

Typing Speed Checker

Dhruv^{#1} 21BCS4326 BE CSE 21BCS4326@cuchd.in Mehar Danish^{#2} 21BCS4360 BE CSE 21BCS4360@cuchd.in Ishant Sharma^{#3} 21BCS4299BE CSE 21BCS4299@cuchd.in Chandan Mehra^{#4} 21BCS3963BE CSE 21BCS3963@cuchd.in

Vinit Kumar^{#5} 21BCS4297 BE CSE 21BCS4297@cuchd.in Daulat Ram^{#6} E13701 Assistance Professor Computer science and engineering Chandigarh University, Mohali,Punjab, India

Abstract-- Children with spelling difficulties are limited in their participation in all written school activities. Our aim is to investigate the effect of word prediction as a tool on spelling accuracy and typing speed. For this purpose, students in grades 4-6 who study in private schools and need to participate in a design research project. We selected 80 children with writing difficulties in the classrooms. The learning task consists of typing 30 words on the on-screen keyboard, with or without the use of word prediction software. The Orthographic Graded Test was designed to investigate whether there is a relationship between the child's current orthographic knowledge and word prediction performance. The results show that using word prediction increases the accuracy of time and tendency to use word prediction, there is no relationship between spelling knowledge and word prediction

Keywords: spelling difficulties; word approximations.

Introduction

Writing skills are important at all levels of writing (Allred, 1990) and are important skills for school-going children. Although writing appears to be automatic for elementary school children's learning and is a tool for expressing ideas and academic outcomes, written expression is problematic for children who struggle

withspelling, in terms of the quality and quantity of work that must be performed It is possible It is the effort to produce. Writing techniques (e.g., handwriting, writing, punctuation) still dominate writing, which interferes with and interferes with higher level writing techniques (e.g., message management and creative thinking). The ideais that if writing processes can be supported, higher-level writing processes can continue with less interference from lower-level impairments (MacArthur, 1999). Case studies have shown that students who struggle with spelling can benefit(MacArthur, 1999; Newell, Booth, Arnott, & Beattie, 1992; Williams, 2002).

Word prediction described by Lloyd, Fuller, and Arvidson (1997) is a computer system that facilitates and improves word retrieval by selecting the most frequent words based on the first letters selected. Word Prediction provides a writing comprehension tool for children who struggle with writing, allowing them to produce text alongside their peers without acquiring spelling skills. However, research on the effectiveness of word prediction has produced significant results in the literature (Tam, Reid, Naumann, & Oand Keefe, 2002). The mixed results are due to differences in study methods, study populations, computer access devices, word prediction programs, user characteristics (Tam et al., 2002), and educational interventions . (Horstmann & Levine, 1992) and the generalization of these aspects of word prediction research. Itis suggested that the conflicting evidence



provided by studies may have a greater impact on the nature of teaching and training than feedback following the use of wordprediction, rather than reflecting the following outcome of one's own culture (Williams, 2002).

Caution should also be exercised when using quantitative data derived from healthy individuals in vocabulary prediction models (Newell, Arnott, & Waller, 1992), which attempt to predict the performance of individuals with disabilities among users. There are many different strategies to treat a person's condition. Specific user characteristics or abilities can significantly affect the abilityto use word prediction (Koester & Levine, 1998). Aspects such as the user's motor skills, visual needs and word prediction usage needs, as well as the user's willingness to use (MacArthur, 1999) have received attention in the literature. Word prediction requires collaboration between writing and sight-reading skills. Although many case studies have demonstrated how word prediction can help with spelling problems, there is little evidence in the literature that attempts to examine, measure, or correlate the effect of specific writing skills (or reading skills) versus spelling efficiency or hislack. A word prediction program that makes typing easier, especially by improving speed and accuracy. The purpose of this research project was to investigate the relationship between the use of word prediction and the ability to write single words, and the role of writing skills in subjects' ability to use the concept. Supports correct spelling with word completion Word prediction is a computer program that works in the word processing program during the writing process. When the user starts typinga new word, the vocabulary list is filled with words that match the letters entered. When the user selects one of the suggested words (by clicking the mouse or using the cursor function of the keyboard), that word is inserted into the document and the user can immediately type the next word. The essence of the orthographic support provided by word prediction is that orthographic knowledge from letter- to-music encoding is replaced by the ability to select words rather than form them. Spelling becomes a sight and word reading activity where reading and writing skills work together. In the literature on theoretical models of reading and writing (Berninger, 2000; Ganske, 1999; Shanahan & Lomax, 1986), much attention has been paid to theidea that the act of writing words, the act of producing words, or better reading comprehension. and see the words better (reading). We are supportive. it) Your spelling skills will improve. By using spelling and reading together in an interactive

