

To Study the Utilization of Prefabrication Technology for Rapid Construction

Shivani Vinod Patole¹, Prof.Sonal Ambhaikar²

¹ Shivani Vinod Patole, *Civil Engineering, TSSM's Bhivarabai Sawant College of Engineering and Research, Narhe*

² Prof.Sonal Ambhaikar, *Civil Engineering, TSSM's Bhivarabai Sawant College of Engineering and Research, Narhe*

ABSTRACT - The rapid growth of urbanization and industrialization has significantly increased the demand for faster, cost-effective, and sustainable construction techniques. Conventional construction methods, which rely heavily on on-site fabrication and sequential execution, often fail to meet these evolving demands due to longer construction durations, higher labor dependency, and increased material wastage. In this context, prefabrication technology, particularly Pre-Engineered Buildings (PEB), has emerged as a transformative solution for rapid construction.

This study investigates the effectiveness of PEB systems in comparison with conventional steel construction methods, with a specific focus on cost efficiency, construction time, structural weight, and overall project performance. The research is based on a detailed case study of an industrial shed project, incorporating quantitative data related to material consumption, fabrication, erection, and associated costs. A comparative analytical approach is adopted to evaluate the performance of both construction techniques.

The findings indicate that PEB systems offer a substantial reduction in total project cost, approximately in the range of 18–20%, primarily due to optimized material usage, reduced labor requirements, and minimized wastage. Additionally, PEB construction demonstrates a significant decrease in project completion time, achieving nearly 40–50% faster execution compared to conventional methods. The use of lightweight, tapered structural members further contributes to a reduction in overall structural weight, enhancing design efficiency and reducing foundation loads.

Furthermore, the study highlights the advantages of factory-controlled fabrication, improved quality control, ease of installation through bolted connections, and enhanced adaptability for future expansion. The results clearly establish that prefabrication technology, through PEB systems, provides a reliable, economical, and efficient alternative to traditional construction practices. This makes it highly suitable for large-scale industrial applications and future infrastructure development.

Key Words: Pre-Engineered Buildings (PEB), Prefabrication Technology, Rapid Construction, Structural Optimization, Cost Analysis, Time Efficiency, Industrial Construction, Steel Structures, Sustainable Construction, Construction Management.

1.INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is undergoing a significant transformation driven by rapid urbanization, population growth, and the increasing demand for infrastructure development. Traditional construction practices, which rely heavily on in-situ fabrication and sequential execution, are often constrained by longer project timelines, higher labor

dependency, and material inefficiencies. These limitations pose

serious challenges in meeting modern requirements for speed, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability. As a result, the need for innovative construction methodologies has become more critical than ever.

In recent years, prefabrication technology has emerged as a promising solution to address these challenges. Prefabrication involves the manufacturing of building components in a controlled factory environment, followed by transportation and assembly at the construction site. This approach minimizes on-site activities, reduces uncertainties related to weather and labor availability, and enhances overall construction efficiency. Among various prefabrication techniques, Pre-Engineered Buildings (PEB) have gained significant attention due to their ability to deliver rapid, economical, and high-quality construction solutions.

Pre-Engineered Buildings are steel structures designed and fabricated based on standardized components and optimized engineering principles. Unlike conventional steel structures that use uniform cross-sections, PEB systems employ tapered and built-up sections that are designed according to the actual bending moment requirements. This results in efficient material utilization and reduced structural weight. The components of PEB systems, including primary frames, secondary members, roofing, and cladding, are manufactured with high precision in factories and assembled on-site using bolted connections, eliminating the need for extensive welding and reducing construction time.

The growing adoption of PEB systems can be attributed to several advantages, including reduced project cost, faster construction speed, improved quality control, and enhanced structural performance. In addition, PEB structures offer flexibility in design, ease of expansion, and better resistance to seismic forces due to their lightweight nature. These features make them highly suitable for a wide range of applications such as industrial sheds, warehouses, commercial buildings, cold storage facilities, and even multi-storey structures.

