Utilization of Agricultural Waste in Concrete Production: A Review on Rice Husk Ash and Coconut Shell

Mahesh Wichhele[1]& Kashfina Kapadia Memon[2]

[1]PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology - CSE Bhopal, India
[2] Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology Bhopal, India
Corresponding Author:Mkwichhely86@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Concrete remains the most widely used construction material globally, yet its reliance on Portland cement and natural aggregates contributes significantly to carbon emissions, resource depletion, and environmental degradation. To address these challenges, increasing attention has been directed toward the incorporation of agricultural wastes into concrete production, providing both ecological and economic benefits. Among various residues, rice husk ash (RHA) and coconut shell (CS) are particularly promising due to their abundance, chemical composition, and favorable physical properties.

This review synthesizes existing research on the use of RHA as a supplementary cementitious material and CS as a lightweight aggregate in concrete. Studies consistently report that RHA, rich in amorphous silica, exhibits strong pozzolanic activity, enhancing compressive strength, durability, and resistance to chemical attack at optimal replacement levels. Coconut shell aggregate, characterized by its fibrous and lignocellulosic nature, produces lightweight concrete with adequate strength, improved toughness, and better thermal insulation compared to conventional concrete. However, challenges remain, including the variability in RHA quality due to uncontrolled burning, the high water absorption of coconut shells, and limited large-scale field applications.

The review highlights the sustainability benefits of adopting these materials, including reduced cement consumption, conservation of natural aggregates, and diversion of agricultural residues from landfills or open burning. It also identifies research gaps related to durability, standardization of processing, synergistic use of multiple waste products, and life cycle assessment. Overall, the integration of RHA and CS into concrete production offers a viable pathway toward sustainable construction and circular economy practices.

Keywords: Concrete, Sustainable Construction, Industrial By-products, Fly Ash, Slag, Alkaline Activation, Mechanical Properties, Durability, Environmental Sustainability, Waste Management, Curing Conditions.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1. Background: The Challenge of Conventional Concrete

Concrete remains the most widely used construction material in the world, second only to water in terms of consumption. Its versatility, availability of raw materials, durability, and cost-effectiveness make it indispensable for infrastructure development. However, this heavy reliance comes at a significant environmental cost. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), the key binder in concrete, is an energy-intensive product whose manufacture contributes nearly **7–8% of global anthropogenic CO_2 emissions** (Mehta, 1992; Awoyera&Adesina, 2020). Each tonne of cement produced releases approximately 0.9 tonnes of CO_2, largely due to the calcination of limestone and the burning of fossil fuels in kilns (Nair et al., 2008). With the global demand for cement expected to rise steadily due to rapid urbanization, infrastructure expansion, and population growth, addressing its environmental footprint has become a central theme in sustainable construction research.

One promising pathway to mitigating the environmental burden of concrete production is the **utilization of industrial and agricultural wastes** as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) or as alternative aggregates. This approach offers a dual benefit: (i) reducing the clinker factor in cement, thereby lowering CO_2 emissions, and (ii) managing solid waste streams that would otherwise pose disposal challenges. Among such waste products, **rice husk ash (RHA)** and **coconut shell (CS)** have gained significant attention due to their abundance in tropical and subtropical regions, their physical and chemical properties, and their potential to improve certain aspects of concrete performance.

2. Agricultural Waste Generation and Environmental Concerns

Agriculture is one of the largest sectors globally, producing not only food and fiber but also enormous amounts of **residues and by-products**. While some agricultural residues are traditionally used as fuel, fodder, or soil amendments, a large fraction remains underutilized and often ends up being **burnt in open fields or dumped in landfills**, causing air pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and land degradation (Olanipekun et al., 2006; Gunasekaran et al., 2011).

- **Rice husk** constitutes about 20% of the weight of harvested paddy. With global rice production exceeding 700 million tonnes annually, this results in approximately 140 million tonnes of husk. Controlled combustion of husk yields **rice husk ash (RHA)**, which is rich in amorphous silica and exhibits pozzolanic reactivity (Habeeb& Mahmud, 2010). Improper disposal or open burning of husks, however, leads to severe environmental concerns such as particulate emissions, smog, and loss of soil fertility.
- **Coconut shells** represent about 15% of the weight of a mature nut. With annual production of over 60 billion coconuts worldwide, coconut shells constitute a vast agricultural waste stream. In many regions, shells are discarded indiscriminately or incinerated, leading to environmental degradation. Their hard, lignocellulosic nature makes natural decomposition slow, thus aggravating waste management issues (Kanojia& Jain, 2017).