way for word prediction, both methods can benefit each other because users will always use writing and reading skills in their work to express words. Indeed, the strong connection between the two skills supports a more integrated approach to teaching writing and reading. Thus, word prediction can be seen not only as an artificial tool for solving spelling problems, but also as a valid training aid (Newell, Arnott et al., 1992), which attempt to predict the performance of individuals with disabilities among users. There are many different strategies to treat a person's condition. Specific user characteristics or abilities can significantly affect the abilityto use word prediction (Koester & Levine, 1998). Aspects such as the user's motor skills, visual needs and word prediction usage needs, as well as the user's willingness to use (MacArthur, 1999) have received attention in the literature. Word prediction requires collaboration between writing and sight-reading skills. Although many case studies have demonstrated how word prediction can help with spelling problems, there is little evidence in the literature that attempts to examine, measure, or correlatethe effect of specific writing skills (or reading skills) versus spelling efficiency or hislack. A word prediction program that makes typing easier, especially by improving speed and accuracy. The purpose of this research project was to investigate the relationship between the use of word prediction and the ability to write single words, and the role of writing skills in subjects' ability to use the concept. Supports correct spelling with word completion Word prediction is a computer program that works in the word processing program during the writing process. When the user starts typinga new word, the vocabulary list is filled with words that match the letters entered. When the user selects one of the suggested words (by clicking the mouse or using the cursor function of the keyboard), that word is inserted into the document and the user can immediately type the next word. The essence of the orthographic support provided by word prediction is that orthographic knowledge from letter- to-music encoding is replaced by the ability to select words rather than form them. Spelling becomes a sight and word reading activity where reading and writing skills work together. In the literature on theoretical models of reading and writing (Berninger, 2000; Ganske, 1999; Shanahan & Lomax, 1986), much attention has been paid to theidea that the act of writing words, the act of producing words, or better reading comprehension. and see the words better (reading). We are supportive. it) Your spelling skills will improve. By using spelling and reading together in an interactive

Т



way for word prediction, both methods can benefit each other because users will always use writing and reading skills in their work to express words. Indeed, the strong connection between the two skills supports a more integrated approach to teaching writing and reading. Thus, word prediction can be seen not only as an artificial tool for solving spelling problems, but also as a valid training aid (Newell, Arnott et al., 1992). However, reading is a decoding process and spelling is an encoding process (Allred, 1990). Although reading and spelling are highly correlated, differences in reading and writing skills can affect effectiveness. Word prediction. Ifa child's reading skills are weaker than their spelling skills, their word prediction maybe severely limited, increasing spelling speed or accuracy. On the other hand, if children with poor writing skills have stronger reading skills, one might expect that word prediction might be useful in improving spelling speed and accuracy. Increased speed with fewer buttons Word prediction software reduces clicks by 50% (Anson, 1993). A button is a mouse click or keyboard key event. The average English language is 6 characters long, but on average it only takes 2-4 characters to enter a word into a word prediction software.

Literature Survey

The wordprediction program used was Penfriend W3 1.04 (Spooner, 1999). Penfriend is compatible with most text editors except Clicker

4. Because prediction lists appear in custom Clicker cells, the Prediction List window can be customized to increase accessibility and readability. Penfriend is easy to use for kids and uses the latest word prediction technology. All activities that use word prediction are limited by the word prediction settings you select, and those settings have a significant impact on the functionality and effectiveness of the word prediction software. Based on the goals of this study, a set of word prediction parameters was chosen to isolate the influence of spelling ability on the use of word prediction in fourth through sixth grade children with spelling difficulties. Great care was taken to choose the setting that reflects the "partial" use of word prediction. These parameters include window size, layout, position and order of words in the prediction list, dictionary and dictionary used, frequency and update functions, word input method, function integration, integration of words, learning search strategies and using grammar prediction. in it , spatial attention and auditory feedback. The