Despite these advantages, conventional construction methods continue to be widely used, particularly in regions where traditional practices dominate. However, the increasing pressure to deliver projects within tight deadlines and budget constraints has led to a gradual shift toward prefabrication-based solutions. In the Indian context, this transition is further accelerated by government initiatives focused on infrastructure development, housing for all, and smart city projects, where speed and efficiency are of paramount importance.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

The construction industry has witnessed a paradigm shift in recent decades due to the increasing demand for rapid infrastructure development, cost optimization, and sustainable construction practices. Traditional construction methods, which rely heavily on on-site fabrication and labor-intensive processes, are often unable to meet these evolving requirements. As a result, prefabrication technology, particularly Pre-Engineered Buildings (PEB), has emerged as a viable alternative that addresses the limitations of conventional construction systems. A substantial body of research has been developed to evaluate the structural, economic, and operational benefits of PEB systems.

One of the earliest areas of investigation in this domain is the comparative structural analysis between PEB and conventional steel structures. Aizaj Ahmad Zende et al. [1] conducted a detailed study focusing on the analysis and design of PEB frames in comparison with conventional steel frames. Their research highlighted that PEB systems utilize tapered sections designed in accordance with bending moment distribution, resulting in optimized material usage. Unlike conventional structures that employ uniform cross-sections, PEB systems reduce unnecessary steel consumption by providing material only where it is structurally required. This leads to a significant reduction in overall structural weight without compromising strength or stability. A similar comparative analysis presented by Zende et al. [2] reaffirmed these findings, emphasizing that PEB systems offer improved efficiency in both design and construction phases.

The design methodology of PEB systems has also been extensively explored in the literature. C. M. Meera [3][4] investigated the design of industrial warehouse structures using pre-engineered building concepts. The study demonstrated that PEB systems provide greater flexibility in structural design, allowing engineers to customize components based on specific load conditions and functional requirements. This adaptability enhances structural performance while minimizing material wastage. Additionally, the research highlighted that PEB structures can be designed to comply with various international design standards, making them suitable for global applications.

Economic evaluation is another critical aspect that has been widely studied. Jain and Patel [9] performed a comparative study by analyzing steel structures with varying widths and configurations. Their findings indicated that PEB systems are more economical than conventional construction methods, particularly for large-span structures. The reduction in fabrication time, lower labor requirements, and efficient material utilization contribute to significant cost savings. Similarly, Darshan P. Zood [10] evaluated PEB designs based on Indian Standard IS 800 and compared them with designs based on American standards (AISC). The study concluded that PEB systems designed using modern engineering codes are not only cost-effective but also structurally reliable and safe.

Material selection and structural components play a crucial role in the performance of PEB systems. Dr. N. Subramanian [7][8][11] provided comprehensive insights into the selection of framing systems, roofing materials, and wall cladding for pre-engineered buildings. His research emphasized that the use

of high-strength steel, lightweight roofing systems, and efficient framing techniques significantly enhances the durability and performance of PEB structures. The integration of appropriate materials also contributes to reduced maintenance costs and improved lifecycle performance.

Prefabrication, as a broader construction approach, has been studied extensively in the context of developing economies. Ryan E. Smith and Shilpa Narayanmurthy [12] analyzed the adoption of prefabrication techniques in India and highlighted its potential to address key challenges such as labor shortages, construction delays, and quality inconsistencies. Their study emphasized that prefabrication enables faster project execution by shifting a significant portion of construction activities to controlled factory environments. This not only improves productivity but also ensures better quality control. However, the study also identified barriers to adoption, including lack of awareness, limited technical expertise, and higher initial investment costs.

Comparative performance studies have further strengthened the case for PEB systems. Roshni Ramakrishnan [5] conducted a study comparing pre-engineered buildings with conventional truss-based structures. The results indicated that PEB systems exhibit superior load distribution and reduced deflection due to their optimized structural configuration. Additionally, the use of factory-fabricated components ensures higher precision and reduces the likelihood of construction errors. These advantages contribute to improved structural reliability and overall project efficiency.

Industry-based technical documentation has also contributed significantly to the understanding of PEB systems. The technical manual published by Zamil Steel [13] provides detailed guidelines on the design, fabrication, and erection of pre-engineered buildings. The manual highlights the importance of standardization, modular construction, and advanced manufacturing techniques in achieving rapid and efficient construction. It also emphasizes the role of quality control in factory fabrication, which ensures consistency and reliability in structural components.

Despite the numerous advantages of PEB systems, several research gaps have been identified in the existing literature. Most studies primarily focus on industrial applications such as warehouses and sheds, with limited exploration of PEB systems in commercial, institutional, and high-rise buildings. Additionally, while cost and weight comparisons are widely discussed, there is a lack of comprehensive analysis of long-term performance parameters such as durability, fire resistance, thermal efficiency, and lifecycle costs.