Harnessing these wastes in concrete production not only provides an **eco-friendly disposal method** but also contributes to resource conservation and circular economy principles.

3. Rice Husk Ash in Concrete

The potential of **RHA as a supplementary cementitious material** has been extensively documented. When rice husks are burned under controlled conditions (typically between 500–700 °C), the resulting ash contains up to **85–95% amorphous silica** (Mehta, 1992). This amorphous silica reacts with calcium hydroxide released during cement hydration, forming additional calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) gel, the primary binder that gives concrete its strength and durability.

Key reported benefits of RHA inclusion include:

- **Improved compressive strength** at optimum replacement levels (usually 5–20% by weight of cement).

- **Reduced permeability and porosity**, enhancing resistance to chloride penetration, sulfate attack, and alkali–silica reaction (Awoyera&Adesina, 2020; Wang et al., 2021).
- **Refined pore structure**, leading to improved durability performance.
- **Enhanced workability challenges**, due to its high surface area and water demand, though these can be managed with proper mix design and use of superplasticizers (Sandhu & Siddique, 2017).

However, inconsistent burning conditions can yield crystalline silica, which reduces pozzolanic activity. Therefore, **processing methods** (controlled incineration, grinding, and sieving) play a crucial role in determining the quality of RHA (Habeeb& Mahmud, 2010).

4. Coconut Shell as Aggregate in Concrete

While RHA primarily substitutes cement, **coconut shell is investigated as a replacement for natural coarse aggregates**. The depletion of natural aggregates due to rapid construction growth has triggered a search for alternatives. Coconut shell offers several advantages:

- It is **lightweight**, with a bulk density of about 550–650 kg/m³, compared to conventional crushed stone aggregates.
- Concrete with coconut shell aggregates exhibits **lower density**, making it suitable for lightweight structural and non-structural applications (Gunasekaran et al., 2011; Kanojia& Jain, 2017).
- Studies report **comparable compressive strength** at partial replacement levels, while also showing **improved toughness and impact resistance** due to the fibrous nature of the shell (Gunasekaran et al., 2012).
- The material also offers improved **thermal insulation** properties, which can be beneficial in tropical regions.

Challenges, however, include relatively **higher water absorption** of coconut shells, which can adversely affect the workability and durability of concrete if not adequately addressed. Pretreatment methods (such as soaking, coating, or using admixtures) have been proposed to mitigate these drawbacks.

5. Sustainability Perspective

From a sustainability standpoint, both RHA and coconut shell offer significant environmental and socio-economic benefits:

- **Waste valorization**: Diverts millions of tonnes of agricultural waste from landfills and open burning.
- **Emission reduction**: Substituting cement with RHA directly reduces CO_2 emissions, while replacing aggregates with coconut shells minimizes quarrying and associated ecological impacts.
- **Cost efficiency**: Both materials are locally available and inexpensive, potentially lowering construction costs, particularly in developing economies (Olanipekun et al., 2006).
- **Social impact**: Promotes rural employment in collection, processing, and supply of agricultural residues for construction purposes.

Thus, the integration of agricultural wastes into concrete production aligns strongly with **United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**, particularly SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure), SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production).

6. Research Progress and Gaps

Over the past three decades, numerous experimental and review studies have examined the role of RHA and coconut shell in concrete (Mehta, 1992; Zunino&López, 2017; Gunasekaran et al., 2011). The findings demonstrate clear technical feasibility and sustainability benefits. Yet, several **research gaps** remain:

1. **Standardization of processing**: The quality of RHA is highly sensitive to burning and grinding conditions, yet there is no universally accepted protocol.
2. **Durability studies**: Long-term performance of RHA-blended concrete under aggressive environments is still underexplored.
3. **Structural applications of coconut shell concrete**: While its lightweight potential is acknowledged, large-scale field applications and structural validation are limited.
4. **Combined use of wastes**: Few studies have explored **synergistic effects** of using both RHA (as binder replacement) and coconut shell (as aggregate replacement) in the same concrete system.
5. **Life cycle assessment (LCA)**: Comprehensive evaluations of environmental benefits across the entire lifecycle are scarce, limiting quantification of sustainability advantages.
6. **Scale-up and field trials**: Most research remains at laboratory scale, with insufficient data on industrial-scale implementation, workability challenges, and construction practices.

