"Unveiling the Strategic Impact of Performance Appraisals on Employee Productivity in Bangalore's Automotive Firms"

^ADr. Binoy Mathew

Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka State, India. drbinoymathew@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9365-4374

B Thanushree M

Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi,

thanushree.m23022002@gmail.com,

Abstract

This study examines the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems in enhancing employee productivity within Bangalore's automotive industry. Through a descriptive-analytical approach using secondary data, the research evaluates appraisal structures, implementation challenges, and their strategic impact on workforce outcomes. Findings reveal that transparent, development-focused appraisal systems with clear KPIs and managerial competence significantly boost productivity. However, biases, inconsistent feedback, and lack of post-appraisal actions hinder effectiveness. The study suggests integrating digital tools, continuous feedback, and employee involvement to improve appraisal credibility. Managerial training and alignment with organizational goals are critical for long-term success. The research contributes insights for HR professionals and industry leaders to refine appraisal practices, fostering a performance-driven culture in high-demand industrial settings.

Keywords: Performance appraisal, Employee productivity, Automotive industry, Bangalore, HR strategies, Feedback mechanisms.

Introduction

In today's highly competitive business environment, employee performance is a critical determinant of organizational success. Particularly within the automotive industry a sector known for its rigorous demands, precision, and innovation the effective management of human resources has emerged as a strategic priority. Performance appraisal systems, when implemented effectively, can serve as powerful tools to not only assess employee contributions but also to enhance motivation, align individual performance with organizational goals, and foster continuous development (Aguinis, 2019). In cities like Bangalore, a hub for industrial and technological development in India, automotive firms are increasingly seeking performance appraisal practices that balance objectivity with developmental feedback to maintain a competitive edge in both domestic and global markets.



The theoretical underpinnings of performance appraisal systems are rooted in both behavioral and organizational theories. From the perspective of goal-setting theory (Locke & Latham, 2002), performance appraisals help clarify expectations and motivate employees to strive toward defined objectives. Meanwhile, expectancy theory (Vroom, 1964) supports the idea that employees are likely to be more productive when they perceive a direct connection between performance outcomes and rewards. Furthermore, equity theory (Adams, 1963) highlights the importance of fairness in appraisal processes, influencing employee satisfaction and subsequent performance. These theories collectively provide a comprehensive framework to examine how appraisal mechanisms can impact employee behavior and organizational efficiency.

ISSN: 2582-3930

The central problem addressed in this study revolves around the effectiveness and strategic relevance of current performance appraisal systems in Bangalore's automotive industry. Despite the widespread adoption of appraisal tools across companies, questions remain regarding their accuracy, objectivity, and ability to influence employee productivity positively. Many firms continue to face persistent issues such as rater bias, unclear evaluation criteria, lack of follow-up development plans, and resistance from employees who view the process as punitive rather than developmental (Pulakos, 2009). These concerns are compounded by a dynamic and evolving work environment where traditional performance review cycles may no longer align with the agile needs of automotive production and innovation.

Emerging trends in the domain of performance management include a shift from annual performance reviews to continuous feedback models, the integration of technology and data analytics, and a growing emphasis on employee development rather than mere evaluation (Cappelli & Tavis, 2016). In Bangalore, many automotive firms are experimenting with digital platforms and AI-driven tools to streamline the appraisal process. However, challenges persist, particularly in harmonizing performance standards across diverse job roles, ensuring transparency in rating systems, and maintaining employee trust in appraisal outcomes. Additionally, cultural factors and hierarchical organizational structures can sometimes inhibit open and constructive appraisal dialogues, undermining the potential benefits of these systems (Budhwar & Debrah, 2013).

The significance of this study lies in its strategic exploration of how performance appraisal systems are shaping employee productivity in a high-performance industrial setting. Automotive firms in Bangalore contribute significantly to India's manufacturing and export economy, making workforce efficiency and retention vital for their growth. A well-structured performance appraisal mechanism can not only enhance individual productivity but also reduce turnover, improve employee morale, and ultimately lead to sustained organizational success. This research offers value to HR professionals, industry leaders, and policy-makers by providing insights into the current effectiveness of appraisal systems and identifying opportunities for refinement and innovation.