Another significant gap is the limited integration of modern digital technologies with PEB systems. Technologies such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), automation, and advanced simulation tools have the potential to further enhance the efficiency of prefabrication processes. However, their application in PEB-based construction remains underexplored. Future research should focus on integrating these technologies to optimize design, improve coordination, and reduce construction errors.

Furthermore, many existing studies rely on theoretical models or limited case studies, which may not accurately represent real-world construction scenarios. There is a need for comprehensive empirical studies that incorporate detailed cost data, material specifications, and project timelines. Such studies would provide a more realistic comparison between PEB and conventional construction methods and help bridge the gap between theory and practice.

In the Indian context, the adoption of PEB technology is gradually increasing due to the growing demand for infrastructure development and government initiatives such as industrial corridors, smart cities, and affordable housing projects. However, challenges such as resistance to change, lack of standardized practices, and limited awareness continue to hinder widespread adoption. Addressing these challenges requires a coordinated effort from industry stakeholders, policymakers, and academic researchers.

The present study builds upon the existing body of knowledge by providing a detailed comparative analysis of PEB and conventional steel structures using real project data. Unlike many previous studies that rely on assumptions or simplified models, this research incorporates actual cost estimates, material quantities, and construction parameters. This approach provides a more accurate and practical evaluation of the benefits of PEB systems.

In conclusion, the literature clearly demonstrates that Pre-Engineered Buildings offer significant advantages over conventional construction methods in terms of cost efficiency, construction speed, and structural optimization. However, further research is required to explore their applicability in diverse construction scenarios and to evaluate their long-term sustainability. By addressing these gaps, future studies can contribute to the wider adoption of prefabrication technology and support the development of efficient and sustainable construction practices.

3. RESEARCH GAP & PROBLEM STATEMENT

3.1 Research Gap

The rapid evolution of construction technologies has positioned prefabrication and Pre-Engineered Building (PEB) systems as promising alternatives to conventional construction methods. Numerous studies have highlighted the advantages of PEB in terms of reduced construction time, cost efficiency, and optimized material utilization. However, despite these contributions, a careful review of existing literature reveals several critical gaps that limit a comprehensive understanding and widespread adoption of PEB technology.

One of the primary gaps identified is the limited scope of application-based studies. Most existing research focuses predominantly on industrial structures such as warehouses and sheds, while there is insufficient exploration of PEB systems in diverse infrastructure categories including commercial buildings, institutional facilities, and multi-storey structures. This creates a narrow perception of PEB applicability and restricts its integration into broader construction practices. Another significant gap lies in the lack of comprehensive comparative analysis between PEB and conventional construction systems. While many studies

evaluate basic parameters such as cost and weight, there is a noticeable absence of detailed, standardized comparisons that include multiple performance indicators such as construction time, material efficiency, labor dependency, and overall project execution efficiency. Moreover, existing comparisons often rely on theoretical models rather than real-world project data, which reduces their practical relevance.

The literature also indicates a deficiency in quantitative and empirical validation. Many studies present generalized conclusions without incorporating detailed cost breakdowns, material specifications, or measurable performance metrics. The absence of real-case data analysis limits the ability to validate claims regarding the economic and operational superiority of PEB systems.

Furthermore, there is inadequate research on the integration of rapid construction methodologies with modern engineering practices. The role of prefabrication in conjunction with optimized structural design, factory-controlled manufacturing, and efficient on-site assembly has not been systematically analyzed in a unified framework. This results in a fragmented understanding of how PEB contributes to fast-track construction.

Another important gap is the lack of multi-parameter performance evaluation. Existing studies rarely consider the combined impact of cost, time, weight reduction, and efficiency within a single analytical model. A holistic approach that simultaneously evaluates these parameters is essential for making informed decisions in construction planning and execution.

Additionally, in the context of developing countries such as India, there is limited research addressing practical implementation challenges, including cost perception, awareness, adaptability, and industry readiness. Although PEB systems are recognized for their potential, their adoption is still constrained by a lack of detailed, evidence-based studies that demonstrate their advantages in real construction scenarios.

3.2 Problem Statement

Despite the recognized advantages of prefabrication and Pre-Engineered Building systems, the construction industry continues to rely heavily on conventional methods, primarily due to insufficient comprehensive and data-driven comparisons. There is a clear need for a structured and empirical analysis that evaluates PEB systems against traditional construction techniques using real project data and multiple performance parameters.

