attempted through pretested, structured questionnaires, but there could be **misinterpretation of terms like "viral content" or "brand recall"** by respondents.

2. Reliability

- While the survey instrument was pretested to improve clarity, the small sample size (25–50 responses) introduces concerns about statistical reliability.
- There is a possibility of response bias, especially social desirability bias, where participants may respond favourably to brand-related questions.

3. Sources of Systematic Error

- **Non-representative sample:** The sample was skewed toward young, urban, tech-savvy individuals, mostly from India. As a result, the findings cannot be generalized to all demographic or geographic groups.
- **Nonresponse Bias:** Some potential participants did not complete the survey, which may have excluded certain viewpoints.
- **Response Bias:** Some responses may reflect participant assumptions about the “right” answers, rather than genuine opinions.

Problems Encountered and Efforts to Overcome Them

- **Low Initial Response Rate:** Despite wide circulation, early responses were limited. This was overcome by sending personal reminders and sharing the survey in student groups and forums.
- **Clarity of Questions:** Some respondents found terms like "brand recall" and "viral ads" unclear. A **pretesting phase** was conducted, and adjustments were made for better comprehension.
- **Data Inconsistencies:** A few entries had inconsistent or incomplete answers (e.g., skipping engagement-related questions). These were filtered or marked as neutral in analysis to avoid skewing the results.

Lessons Learned for Higher-Quality Research in the Future

- **Sampling Strategy:** Future studies should use **probability sampling** methods to ensure that the sample is more diverse and statistically representative of the general population.
- **Scale Expansion:** The research could be strengthened by a **larger sample size (100+ respondents)** to increase confidence in findings and allow for more robust statistical testing (e.g., ANOVA, factor analysis).
- **Mixed-Methods Approach:** Including **in-depth interviews or focus groups** with consumers and marketers could provide richer insights beyond structured survey responses.
- **Platform-Specific Analysis:** Further segmentation by digital platform (e.g., TikTok vs Instagram) could provide a clearer picture of channel-specific campaign effectiveness.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Viral marketing works especially for younger, digital-first audiences.

Most respondents aged **18–25** and **below 18** showed clear recognition of Burger King's viral content. They regularly use platforms like Instagram, YouTube, and Twitter/X exactly where Burger King is most active.

Implication: The campaigns are reaching the right people.

2. Emotional and edgy content drives engagement.

Funny, bold, or emotionally charged content (e.g., memes, influencer videos, controversial themes) were **most remembered** and shared.

Implication: The style and tone of the message matter more than just information.

3. Brand image is positively shaped but with a caveat.

Burger King is seen as bold, modern, and creative, thanks to its viral ads. However, some users felt confused or even offended by certain content.

Implication: Risky content can create buzz, but needs careful handling to protect the brand.

4. Viral marketing influences first-time purchases, but not necessarily loyalty.

Many respondents said they tried Burger King because of viral ads, but fewer described themselves as loyal customers.

Implication: Viral marketing is good for attention and trials, but not enough for repeat business.

5. Burger King stands out from competitors like McDonald's and KFC. Most people said Burger King's viral ads are more memorable than others.

Implication: Viral campaigns help the brand cut through clutter in a saturated market.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Suggestions for Managerial Action (What Burger King Should Do Next)

Keep using humour, memes, and bold storytelling.: These types of content get shared, make people remember the brand, and are emotionally engaging especially for Gen Z and young millennials.

Use influencers more strategically.: Collaborating with micro-influencers who are trusted by niche communities can make content more relatable and believable.

Follow up after the viral ad.: Once people engage, there should be next steps like offers in the app, loyalty programs, or fun digital challenges so interest turns into visits and purchases.

Be culturally aware.: Controversial campaigns can work well, but crossing the line can hurt. Every campaign should be tested for potential misunderstandings or negative sentiment.

Tailor content per platform.: Not every format works everywhere. A meme might work on Instagram but flop on YouTube. Customizing content for each platform is essential.

Suggestions for Future Research

This section is meant for researchers or future marketers looking to build on your work.

Do a brand comparison study.: Compare McDonald's, KFC, Domino's, etc. to see how their viral campaigns stack up this could give deeper insights into competitive effectiveness.

Study individual platforms.: See which platform (Instagram vs YouTube vs TikTok) gives the highest engagement and recall, and why.

Measure actual customer behaviour.: Use real data from Burger King's app usage, coupon redemptions, or store visits after viral campaigns to track behaviour change.

Add sentiment analysis.: Use AI tools to analyse comments, tweets, reviews on viral content to understand public reaction at scale.

Conduct long-term studies.: Track how a viral campaign impacts brand equity (perception, loyalty, trust) over 6–12 months, not just in the days after posting.

REFERENCES

Berger, J., & Milkman, K. L. (2012). What makes online content viral? *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(2), 192–205. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.10.0353>

Dobele, A., Toleman, D., & Beverland, M. (2007). Controlled infection! Spreading the brand message through viral marketing. *Business Horizons*, 50(6), 487–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2007.06.002>

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2011). Two hearts in three-quarter time: How to waltz the social media/viral marketing dance. *Business Horizons*, 54(3), 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.006>

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). *Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change*. Springer-Verlag.

Porter, L., & Golan, G. J. (2006). From subservient chickens to brawny men: A comparison of viral advertising to television advertising. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 6(2), 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2006.10722122>

Rogers, E. M. (1962). *Diffusion of innovations*. Free Press.

Wilson, R. F. (2000). The six simple principles of viral marketing. *Web Marketing Today*, 1–7. Retrieved from <http://www.wilsonweb.com/wmt6viral.htm>

APPENDICES

Survey Questionnaire: The Role of Viral Marketing in Brand Awareness on Burger King

What is your age group?

1. Below 18
2. 18–25
3. 26–35
4. 36–45
5. Above 45

Gender:

1. Male
2. Female
3. Other
4. Prefer not to say

How frequently do you use social media platforms (Instagram, YouTube, Twitter, etc.)?

1. Multiple times a day
2. Once a day
3. Few times a week
4. Rarely
5. Never

Have you seen any Burger King advertisement/content online in the past 6 months?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not Sure

If yes, where did you see it? (Select all that apply)

1. Instagram
2. YouTube
3. Facebook
4. Twitter/X
5. TikTok
6. Other (Please specify): _____

How would you rate your awareness of Burger King's marketing campaigns?

1. Very high
2. High
3. Moderate
4. Low
5. Very low

What type of Burger King viral content do you remember?

1. Humorous ads
2. Controversial or bold content
3. Influencer collaborations
4. Memes or GIFs
5. I don't remember any content

How likely are you to engage (like, share, comment) with Burger King's viral content?

1. Very likely
2. Likely
3. Neutral
4. Unlikely
5. Very unlikely

Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: “Burger King’s viral ads are more entertaining than traditional ads.”

1. Strongly agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly disagree

How do Burger King’s viral ads make you feel? (Select all that apply)

1. Entertained
2. Confused
3. Offended
4. Informed
5. Curious
6. Unaffected

On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate Burger King’s brand image based on its viral content?

1. Very Negative
2. Negative
3. Neutral
4. Positive
5. Very Positive

Have Burger King’s viral ads ever influenced your decision to try or buy their products?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Maybe

Which of the following best describes your loyalty to Burger King?

1. Regular customer
2. Occasional customer
3. Rarely visit

4. Never visited
5. Used to be loyal but not anymore

Do you think viral marketing helps you remember Burger King more than its competitors (e.g., McDonald's, KFC)?

- Yes
- No
- About the same
- Not sure