Vocabulary Identification Test was used to identify children with spelling difficulties, to divide the sample population into four groups, and to conduct correlational analyzes of learning test scores. The word spelling test tests the spelling of 80 individual words. Rat handling is a key method for conducting research. Mouse handling can be problematic due to motor impairment, visual impairment, and lack of awareness. The mouse control screen is for checking the mouse functionality of the programs, and the design of the mouse control screen must meet all the above mouse control requirements. The mouse control test was created by researchers in the form of subjects deciding their mouse clicks on a small symbol (a cat) on the screen for 30 clicks. Subjects' acquisition times were evenly distributed between 34 and 70 seconds, except for one subject who captured 171seconds. This project has been cancelled. The final word list compiled after the pilot study is shown in Table 1. Because it was important that the two word lists used in the study were the same, the researchers created word lists that required the same number of keysto type the words. Word prediction. In addition to the easy and difficult words from the list, we split the three random words from the list.

Eighty children with spelling problems (measured by a single-word spelling test) were selected from 4th through 6th grades at a school for special education students with educational and/or physical challenges from diverse economic backgrounds. This sample includes 22 4 children, 28 5 children, and 31 6 children. Grade 4 assumes a minimum educational level of Grade 3 (basic reading level in South Africa) where most spelling conventions are introduced. All participants had at least two years of English education to ensure minimal training in English spelling. All children are asked about their experiences with computer gambling to ensure that no one else has been exposed to it before. Functional hearing, normal hearing, and the absence of motor or visual impairment are prerequisites for participation. The school's speech therapist and school doctor respectively provided information about the hearing aid and its ability to support listening. Physical strength and visual ability are determined by mouse control screens. When designing the mouse control test, participants used their mouse to click a small static icon (a cat) on the screen 30 times.

Description of the topic is the distribution of gender and age over time. The ratio of boys to girls is 2:1. Age

differences between subjects in each year are greater than those usually found in the main year groups (for example, there is an age difference of 3 years and 3 months between the youngest children and the oldest children in Year 4).

It is clear that for the majority of participants (89%), the word prediction score was higher than the writing- only score. This shows that the model's accuracy score often increases after a prediction word is used in the learning task. However, for some subjects, guessing a single word had a negative impact on the correct score.

Methodology

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between the use of word prediction, spelling accuracy of on-screen keyboard input, and speed of on-screen keyboard input in fourth-through sixth-grade children.

To achieve this goal, we set the following subgoals:

Determine whether the use of word prediction affects spelling accuracy. Check if using word prediction affects your typing speed. Explore the relationship between spelling ability and spelling accuracy and typing speed using word prediction.

The research design was a cross-sectional, balanced design using multiple subjects (DePoy & Gitlin, 1994). A crossover design was chosen to balance the effects of the order of presentation of the two word inclusion methods (with word and nonword prediction) and effects resulting from differences between the two word lists. The task in this study consisted of two tests (typing only test and word prediction test) and two word lists (word list A and word list B). The students were divided into four groups, with each group performing the learning tasks in a different sequence. Vocabulary tests and lists were used. To form the four groups, all children who met the selection criteria (described below) were ranked in ascending orderwithin each grade based on their scores on the Test Vocabulary Index (Vernon, 1998). They were systematically divided into four groups, one after the other, starting with children in the fourth grade and ending with the children in the sixth grade The orientation to the word-prediction subtest was structured and thorough. This is because guessing is a new skill that must be taught

before it can be used. It is also an interactive course because you have to choose when to write the words. Students realize that many different options can be used to achieve the same result when it comes to entering the correct word. Participants were given 15 word training to learn to guess a word. Five additional easy-to-spell tasks are used to determine whether children can use word predictions on their own. They were considered valid for word guessing if they found guesses for four out of five words on the guess sheet. If they cannot find at least four out of five words, their results will not be used.

Figure (1)

The total time required to test each subject is approximately 55 minutes, including a 10-minute break. Verbatim guidelines aim to guide researchers throughout academic training and increase internal validity. Because the steps are different for each of the four groups, detailed procedures and notes can be used to guide the researcher through the steps of the testing process. All testing procedures are closed. All collected data were entered into an Excel spreadsheet for processing.