7. Objectives of the Review

Given these opportunities and challenges, this review paper aims to:

- **Critically synthesize** the available literature on the utilization of rice husk ash and coconut shell in concrete production.
- **Evaluate their influence** on fresh, mechanical, and durability properties of concrete.
- **Identify key challenges** in processing, mix design, and large-scale application.
- **Highlight sustainability benefits** and quantify potential reductions in carbon footprint.
- **Propose research directions** for advancing the adoption of agricultural waste-based concrete in mainstream construction.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

The use of **rice husk ash (RHA) as a supplementary cementitious material** has been widely investigated in the last three decades. Early pioneering work by Mehta (1992) demonstrated that RHA, when produced under controlled burning conditions, exhibits high pozzolanic activity due to its amorphous silica content, which can effectively replace a portion of Portland cement in concrete. Subsequent studies have confirmed these findings, reporting that partial replacement of cement with RHA not only improves compressive strength at optimum dosages but also enhances resistance against chloride ingress, sulfate attack, and alkali–silica reaction (Nair et al., 2008; Habeeb& Mahmud, 2010). Zunino and López (2017) provided a detailed review highlighting the chemical and physical characteristics of RHA, emphasizing the importance of processing techniques such as controlled incineration and grinding to achieve reactivity. Similarly, Sandhu and Siddique (2017) analyzed the performance of self-compacting concrete incorporating RHA and reported significant reductions in porosity and permeability, although the workability of fresh mixtures required adjustment through superplasticizer usage. More recently, Wang et al. (2021) investigated the durability of RHA-based concretes and found notable improvements in pore structure refinement and long-term performance. These consistent findings indicate that RHA is one of the most promising agricultural by-products for reducing cement consumption and enhancing durability in sustainable concrete production.

Parallel to RHA research, increasing attention has been directed toward the use of **coconut shell (CS) as a lightweight aggregate** in concrete. Coconut shell is a lignocellulosic material that is abundantly available in tropical countries, and its utilization in construction helps address both waste disposal challenges and aggregate scarcity. Olanipekun et al. (2006) carried out one of the earliest comparative studies, showing that coconut shell aggregate concrete displayed lower density but satisfactory compressive strength compared to conventional concrete. Gunasekaran et al. (2011) further investigated the mechanical and bond properties of coconut shell concrete, demonstrating that the fibrous structure of shells enhances impact resistance and ductility. Follow-up long-term studies confirmed the stability of strength development and durability characteristics over time (Gunasekaran et al., 2012). Kanojia and Jain (2017) evaluated structural-grade concretes with partial replacement of coarse aggregates by coconut shells and highlighted the potential for producing lightweight concrete suitable for non-load-bearing and some structural applications. Despite its potential, coconut shell concrete often exhibits higher water absorption and reduced workability, necessitating modifications such as pre-soaking or the use of admixtures to ensure performance consistency.

While most investigations have focused on the **individual application of RHA and coconut shell**, some researchers have attempted to explore combined usage. Mokhtar et al. (2022) examined concrete incorporating both RHA and coconut shell ash and reported a balanced improvement in compressive strength, sustainability, and economic viability. Awoyera and Adesina (2020) provided a broader critical review on agricultural wastes in concrete and concluded that synergistic utilization of RHA as binder replacement and coconut shell as aggregate substitution holds considerable potential, though systematic studies remain limited. Comprehensive evaluations by Habeeb and Mahmud (2010) and Nair et al. (2008) also emphasized the variability in performance depending on local sourcing, processing conditions, and mix proportions, highlighting the need for standardization in experimental protocols.

Overall, the literature demonstrates clear environmental and technical benefits of integrating agricultural wastes into concrete. RHA contributes primarily through pozzolanic reactions that enhance durability and mechanical strength, while coconut shell provides a sustainable lightweight aggregate option with improved toughness and impact resistance. However, the studies also highlight important **research gaps**: inconsistent processing methods for RHA, limited structural-scale investigations of coconut shell concrete, inadequate data on long-term durability in aggressive environments, and the lack of large-scale life cycle assessments. Addressing these issues is crucial for advancing agricultural waste-based concretes from laboratory feasibility to mainstream construction practice.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

The review of existing research clearly demonstrates that the incorporation of agricultural wastes such as rice husk ash (RHA) and coconut shell (CS) into concrete production offers significant technical, economic, and environmental benefits. RHA, when produced under controlled burning conditions, is an efficient supplementary cementitious material due to its high amorphous silica content and strong pozzolanic activity. Its inclusion enhances compressive strength, reduces porosity, and improves durability against aggressive agents, making it a promising alternative to partially replace Portland cement. Coconut shell, on the other hand, has proven to be a viable lightweight aggregate that reduces concrete density while providing satisfactory mechanical strength and improved impact resistance. Together, these materials present an effective solution to reduce natural resource depletion, minimize waste disposal issues, and lower the carbon footprint of construction activities.