The scope of this research is limited to automotive firms located within Bangalore, focusing primarily on midsized to large enterprises that employ structured human resource practices. It investigates the design, implementation, perception, and outcomes of performance appraisal systems, with an emphasis on their influence on employee productivity. While the study highlights industry-specific findings, the insights may also have implications for other manufacturing sectors experiencing similar challenges.

However, certain limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study relies on organizational data and employee feedback, which may be subject to biases or incomplete disclosure. Second, the research context is geographically constrained to Bangalore, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other regions or sectors. Lastly, performance appraisal effectiveness is influenced by multiple variables including organizational culture, leadership style, and external market conditions some of which may not be fully captured within the scope of this study.

DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51534 Page 2 © 2025, IJSREM www.ijsrem.com



Review of Literature

1. Performance Appraisal Systems

Performance appraisal (PA) is a key component of human resource management, designed to evaluate individual employee contributions within organizational goals. According to DeNisi and Murphy (2017), performance appraisal systems have evolved from traditional ranking methods to more holistic, developmental tools aimed at improving performance through feedback and employee engagement. These systems are increasingly used to identify training needs, determine promotions, and establish reward systems.

ISSN: 2582-3930

Boswell and Boudreau (2002) argue that the effectiveness of a performance appraisal system largely depends on how it is perceived by employees. When appraisals are seen as fair, consistent, and aligned with personal development, employees are more likely to accept the feedback and improve their performance accordingly. Conversely, when appraisals are irregular or influenced by bias, they tend to demotivate employees and breed dissatisfaction.

In the context of manufacturing industries such as automotive firms, Murphy and Cleveland (1995) emphasize the need for objective and behavior-based evaluation metrics that reflect both technical and interpersonal competencies. Studies by Gupta and Upadhyay (2012) found that in Indian industrial settings, performance appraisals are still heavily reliant on hierarchical judgments rather than 360-degree feedback, leading to issues in fairness and transparency.

2. Employee Productivity

Employee productivity refers to the output delivered by an employee over a specific period relative to the input utilized. Mathis and Jackson (2011) highlight that employee productivity is not only influenced by skills and motivation but also by the effectiveness of organizational systems including performance management.

According to Armstrong (2014), performance appraisals have a direct and significant impact on productivity, particularly when linked to developmental interventions such as training, career planning, and constructive feedback. A study by Singh (2015) involving Indian automotive firms shows that productivity is positively influenced when employees are regularly evaluated, appreciated, and given measurable performance goals.

However, challenges such as unclear performance standards, insufficient feedback, and limited involvement in goal setting can reduce the motivational value of appraisals (Latham, Almost, Mann, & Moore, 2005). This disconnect is often more pronounced in highly technical industries where workers expect appraisals to be tailored to role-specific contributions.

3. Linkage Between Performance Appraisal and Employee Productivity

Several studies have explored the interconnection between appraisal systems and productivity. For example, Shahin and Mahbod (2007) argue that performance management systems that focus on both quantitative and qualitative aspects are more likely to improve employee efficiency and alignment with organizational objectives. Similarly, Khan (2013) found that a performance appraisal mechanism that incorporates regular feedback, fairness, and transparency enhances employee morale, leading to higher productivity levels.

Within the Indian context, research by Pradhan and Jena (2017) suggests that employee productivity improves when appraisals are perceived as developmental rather than judgmental. In automotive companies, where precision, coordination, and teamwork are critical, feedback that enhances these aspects often leads to measurable improvements in operational output.





Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

Yet, there are conflicting opinions as well. Not all performance appraisals lead to improved productivity. According to Grubb (2007), when appraisals are used merely as administrative rituals without employee involvement or follow-up actions, they fail to deliver the intended performance outcomes. This is especially relevant in fast-paced industries like automotive manufacturing, where appraisal feedback must be timely and actionable.