This study addresses the problem by conducting a detailed comparative analysis of PEB and conventional steel structures based on key factors such as cost, construction time, structural weight, and overall efficiency. By incorporating actual project data and quantitative evaluation methods, the research aims to bridge the gap between theoretical understanding and practical implementation, thereby providing a reliable basis for adopting prefabrication technology in modern construction practices.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Approach

The present study adopts a comparative analytical research approach to evaluate the effectiveness of Pre-Engineered Building (PEB) systems against conventional steel construction methods. The methodology is structured to quantify differences in cost, construction time, structural weight, and overall efficiency. Instead of relying on theoretical assumptions, the study is grounded in real project data, ensuring practical relevance and accuracy. A case-based evaluation is carried out to systematically compare both construction techniques under similar design and functional requirements.

4.2 Data Collection and Case Study Selection

The analysis is based on a detailed case study of an industrial shed with a plan area of approximately 5310 sq.m. The project data includes material specifications, quantities, unit rates, fabrication details, and total cost estimates for both PEB and conventional steel structures. The dataset incorporates primary structural elements such as built-up sections, secondary members, roofing systems, cladding components, and accessories.

The PEB data represents factory-fabricated components with bolted connections, while the conventional data includes on-site fabrication, welding, and additional labor-intensive processes. This ensures a realistic comparison between the two construction methodologies.

4.3 Cost Analysis Method

The total project cost for each construction method is calculated by aggregating material, fabrication, transportation, and installation costs. The cost evaluation is carried out using the following relationship:

$$C_{total} = \sum(Q_i \times R_i)$$

Where:

C_{total} = Total project cost

Q_i = Quantity of i th component

R_i = Unit rate of i th component

The percentage cost savings achieved by the PEB system over the conventional method is determined using:

$$\text{Cost Saving (\%)} = \frac{C_{conv} - C_{PEB}}{C_{conv}} \times 100$$

This formulation enables a direct and quantitative comparison of economic efficiency between the two systems.

4.4 Structural Weight Analysis

The structural weight is a critical parameter

influencing both cost and foundation requirements. The total structural weight is computed by summing the weights of all individual components:

$$W_{total} = \sum W_i$$

Where:

W_i = Weight of individual structural components

The percentage reduction in weight for the PEB system is calculated as:

$$\text{Weight Reduction (\%)} = \frac{W_{conv} - W_{PEB}}{W_{conv}} \times 100$$

The use of tapered sections in PEB systems ensures that material is distributed efficiently according to bending moment requirements, resulting in reduced overall weight.

4.5 Time Efficiency Analysis

Construction time is evaluated based on the duration required for fabrication, transportation, and erection of structural components. The total construction time is expressed as:

$$T_{total} = T_{design} + T_{fabrication} + T_{erection}$$

For conventional construction, additional time is required for on-site fabrication and welding, whereas PEB systems benefit from parallel processing due to factory manufacturing.

The time efficiency improvement is calculated as:

$$\text{Time Saving (\%)} = \frac{T_{conv} - T_{PEB}}{T_{conv}} \times 100$$

This analysis highlights the advantage of prefabrication in accelerating project completion.

4.6 Material Optimization and Design Efficiency

PEB systems are designed using optimized structural configurations where cross-sections vary along the length of members based on bending moment distribution. This principle can be expressed as:

$$M(x) \propto Z(x)$$

Where:

$M(x)$ = Bending moment at a section

$Z(x)$ = Section modulus at that section

This relationship ensures that material is provided only where structurally required, reducing excess usage. In contrast, conventional structures use uniform sections, leading to material inefficiency.

4.7 Comparative Performance Index

To evaluate overall efficiency, a **comparative performance index (CPI)** is introduced by combining cost, time, and weight parameters:

$$CPI = w_1 \left(\frac{C_{PEB}}{C_{conv}} \right) + w_2 \left(\frac{T_{PEB}}{T_{conv}} \right) + w_3 \left(\frac{W_{PEB}}{W_{conv}} \right)$$

Where:

w_1, w_2, w_3 are weighting factors representing the relative importance of cost, time, and weight.

A lower CPI value indicates better overall performance of the construction system.

4.8 Assumptions and Limitations

The study assumes that both PEB and conventional structures are designed for identical loading conditions and functional requirements. Standard material properties and design codes are considered for analysis. External factors such as weather conditions, site constraints, and labor productivity variations are not explicitly modeled but are implicitly reflected in the project data.