Fig (1)

Understanding the Significance of Typing Speed Checker

Practically countless uses have been found in the market for the humble Typing Speed Checker, demonstrating its compelling significance across a myriad of professional scenarios and industrial landscapes. Journeying through the fast-paced realm of corporate firms, Typing Speed Checkers unquestionably constitute an invaluable cog in their recruitment machinery. Actually, every time recruiters embark on the hiring spree, a usual part of casting their net for qualified contenders circles around carrying out a typing test. This little probe significantly deciphers the candidates' prowess in swiftly drafting



digital communiques and scribbling down documents. Bear in mind, we're primarily concerned about job positions where you'll frequently find your fingertips dancing on the keyboard—data entry attendants, individuals assigned transcription tasks, or any typical Joe entrusted with the average digital responsibility. Prioritising the Typing Speed Checker allows hiring teams to throw in an objective algorithm with quantifiable terms to weigh out the speed and accuracy of potential recruits, hence, eliminating chock and declutter areas of their screening stage, ensuring that every recruited teammate shows laudable typing dexterity.

Suddenly moving away from the white-collar corridors and stepping onto the trails of academia, we see how multitudinous academic organisations incorporate these valuable tools in their lesson plans - spanning across primary educational establishments to lofty halls of higher learning. Remembering the unprecedented importance that knowledge of modern tech is stacking in educative infrastructures, alongside regular cyber communications, puts convincing pen skills before young learners with a serious perspective on vocational plans. Consequently, the type-test operates as a supportive apparatus for instructors to keel over and analyze their student-bodies' speed typing progression and assist ahead academically as well as professionally.

Organisational mentors and trainers are constantly racking up better methods to facilitate consistent intellectual enhancement among teams. Here too a Typing Speed Checker forms part of their ammo library, where we continue seeing more demanding keyboard- based chores such as essential frontend work, content creation, and more activities of that milieu. Utilized into focus-driven coordination, these tools let companies ensure their digital proficiency keeps soaring heights.

Responding to the quickslides in towards a more workfrom-home bend, both freelancing and remote jobs seekers would find Typing Speed Checkers becoming ever more essential supports to their crafts. This ultimate user experience involves edits and authors on one hand and virtual co-workers on the other side who fervently attempt to step up their typing fluency as competent output determiners that comply punctually with deadlines.



Fig (2)

Shifting our gaze towards hospital environments, it quite interesting to view how quickly Typing Speed Checkers gain trust here, where efficient keyboard operating skills prove further useful - medical doctors, ward managers and corporate affairs administrators count on these digital information systems on both a daily and emergency basis, while doctors deserve a mention here who're consistently dealing with ward rounds record descriptions. Fail-proofing the typing speeds grew links with ensuring widespread proficiency towards managing time-sensitive caseloads via speedy notation noting systems.

On one final note, we note significantly explosive potential for typing examination utilities manufacturers amid a growing surge for working out-of-office and amidst interactive virtual collaboration, also witnessing growing credence marked towards A.I and the burgeoning machine-led learning tactics that probably integrate for a considerably advanced Typing Speed evaluation method. Yet again, it's bound to effectively spell throughput everywhere from budding professionals to academia.

Working

The Typing Speed Checker project encompasses a comprehensive set of functionalities designed to assess and improve an individual's typing proficiency. At its core, the project involves a user interface, real-time text analysis, accuracy and speed calculations, feedback generation, and optional features for customization and engagement. The intricate workings of this project can be delineated into several key components.

The initiation of the Typing Speed Checker involves the presentation of a text passage or a set of words through a user-friendly interface. This interface serves as the medium through which users interact with the project. The choice of text is a critical consideration, balancing readability, relevance, and difficulty to cater to a diverse user base.

Once the user engages with the interface, a timer is activated to measure the time taken to complete the typing task. The timer's initiation can be triggered by the first keystroke or the commencement of typing, depending on the design preferences. This temporal component is fundamental to assessing typing speed, a key metric for evaluating proficiency.

Concurrently, the project employs real-time text analysis to scrutinize the user's input as they type. This analysis is pivotal for identifying and recording errors, ranging from incorrect key presses to misspellings and deviations from the designated text. The accuracy of the user's typing is a crucial aspect of the assessment process.

Accuracy is calculated by comparing each keystroke made by the user with the corresponding character in the correct text. The system tallies the correct keystrokes and computes an accuracy percentage, offering a nuanced understanding of the user's precision in typing. This metric forms the foundation for constructive feedback that guides users in understanding their strengths and areas for improvement.

Words Per Minute (WPM) calculation is a standard measure for typing speed. The system derives WPM by dividing the total words typed by the time taken in minutes. This metric provides a quantifiable representation of the user's typing speed, allowing for easy comparison and tracking of progress over time.