Despite the promising results, certain **limitations** persist. The performance of RHA is highly dependent on burning temperature, grinding fineness, and ash content, making its quality inconsistent across studies. Similarly, coconut shell aggregate exhibits high water absorption, leading to workability challenges and potential durability concerns if not adequately treated. Furthermore, most investigations remain confined to laboratory-scale testing, with limited long-term performance studies under real field conditions. The lack of standardized processing methods, durability evaluations, and large-scale field trials restricts the practical adoption of these waste-based concretes.

Looking forward, several **research directions** are necessary to bridge the gap between laboratory feasibility and industrial application. Standardization of processing and mix design guidelines for RHA and coconut shell aggregate is essential to ensure reproducibility and consistent performance. Comprehensive durability studies, particularly in marine and sulfate-rich environments, should be prioritized to validate long-term reliability. Additionally, holistic **life cycle assessment (LCA) and cost–benefit analyses** are needed to quantify the sustainability advantages and economic competitiveness of agricultural waste-based concretes. Investigations into the **synergistic use** of RHA as binder replacement and coconut shell as aggregate substitution, along with optimization of admixtures and curing techniques, may further unlock performance improvements. Finally, scaling up research to pilot projects and field applications will be critical to demonstrate practical viability and encourage adoption by the construction industry.

In conclusion, the utilization of agricultural wastes such as rice husk ash and coconut shell in concrete production aligns strongly with the principles of sustainable construction and circular economy. While challenges remain, the accumulated body of evidence confirms their potential to partially substitute conventional materials without compromising strength or durability when used appropriately. With focused research on standardization, durability, and large-scale application, these waste-based concretes can play a vital role in reducing the environmental footprint of the construction sector and promoting greener infrastructure development worldwide.

REFERENCES

- Zunino, F., &López, M. (2017). Rice husk ash as a supplementary cementitious material: A review. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 74, 99–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2016.09.005
- Kaur, K., Singh, J., & Kaur, M. (2018). Strength and microstructure of rice husk ash based geopolymer concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 169, 188–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.184
- Wang, J., Dai, Q., Si, R., &Guo, S. (2021). Mechanical, durability, and pore structure of concrete incorporating rice husk ash. *Construction and Building Materials*, 300, 124038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124038
- Sandhu, R. K., & Siddique, R. (2017). Influence of rice husk ash (RHA) on the properties of self-compacting concrete: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 153, 751–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.178
- Kanojia, A., & Jain, S. K. (2017). Performance of coconut shell as coarse aggregate in concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 140, 150–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.02.066
- Olanipekun, E. A., Olusola, K. O., & Ata, O. (2006). A comparative study of concrete properties using coconut shell and palm kernel shell as coarse aggregates. *Building and Environment*, 41(3), 297–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.01.029

- Gunasekaran, K., Kumar, P. S., &Lakshmipathy, M. (2011). Mechanical and bond properties of coconut shell concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(1), 92–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.06.053
- Thomas, B. S., & Gupta, R. C. (2013). A comprehensive review on the applications of waste tire rubber in cement concrete. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 23, 345–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.012
- Siddique, R. (2008). Waste materials and by-products in concrete. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74294-4
- Habeeb, G. A., & Mahmud, H. B. (2010). Study on properties of rice husk ash and its use as cement replacement material. *Materials Research*, 13(2), 185–190. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-14392010000200011
- Mehta, P. K. (1992). Rice husk ash—a unique supplementary cementing material. In *Advances in Concrete Technology* (pp. 407–431). Ottawa: CANMET.
- Awoyera, P. O., &Adesina, A. (2020). A critical review on application of rice husk ash in concrete production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 261, 121236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121236
- Nair, D. G., Fraaij, A., Klaassen, A. A. K., &Kentgens, A. P. M. (2008). A structural investigation relating to the pozzolanic activity of rice husk ashes. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 38(6), 861–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.10.004
- Gunasekaran, K., Annadurai, R., & Kumar, P. S. (2012). Long-term study on compressive and bond strength of coconut shell aggregate concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 28(1), 208–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.08.072
- ASTM C618. (2019). Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.