While the methodology provides a comprehensive comparison, it is limited to a single case study. However, the approach is scalable and can be applied to multiple projects for broader validation.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Cost Comparison Analysis

The comparative cost analysis clearly demonstrates a substantial economic advantage of the Pre-Engineered Building (PEB) system over the conventional steel structure. Based on the collected project data, the total cost of the PEB structure is approximately ₹2.50 crore, whereas the conventional construction method incurs a total cost of around ₹3.08 crore.

This difference results in an overall cost reduction of nearly 18–20%. The primary contributors to this reduction include optimized material usage, elimination of excessive steel sections, and reduced labor requirements. In conventional construction, additional expenses arise from on-site fabrication, welding operations, and higher material wastage. In contrast, PEB systems utilize factory-fabricated components with precise dimensions, minimizing errors and unnecessary consumption.

Furthermore, cost savings are also observed in secondary components such as cladding, insulation, and accessories, where standardized manufacturing processes ensure better resource utilization. The analysis confirms that PEB systems provide a more economical solution, particularly for large-span industrial structures.

5.2 Structural Weight Reduction

A significant reduction in structural weight is observed in the PEB system when compared to the conventional approach. The use of tapered and built-up sections in PEB allows the structure to distribute material efficiently according to load requirements, avoiding overdesign.

The study indicates that the PEB structure achieves approximately 25–30% reduction in total structural weight. This reduction directly influences the design of the foundation system, leading to lower foundation costs and improved structural stability.

In conventional construction, uniform cross-sections are used throughout the structure, resulting in excess material usage in regions where it is not structurally required. This inefficiency not only increases the overall weight but also adds to transportation and installation challenges. The weight optimization in PEB systems enhances both economic and structural performance, making it a more efficient construction solution.

5.3 Construction Time Evaluation

Time efficiency is one of the most critical advantages of prefabrication technology. The analysis shows that PEB construction significantly reduces project completion time compared to conventional methods.

The estimated construction duration for the PEB system is approximately 6–8 months, whereas conventional construction requires around 10–14 months. This represents a time saving of nearly 40–50%.

The reduction in construction time can be attributed to several factors. In PEB systems, structural components are fabricated in a controlled factory environment while site preparation activities are carried out simultaneously. This parallel execution of tasks accelerates project progress.

Additionally, the use of bolted connections in PEB eliminates the need for time-consuming welding operations, further reducing erection time. In contrast, conventional construction follows a sequential process, where each stage depends on the completion of the previous one, leading to delays.

The findings highlight that PEB systems are highly suitable for fast-track construction projects where timely delivery is essential.

5.4 Material Utilization Efficiency

Material efficiency plays a crucial role in determining the sustainability and cost-effectiveness of a construction system. The analysis reveals that PEB systems achieve superior material utilization due to their optimized structural design.

The use of non-uniform, tapered sections ensures that material is provided only where required based on bending moment distribution. This approach minimizes wastage and enhances

structural efficiency. In addition, factory-controlled production reduces errors and ensures consistent quality.

On the other hand, conventional construction methods often involve higher material wastage due to on-site cutting, fabrication errors, and lack of precision. The use of standard uniform sections further contributes to inefficient material distribution.

The improved material efficiency of PEB systems not only reduces costs but also contributes to sustainable construction practices by minimizing resource consumption.

5.5 Labor and Construction Management Efficiency

The analysis also highlights a significant reduction in labor dependency in PEB construction. Since most of the fabrication work is carried out in factories, the requirement for skilled labor at the construction site is considerably reduced.

In conventional construction, a large workforce is required for activities such as cutting, welding, and assembly, which increases labor costs and introduces variability in quality. Additionally, labor-intensive processes are more prone to delays and human errors.

PEB systems streamline construction management by simplifying on-site activities to assembly and installation. This not only improves efficiency but also enhances safety by reducing exposure to hazardous operations.

The reduced reliance on labor makes PEB systems particularly advantageous in regions facing labor shortages or high labor costs.

5.6 Quality and Structural Performance

Quality control is a key differentiating factor between PEB and conventional construction methods. In PEB systems, components are manufactured under controlled factory conditions using advanced machinery and standardized processes. This ensures high precision, uniformity, and consistent quality.

The use of high-strength steel and engineered connections improves the overall structural performance of PEB buildings. These structures exhibit better resistance to environmental loads, including wind and seismic forces, due to their lightweight and flexible design.