Research Gap

Despite the growing body of literature on performance appraisal systems and their correlation with employee productivity, several gaps persist particularly in the context of industrial and regional settings such as Bangalore's automotive sector. Many global studies emphasize Western management practices, which may not align with the hierarchical and culturally nuanced structures of Indian firms (Budhwar & Varma, 2011). Furthermore, although research has documented the importance of fairness and feedback, very few empirical studies have explored how these elements function specifically within automotive manufacturing environments in India.

Additionally, while previous studies have highlighted the theoretical impact of appraisal systems, they often fail to capture the *strategic dimension* i.e., how appraisal outcomes are integrated into broader organizational goals, especially in operationally intense sectors like automotive production. Moreover, there is limited research focusing on employee perspectives within these firms, leading to an incomplete understanding of the real-world effectiveness of appraisal systems.

There also exists a methodological gap, with many Indian studies relying on anecdotal or generalized HR data without diving into sector-specific implications. This study aims to bridge this gap by providing a focused examination of the strategic impact of performance appraisal systems on employee productivity in Bangalore's automotive firms, considering both organizational practices and employee responses.

Objectives of the Study

- 1. To evaluate the structure and implementation of performance appraisal systems adopted by automotive firms in Bangalore.
- 2. To examine the relationship between performance appraisal practices and employee productivity within the context of the automotive industry.
- 3. To identify the key challenges and strategic implications associated with the effectiveness of appraisal systems in improving workforce outcomes.

Research Methodology

This study follows a **descriptive and analytical research design**, aimed at understanding the existing performance appraisal frameworks and their strategic impact on employee productivity. The approach is grounded in secondary data, allowing for a detailed and context-specific analysis of organizational practices within Bangalore's automotive sector.

Type of Research

• **Descriptive-Analytical Research**: The study describes current appraisal practices and analytically explores their influence on productivity, using available literature, reports, case studies, and organizational documentation.

SIIF Rating: 8.586

ISSN: 2582-3930



Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025

- The research is **based entirely on secondary data**, collected from a variety of credible sources including:
 - o Published academic journals and industry reports
 - company HR manuals and internal reports (as made publicly available)
 - Government databases on industrial labor productivity
 - o Research articles, white papers, and benchmarking studies relevant to the automotive sector

Sample Frame

Nature of Data

• The sample frame comprises mid-sized to large automotive manufacturing companies headquartered or operating within Bangalore, known for employing structured performance appraisal systems.

Sample Size

- A total of **10 automotive firms** were included in the analysis. These companies were selected based on:
 - o Availability of detailed human resource documentation or case studies
 - Representation across both domestic and multinational automotive firms
 - o Inclusion in previous academic or industry research related to HR practices

Tools and Techniques for Analysis

- The study employs qualitative content analysis and comparative interpretation of data extracted from secondary sources.
- **Descriptive statistics** (percentages, ratios, mean scores) are used wherever numerical data is available (e.g., employee satisfaction survey results, appraisal effectiveness ratings).
- **SWOT analysis** is used to evaluate strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats related to performance appraisal practices in the automotive context.
- Thematic categorization is used to identify common patterns, challenges, and strategic responses across the selected firms.

Data Interpretation and Analysis

The analysis of secondary data revealed several important insights into the design, execution, and impact of performance appraisal systems in Bangalore's automotive sector:

1. Structural Diversity in Appraisal Models

• While all companies examined had formal appraisal systems in place, there was notable **diversity** in the methods used. Some firms adopted traditional rating-based systems, while others had integrated **Balanced Scorecard** and 360-degree feedback mechanisms.





Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586

• Multinational firms tended to rely on **digitized platforms**, offering more transparency and datadriven evaluation, whereas smaller firms still operated on manager-led assessments.

ISSN: 2582-3930

2. Strategic Alignment with Productivity Goals

- Companies that aligned appraisal metrics directly with operational and team-level goals reported **higher employee performance outcomes**. These firms connected individual KPIs to departmental and organizational performance indicators.
- Firms that failed to link appraisal outcomes with developmental action plans such as training, career growth, or rewards reported **lower engagement and reduced impact on productivity**.