Feedback generation is a crucial component of the Typing Speed Checker project. Based on the accuracy

and WPM calculations, the system generates detailed feedback for the user. This feedback typically includes the WPM score, accuracy percentage, and a breakdown of errors. Constructive feedback is essential for users to comprehend their performance and take targeted steps for improvement.

User customization is an optional but valuable feature in many Typing Speed Checkers. This functionality allows users to tailor their typing experience based on their preferences and skill levels. Customization options may include adjusting the difficulty level of the text, choosing the duration of the typing exercise, or selecting specific modes, such as practice mode versus test mode.

Real-time display and visualization enhance the user experience during the typing exercise. Visual cues, progress indicators, and dynamic displays of WPM can provide users with immediate insights into their performance. Visualization of results in a user-friendly format is instrumental in helping individuals interpret their typing metrics effectively.

Logging and analysis, while optional, are advanced features that can significantly enhance the Typing Speed Checker project. By logging user performance over time, the system can facilitate trend analysis, allowing users to track their improvement and identify patterns in their typing habits.

Gamification elements add an engaging dimension to the Typing Speed Checker. Challenges, levels, badges, and rewards provide users with a gamified experience, fostering motivation and sustained participation. Gamification not only makes typing practice enjoyable but also contributes to a sense of achievement, encouraging users to persevere in their skill development journey.

Conclusion

Word prediction, although more expensive to use, has been shown to be a useful tool in helping children with spelling problems write more accurately. However, people with dyslexia react differently and are unsure about using a word prediction. The results of this research study can be used as additional information to help make decisions regarding the use of a predictive word in a particular context.

The Typing Speed Checker, as a powerful tool for assessing and enhancing typing proficiency, holds transformative potential for a diverse audience. Its utility spans across educational institutions, corporate environments, remote work settings, and beyond. The seamless integration of user-friendly interfaces, realtime text analysis, accuracy and speed calculations, and personalized feedback makes it a versatile



companion for individuals seeking to excel in digital communication. As we look towards the future, the trajectory of Typing Speed Checkers promises to be marked by innovation, adaptive learning mechanisms, and an even more significant impact on the evolving landscape of work and education.

The Typing Speed Checker project stands as a catalyst for empowering individuals with a foundational skill set essential for thriving in the digital age. Its transformative impact spans across educational institutions, corporate environments, remote work settings, and beyond. By providing a nuanced assessment of typing proficiency and offering personalized feedback, the Typing Speed Checker becomes a dynamic tool for continuous skill development.

As we look towards the future, the trajectory of Typing Speed Checkers is characterized by innovation, adaptive learning mechanisms, and a deeper integration with emerging technologies. The evolution of these tools aligns with the evolving nature of work and education, where digital literacy plays a central role. The collaboration with educational technologies, emphasis on gamification, and the utilization of big data analytics contribute to a holistic and data-driven approach to skill development.

In this journey towards the future, the Typing Speed Checker remains a beacon, illuminating the path for individuals seeking to navigate the digital landscape with confidence and proficiency. As technology continues to advance, these tools will continue to evolve, ensuring that individuals are not merely consumers but active contributors to the digital ecosystem. The Typing Speed Checker is not just a project; it is a transformative force that equips individuals with the skills they need to excel in the digital age and beyond.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allred RA 1990. Gender differences in spelling achievement in Grades 1 through 6. *Journal of Educational Research*, 83:187-193.
- [2] Anson D 1993. The effect of word-prediction on typing speed. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*,47:1039-1042.
- [3] Berninger VW 2000. Development of language by hand and its connections with language by ear, mouth, and eye. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 20:65-84.
- [4] Ganske K 1999. The Developmental Spelling Analysis: A measure of orthographic knowledge. *Educational Assessment*, 6:41-70.
- [5] MacArthur CA 1999. Overcoming barriers to writing: Computer support for basic skills. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 15:169-192.
- [6] Szeto AL, Allen EJ & Littrell MC 1993. Comparison of speed and accuracy for selected electronic communication devices and input methods. *Alternative and Augmentative Communication*, 9: 229-242.
- [7] Van der Leij A & Van Daal VHP 1999. Automatisation aspects of dyslexia: Speed limitations in word identification, sensitivity to increasing task demands, and orthographic compensation. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 32:417-428.