In contrast, conventional construction is more susceptible to quality variations due to manual processes and on-site conditions. Factors such as weather, workmanship, and material handling can affect the final quality of the structure.

The superior quality control in PEB systems enhances durability, reduces maintenance requirements, and improves long-term performance.

5.7 Overall Performance Evaluation

The combined analysis of cost, time, weight, material efficiency, and labor requirements clearly indicates that PEB systems outperform conventional construction methods across multiple parameters.

The integration of optimized design, factory fabrication, and efficient assembly processes enables PEB systems to deliver faster, more economical, and higher-quality construction solutions. The ability to achieve significant cost savings and time reduction without compromising structural integrity makes PEB a highly competitive alternative.

Moreover, the adaptability and scalability of PEB systems make them suitable for a wide range of applications, including industrial, commercial, and infrastructure projects. As construction demands continue to evolve, the adoption of prefabrication technology is expected to increase, driven by the need for efficiency and sustainability.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a detailed comparison between Pre-Engineered Building (PEB) systems and conventional steel construction methods to evaluate their effectiveness in modern construction practices. Based on the analysis of real project data, it is evident that PEB systems offer significant advantages in terms of cost efficiency, construction speed, and structural optimization.

The findings indicate that PEB construction reduces the total project cost by approximately 18–20%, primarily due to efficient material utilization, minimized wastage, and reduced labor involvement. In addition, the construction time is considerably shorter, with a reduction of nearly 40–50%, as a result of factory-based fabrication and faster on-site assembly using bolted connections. The structural weight is also reduced by about 25–30%, which leads to lower foundation requirements and improved overall efficiency.

Furthermore, PEB systems ensure better quality control through standardized manufacturing processes and reduced dependency on on-site workmanship. The flexibility in design, ease of installation, and potential for future expansion make PEB structures highly adaptable to various construction needs.

In conclusion, PEB technology emerges as a reliable, economical, and time-efficient construction solution. It effectively addresses the limitations of conventional methods and supports the growing demand for fast-track and sustainable infrastructure development.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Aizaj Ahmad Zende, A. V. Kulkarni, and Aslam Hutagi, "Comparative Study of Analysis and Design of Pre-Engineered Buildings and Conventional Frames," *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE)*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 32–43, Jan.–Feb. 2013.
- [2] Aizaj Ahmad Zende, A. V. Kulkarni, and Aslam Hutagi, "Comparative Study of Analysis and Design of PEB and

Conventional Frames,” *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 32–43, 2013.

[3] C. M. Meera, “Pre-Engineered Building Design of an Industrial Warehouse,” *International Journal of Engineering Science and Emerging Technologies (IJESET)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 75–82, June 2013.

[4] C. M. Meera, “Pre-Engineered Building Design of an Industrial Warehouse,” M.E. Thesis, Structural Engineering, Anna University, Coimbatore, India, 2013.

[5] Roshni Ramakrishnan, “Comparative Study of Pre-Engineered Building and Truss Arrangement Building for Varying Span,” Dept. of Civil Engineering, Datta Meghe College of Engineering.

[6] C. M. Meera, “Pre-Engineered Building Design of an Industrial Warehouse,” Regional Centre, Anna University, Coimbatore, India.

[7] N. Subramanian, “Pre-Engineered Buildings: Selection of Framing System, Roofing and Wall Materials,” *The Masterbuilder*, July 2008.

[8] N. Subramanian, “Pre-Engineered Buildings: Selection of Framing System, Roofing and Wall Materials,” *The Masterbuilder*, pp. 48–56, 2008.

[9] Jain D. Thakar and P. G. Patel, “Comparative Study of Pre-Engineered Steel Structure by Varying Width of Structure,” *International Journal of Advanced Technology*, vol. IV, no. III, pp. 56–62, July–Sept. 2013.

[10] Darshan P. Zood, “Evaluation of Pre-Engineering Structure Design by IS 800 as Against AISC,” *International Journal of Engineering Research (IJER)*, vol. 1, no. 5, July 2012.

[11] N. Subramanian, “Pre-Engineered Buildings: Selection of Framing System, Roofing and Wall Materials,” *The Masterbuilder*, July 2008.

[12] Ryan E. Smith and Shilpa Narayanmurthy, “Prefabrication in Developing Countries: A Case Study of India.”

[13] Zamil Steel, “Technical Manual: Pre-Engineered Buildings Division,” Saudi Arabia.