3. Challenges Identified

- **Bias and subjectivity** in evaluations remained a significant concern in most organizations, especially where the appraisal process lacked multi-rater feedback.
- **Inconsistent frequency** of appraisals (e.g., delayed annual reviews) weakened the system's effectiveness as a performance management tool.
- **Limited managerial training** in providing constructive feedback led to appraisals being perceived as judgmental, rather than developmental.

4. Observations from Employee Feedback Data (as reported in secondary sources)

- In one case study involving a leading automobile manufacturer in Bangalore, survey results showed that only 42% of employees believed that their performance appraisals contributed to their personal development.
- Another report highlighted that organizations that incorporated **continuous feedback systems** saw a **15–20% increase** in employee productivity over a two-year period.

5. Industry Trends and Strategic Implications

- A clear trend across firms was the **transition toward real-time**, **tech-enabled appraisal systems**, aimed at enhancing transparency and reducing evaluation delays.
- The integration of performance data with learning and development systems emerged as a best practice, fostering a performance-oriented culture.
- However, many firms still lacked a structured approach to **post-appraisal follow-up**, such as providing personalized growth plans, which limited the appraisal system's strategic value.

Discussion

The structure and implementation of performance appraisal systems adopted by automotive firms in Bangalore

• This objective seeks to **critically examine how performance appraisal systems are designed and structured** in various automotive firms within the Bangalore region. It involves identifying the **key components** of these systems such as evaluation criteria, frequency of assessments, tools or software used, and rating methods (e.g., numerical scales, behavior-based assessments, or competency frameworks).

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)



Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

- The aim is to understand whether these appraisal frameworks are **standardized across the organization or vary by department**, and how closely they are aligned with the firms' strategic human resource goals.
- It also involves evaluating the **implementation process**, such as how appraisal data is collected, who conducts the evaluations (line managers, HR professionals, peers), and how the results are communicated to employees.
- The objective further includes a **review of the appraisal cycle** (e.g., quarterly, bi-annually, or annually) and whether the process is proactive or reactive in nature.
- A core part of this analysis is to assess how **modern technologies or digital platforms** (if any) are being integrated into performance management, such as the use of HR analytics or real-time feedback systems.
- By understanding these structural aspects, the research can determine whether current appraisal systems are robust, transparent, and strategically meaningful within the context of fast-paced automotive operations.

The relationship between performance appraisal practices and employee productivity within the context of the automotive industry

- This objective explores the **causal and correlational relationship** between appraisal systems and actual employee output or productivity levels. It addresses whether employees who are part of a structured, constructive appraisal system demonstrate **higher performance**, **better efficiency**, **and increased engagement**.
- The investigation focuses on how feedback, ratings, recognition, and developmental inputs provided through appraisals **influence employee motivation**, **goal clarity**, **and work quality**.
- It also seeks to identify whether the **presence of clear Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)** and goal alignment within appraisal systems contributes to measurable gains in productivity.
- The objective encompasses an analysis of **employee perception**, especially whether they find appraisals helpful for career advancement and skill development—which are known motivational drivers of improved productivity.
- Additionally, this objective aims to assess if firms that **reward performance outcomes through appraisals** (such as bonuses, promotions, or upskilling opportunities) report better workforce performance metrics compared to those using appraisals as a mere formality.
- The broader aim is to determine whether performance appraisal systems in the automotive sector are serving as **strategic performance-enhancing tools** or merely administrative tasks with limited real-world impact.

The key challenges and strategic implications associated with the effectiveness of appraisal systems in improving workforce outcomes

• This objective focuses on uncovering the **practical**, **organizational**, **and psychological barriers** that hinder the success of performance appraisal systems in achieving their intended outcomes.

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)



Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

- Among the challenges to be examined are **rater bias**, **lack of clarity in performance standards**, **inadequate follow-up actions**, and insufficient feedback mechanisms all of which can erode the effectiveness of the system.
- It also includes investigating **employee resistance**, especially in organizations where appraisals are perceived as punitive, non-transparent, or disconnected from career development plans.
- Another critical challenge is the **managerial competence** in delivering appraisals. If evaluators lack the training or communication skills to provide constructive and balanced feedback, the process may demotivate rather than empower employees.
- Strategically, the objective explores how ineffective appraisal practices can lead to **lower** morale, increased attrition, and missed opportunities for talent optimization.
- On the other hand, it also aims to identify **best practices** such as integrating appraisals with learning and development programs or using appraisal data to drive organizational change that contribute to strategic workforce management.
- Ultimately, this objective seeks to evaluate the **long-term implications** of performance appraisal practices not just on individual employees, but on the organization's ability to build a productive, engaged, and future-ready workforce.

Findings

- The study revealed that **most automotive firms in Bangalore have structured performance appraisal systems**, but their implementation varies significantly across organizations in terms of tools, frequency, and managerial involvement.
- It was observed that firms with clearly defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and developmental feedback loops reported better employee engagement and productivity improvements.
- Employee perception of fairness and transparency in appraisal outcomes emerged as a critical factor affecting motivation and work output. Where the appraisal process was perceived as biased or non-transparent, employee morale and productivity declined.
- A significant number of companies **lacked proper post-appraisal development action**, such as skill enhancement training or career planning support, leading to limited impact on long-term employee performance.
- The integration of **digital appraisal tools and continuous feedback mechanisms** was found to be more effective than traditional, annual paper-based methods, especially in fast-paced operational settings like automotive manufacturing.
- Managerial skill in conducting appraisals and providing constructive feedback was identified as a **key determinant of appraisal effectiveness** across all firms studied.



Suggestions

- Firms should **restructure their performance appraisal systems** to align them more closely with business goals, employee roles, and measurable outcomes. Objective and job-specific KPIs should form the core of evaluations.
- It is essential to **train managers in feedback delivery and performance coaching**, equipping them to provide balanced, development-oriented feedback rather than focusing solely on evaluation.
- Companies are encouraged to adopt **technology-driven appraisal platforms** that enable real-time performance tracking, multi-source feedback, and transparent documentation.
- The performance appraisal process should include **follow-up action plans**, including training, mentorship, or role changes, to translate feedback into actual productivity gains.
- To enhance credibility, **employee involvement in goal-setting and appraisal discussions** should be encouraged. This participative approach fosters trust and ownership.
- Firms should conduct **periodic reviews and audits of their appraisal systems** to ensure fairness, consistency, and strategic alignment with the evolving organizational context.

Managerial Implications

- Managers play a **pivotal role in shaping employee attitudes** toward performance reviews. Enhancing their capabilities in appraisal planning, feedback delivery, and performance coaching is crucial.
- Effective appraisal systems serve as a **strategic tool for talent retention and engagement**, helping managers identify high-potential employees, address underperformance, and nurture a culture of continuous improvement.
- When properly integrated, performance appraisals can inform **compensation decisions**, workforce planning, and leadership development, supporting overall organizational growth.
- Managers must also recognize the **impact of appraisal transparency on workplace morale**, and take proactive steps to ensure fairness, consistency, and open communication.

Societal Implications

- Performance appraisal systems that prioritize fairness, development, and accountability can lead to **greater employee satisfaction, job security, and personal growth**, contributing positively to workforce well-being in industrial cities like Bangalore.
- Improved productivity through effective appraisal practices supports **economic development** by enhancing output quality and organizational efficiency across the automotive sector.
- Equitable appraisal practices help **reduce workplace discrimination and favoritism**, fostering inclusivity and equal opportunity, especially in large, diverse manufacturing setups.

Research Implications

• The study offers a **sector-specific lens** on performance appraisal practices, contributing to the limited pool of research focused on the Indian automotive manufacturing industry.



Interna Volum

Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

- It highlights the importance of linking **employee feedback systems with organizational performance outcomes**, offering a new perspective for scholars in HRM and strategic management domains.
- The findings can serve as a foundation for **comparative studies across industries**, enabling researchers to explore appraisal effectiveness across different organizational cultures and operational models.

Future Scope

- Future research can include **quantitative data collection** through employee and manager surveys to statistically validate the impact of appraisal systems on productivity metrics.
- Comparative studies can be conducted across **different cities or countries**, to assess cultural and organizational influences on appraisal effectiveness.
- Further investigation can explore the **longitudinal impact** of continuous performance appraisal systems, particularly how they affect employee retention, innovation, and organizational agility.
- The role of **emerging technologies such as AI and data analytics** in improving the objectivity and personalization of appraisal systems presents a promising area for further exploration.

Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive understanding of the strategic role that performance appraisal systems play in influencing employee productivity within Bangalore's dynamic automotive industry. As the sector navigates increasing competition, technological shifts, and workforce expectations, performance appraisal mechanisms are emerging as more than just administrative tools they are becoming essential components of organizational strategy. The findings reveal that while most automotive firms have adopted formal appraisal frameworks, their true effectiveness lies in how well they are designed, implemented, and aligned with organizational goals. Systems that prioritize transparency, employee participation, and post-appraisal developmental actions are significantly more effective in driving performance and engagement. Conversely, appraisals perceived as biased, inconsistent, or merely evaluative fail to yield desired results and may even hinder productivity. The research further highlights the pressing need for managerial training, digital integration, and a shift toward continuous feedback models. Appraisals must be transformed from a once-a-year ritual into an ongoing dialogue between employees and managers, centered around growth, accountability, and alignment with strategic goals. In conclusion, performance appraisals when structured strategically and executed thoughtfully can serve as powerful levers for enhancing workforce efficiency, shaping positive organizational culture, and sustaining long-term competitiveness in the automotive sector. For firms in Bangalore and beyond, refining these systems is not just an HR requirement—it is a strategic imperative.

References

- 1. Adams, J. S. (1963). Toward an understanding of inequity. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 67(5), 422–436. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040968
- 2. Aguinis, H. (2019). Performance management for dummies. Wiley.
- 3. Armstrong, M. (2014). Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice (13th ed.). Kogan Page.
- 4. Boswell, W. R., & Boudreau, J. W. (2002). Separating the developmental and evaluative performance appraisal uses. Journal of Business and Psychology, 16(3), 391–412.

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)



Volume: 09 Issue: 07 | July - 2025

SJIF Rating: 8.586

ISSN: 2582-3930

- 5. Budhwar, P. S., & Debrah, Y. A. (2013). Human resource management in developing countries. Routledge.
- 6. Budhwar, P. S., & Varma, A. (2011). Emerging HR management practices in India: An overview. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 49(2), 197–201.
- 7. Cappelli, P., & Tavis, A. (2016). The performance management revolution. Harvard Business Review, 94(10), 58–67. https://hbr.org/2016/10/the-performance-management-revolution
- 8. DeNisi, A. S., & Murphy, K. R. (2017). Performance appraisal and performance management: 100 years of progress? Journal of Applied Psychology, 102(3), 421–433.
- 9. Grubb, T. (2007). Performance appraisal reappraised: It's not all positive. Journal of Human Resource Education, 1(1), 1–22.
- 10. Gupta, V., & Upadhyay, D. (2012). Performance appraisal: A critical analysis and an agenda for future research. International Journal of Management Research and Reviews, 2(10), 1738–1745.
- 11. Khan, M. A. (2013). Role of performance appraisal system on employees motivation. Journal of Business and Management, 8(4), 66–83.
- 12. Latham, G. P., Almost, J., Mann, S., & Moore, C. (2005). New developments in performance management. Organizational Dynamics, 34(1), 77–87.
- 13. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. American Psychologist, 57(9), 705–717. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705
- 14. Mathis, R. L., & Jackson, J. H. (2011). Human resource management (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- 15. Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1995). Understanding performance appraisal: Social, organizational, and goal-based perspectives. Sage.
- 16. Pradhan, R. K., & Jena, L. K. (2017). Employee performance at workplace: Conceptual model and empirical validation. Business Perspectives and Research, 5(1), 69–85.
- 17. Pulakos, E. D. (2009). Performance management: A new approach for driving business results. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 18. Shahin, A., & Mahbod, M. A. (2007). Prioritization of key performance indicators: An integration of analytical hierarchy process and goal setting. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 56(3), 226–240.
- 19. Singh, A. K. (2015). Effect of performance appraisal system on employee motivation: With special reference to Indian automotive industry. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 2(8), 374–380.